arXiv:1403.3639v3 [math-ph] 14 Dec 2015

Toeplitz determinants with merging singularities

T. Claeys* and I. Krasovsky†

December 15, 2015

Abstract

We study asymptotic behavior for the determinants of $n \times n$ Toeplitz matrices corresponding to symbols with two Fisher-Hartwig singularities at the distance $2t \geq 0$ from each other on the unit circle. We obtain large n asymptotics which are uniform for $0 < t < t_0$ where t_0 is fixed. They describe the transition as $t \to 0$ between the asymptotic regimes of 2 singularities and 1 singularity. The asymptotics involve a particular solution to the Painlevé V equation. We obtain small and large argument expansions of this solution. As applications of our results we prove a conjecture of Dyson on the largest occupation number in the ground state of a one-dimensional Bose gas, and a conjecture of Fyodorov and Keating on the second moment of powers of the characteristic polynomials of random matrices.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Riemann-Hilbert (RH) problem for a system of orthogonal polynomials and a differential identity. **15**
2.1 RH problem for orthogonal polynomials 15
2.2 Differential identity 16

3 Model RH problem **20**
3.1 Formulation of the problem 20
3.2 Special case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ 23
3.3 Lax pair 24
3.4 Solvability of the RH problem for $\alpha_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta_{1,2} \in i\mathbb{R}$ 28

4 Auxiliary RH problem **30**

5 Asymptotics for Ψ as $s \to -i\infty$ **32**
5.1 Normalization of the problem and opening of lens 32
5.2 Global parametrix 33
5.3 Local parametrices 34
5.3.1 Construction of a local parametrix near i 34
5.3.2 Construction of a local parametrix near $-i$ 34
5.4 Solution of the RH problem and the asymptotics of $\sigma(s)$ for large s . . . 35

*Institut de Recherche en Mathématique et Physique, Université catholique de Louvain, Chemin du Cyclotron 2, B-1348 Louvain-La-Neuve, BELGIUM

†Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom

6 Asymptotics for Ψ as $s \to -i0_+$ **39**
6.1 Modified RH-problem 40
6.2 Global parametrix 41
6.3 Local parametrix 41
6.4 Solution of the RH problem and the asymptotics of $\sigma(s)$ for small s . . 46

7 Asymptotics of the orthogonal polynomials **47**
7.1 Normalization of the RH problem 47
7.2 Opening of lens 47
7.3 Global parametrix 49
7.4 $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$. Local parametrix near 1 50
7.4.1 Modified model RH problem 50
7.4.2 $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$. Construction of a local parametrix near 1 in terms of Φ 52
7.4.3 $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$. Final transformation 54
7.5 $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. Local parametrices 54
7.5.1 $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. Final transformation 56

8 Asymptotics for $D_n(f_t)$ **59**
8.1 Asymptotic form of the differential identity. Proof of Theorem 1.11 . . . 59
8.2 Integration of the differential identity. Proof of Theorems 1.5, 1.8. . . . 67

9 Toeplitz determinant for $|||\beta||| = 1$ **68**
9.1 Proof of Theorem 1.12 68
9.2 Special case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1^- = \beta_2^- + 1 = 1/2$ 71

1 Introduction

Consider Toeplitz matrices

$$T_n(f_t) = (f_{t,j-k})_{j,k=0}^{n-1}, \qquad f_{t,j} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} f_t(e^{i\theta})e^{-ij\theta}d\theta, \tag{1.1}$$

where the complex-valued symbol $f_t(z)$ depends on a parameter t and has the form

$$f_t(z) = e^{V(z)}z^{\beta_1+\beta_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}|z-z_j|^{2\alpha_j}g_{z_j,\beta_j}(z)z_j^{-\beta_j}, \qquad z = e^{i\theta}, \qquad \theta \in [0, 2\pi), \tag{1.2}$$

where $z_1 = e^{it}$, $z_2 = e^{i(2\pi-t)}$, $0 < t < \pi$,

$$g_{z_j,\beta_j}(z) = \begin{cases} e^{i\pi\beta_j} & 0 \leq \arg z < \arg z_j \\ e^{-i\pi\beta_j} & \arg z_j \leq \arg z < 2\pi \end{cases}, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\alpha_j > -1/2, \quad \beta_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad j = 1, 2. \tag{1.4}$$

The condition on α_j ensures integrability of f_t. We assume V to be analytic in a neighborhood of the unit circle, with the Laurent series

$$V(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} V_k z^k, \qquad V_k = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} V(e^{i\theta})e^{-ik\theta}d\theta.$$

The function $e^{V(z)}$ allows the standard Wiener-Hopf decomposition:

$$e^{V(z)} = b_+(z)b_0b_-(z), \quad b_+(z) = e^{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k z^k}, \quad b_0 = e^{V_0}, \quad b_-(z) = e^{\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} V_k z^k}. \tag{1.5}$$

The function $f_t(z)$ is a standard form of a symbol with 2 Fisher-Hartwig (FH) singularities at the points $z_1 = e^{it}$ and $z_2 = e^{i(2\pi - t)}$. The parameters α_1 (at z_1) and α_2 (at z_2) describe power- or root-type singularities, β_1 and β_2 describe jump discontinuities. We always assume below that the both singular points are genuine, i.e., that either $\alpha_j \neq 0$ or $\beta_j \neq 0$, $j = 1, 2$.

We are interested in the large n behavior of the Toeplitz determinant

$$D_n(f_t) = \det T_n(f_t) \tag{1.6}$$

when the distance between the singularities is small, i.e. t is small.

A study of asymptotics of Toeplitz determinants as $n \to \infty$ was initiated by Szegő in 1915 for symbols without singularities. Singular symbols, however, appear naturally in applications such as exactly solvable models (most notably, the two-dimensional Ising model), random matrices, etc. The large n behavior of Toeplitz determinants with several FH singularities has been studied by many authors under various assumptions on V and the values of the parameters α_j and β_j, see, e.g., [13, 37, 2, 3, 4, 12, 7, 8]. A recent historical account on Toeplitz determinants is given in [10].

If the weight has two singularities as in (1.2), the asymptotics of $D_n(f_t)$ are described as follows. Following [7], define

$$|||\beta||| \equiv |||(\beta_1, \beta_2)||| \equiv |\mathrm{Re}\,(\beta_1 - \beta_2)|.$$

Let first

$$|||\beta||| < 1, \tag{1.7}$$

and assume that $\alpha_j \pm \beta_j \neq -1, -2, \dots$ for $j = 1, 2$ (we always assume this "nondegeneracy" condition throughout this paper). Then, the asymptotics of D_n as $n \to \infty$ for a fixed $t > 0$ are given by ([12]; see [8] for the estimate of the error term)

$$\ln D_n(f_t) = nV_0 + (\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 - \beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2)\ln n + E(V, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, t) + o(1), \tag{1.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E(V, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, t) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kV_kV_{-k} + 2(\beta_1\beta_2 - \alpha_1\alpha_2)\ln|2\sin t| + i(\pi - 2t)(\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1) \\ &- \alpha_1(V(z_1) - V_0) + \beta_1 \ln\frac{b_+(z_1)}{b_-(z_1)} - \alpha_2(V(z_2) - V_0) + \beta_2 \ln\frac{b_+(z_2)}{b_-(z_2)} \\ &+ \ln\frac{G(1+\alpha_1+\beta_1)G(1+\alpha_1-\beta_1)G(1+\alpha_2+\beta_2)G(1+\alpha_2-\beta_2)}{G(1+2\alpha_1)G(1+2\alpha_2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

and where $G(z)$ is Barnes' G-function (it is an entire function; it satisfies the difference equation $G(z+1) = \Gamma(z)G(z)$ in terms of the Euler Gamma function, with the condition $G(1) = 1$; its zeros are $z = 0, -1, -2, \dots$). The error term in (1.8) is $o(1) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1+|||\beta|||})$. One of the results in the present paper is an extension of the validity of (1.8) (with the corresponding change in the error term estimate): see Theorem 1.11 below.

The case $|||\beta||| \geq 1$ reduces either to $|||\beta||| < 1$ or to $|||\beta||| = 1$ as follows.

If $|||\beta||| \geq 1$ and $|||\beta|||$ is not an odd integer, we can choose $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|||\beta'||| \equiv |||(\beta_1 + k, \beta_2 - k)||| < 1$, where $\beta_1' = \beta_1 + k$, $\beta_2' = \beta_2 - k$. The change of variable $\beta \mapsto \beta'$ leaves the symbol f invariant, except for multiplication by the constant factor e^{-2ikt}:

$$f_t(e^{i\theta}) \equiv f_t(e^{i\theta}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2) = e^{2ikt} f_t(e^{i\theta}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1', \beta_2'). \tag{1.10}$$

Since $|||\beta'||| < 1$, the formula (1.8) can now be used for the symbol in the r.h.s. of (1.10), if $\alpha_j \pm \beta_j' \neq -1, -2, \dots$, to compute the asymptotics for $D_n(f_t)$:

$$D_n(f_t) = e^{n(V_0+2ikt)} n^{\alpha_1^2+\alpha_2^2-\beta_1'^2-\beta_2'^2} e^{E(V,\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1',\beta_2')}(1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1+|||\beta'|||})), \qquad n \to \infty.$$

If $|||\beta||| \geq 1$ is an odd integer, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|||\beta'||| \equiv |||(\beta_1+k, \beta_2-k)||| = 1$. Let $(\beta_1'', \beta_2'') = (\beta_1' + \ell, \beta_2' - \ell)$, where $\ell = 1$ if $\operatorname{Re}\beta_1' < \operatorname{Re}\beta_2'$, and $\ell = -1$ if $\operatorname{Re}\beta_1' > \operatorname{Re}\beta_2'$. Then $|||\beta''||| = 1$ and we have [7] if $\alpha_j \pm \beta_j', \alpha_j \pm \beta_j'' \neq -1, -2, \dots$:

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(f_t) = e^{n(V_0+2ikt)} [& n^{\alpha_1^2+\alpha_2^2-\beta_1'^2-\beta_2'^2} e^{E(V,\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1',\beta_2')} \\ & + e^{2in\ell t} n^{\alpha_1^2+\alpha_2^2-\beta_1''^2-\beta_2''^2} e^{E(V,\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1'',\beta_2'')}](1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})), \qquad n \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

Note that $\operatorname{Re}\{\beta_1'^2 + \beta_2'^2\} = \operatorname{Re}\{\beta_1''^2 + \beta_2''^2\}$, and therefore the 2 terms in (1.11) are of the same order. As with (1.8), in this paper we also extend the validity of (1.11): see the discussion following Theorem 1.12 below.

If we let t decrease towards 0, the symbol (1.2) reduces to

$$f_0(z) = e^{V(z)} |z-1|^{2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} z^{\beta_1+\beta_2} e^{-i\pi(\beta_2+\beta_1)}, \tag{1.12}$$

which has only one FH singularity at 1, with parameters $\alpha_1+\alpha_2$ and $\beta_1+\beta_2$. Then the asymptotics of D_n as $n \to \infty$ are given by (for $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1+\alpha_2) > -1/2$, $\alpha_1+\alpha_2 \pm (\beta_1+\beta_2) \neq -1, -2, \dots$)

$$\begin{aligned} \ln D_n(f_0) = {} & nV_0 + \big((\alpha_1+\alpha_2)^2 - (\beta_1+\beta_2)^2\big) \ln n \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k V_k V_{-k} - (\alpha_1+\alpha_2)(V(1) - V_0) + (\beta_1+\beta_2) \ln \frac{b_+(1)}{b_-(1)} \\ & + \ln \frac{G(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2) G(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_1-\beta_2)}{G(1+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2)} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

Comparing (1.8) with (1.13), we observe that the terms proportional to $\ln n$ do not match unless $\alpha_1\alpha_2 = \beta_1\beta_2$. Moreover we see that $E(V, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, t)$ is unbounded as $t \to 0$. These observations indicate that as $n \to \infty$ and at the same time $t \to 0$, a transition occurs in the asymptotic behavior of the Toeplitz determinants, and so the asymptotic expansion (1.8) is not uniformly valid for small values of t. Our goal is to describe this transition between (1.8) and (1.13). We will obtain an asymptotic expansion for $D_n(f_t)$ as $n \to \infty$ uniform for $0 < t < t_0$, where $t_0 > 0$ is fixed and sufficiently small. In particular, this describes the double scaling limit where $n \to \infty$ and simultaneously $t \to 0$. In the scaling $t = \frac{s}{-2in}$, where $s \in -i\mathbb{R}^+$ is fixed and $n \to \infty$, we will prove that $D_n(f_t)$ can be expressed asymptotically in terms of a particular solution $\sigma(s)$ of the Painlevé V equation. Using the expansion of $\sigma(s)$ in the limits $s \to 0$ and $s \to -i\infty$, we recover the large n asymptotics of $D_n(f_t)$ for $t = 0$ and t fixed, respectively.

For the transition we consider in this paper, the first observation of the appearance of a Painlevé V solution in the particular case of the Toeplitz determinant with symbol $f_{t/2}(z) = |z - e^{it/2}||z - e^{-it/2}|$ and a study of these objects was in the work of Lenard, Schultz, Dyson, Tracy and Vaidya, and Jimbo, Miwa, Môri, Sato in 1960'th-1970'th on the one-dimensional gas of impenetrable bosons. We discuss this in more detail later on in introduction (see the text around (1.50)).

Thus, the present paper describes the transition between the two different types of FH asymptotics: one for Toeplitz determinants corresponding to symbols with 2 FH singularities, and the other for symbols with 1 FH singularity formed by the original ones merging together along the unit circle. This work is closely related to [5], where the transition was described between the non-singular case (Strong Szegő asymptotics) and the case with one FH singularity. The transition in that case was also described by a solution to the Painlevé V equation, but with different parameters and different asymptotic behavior. Critical transitions for Toeplitz determinants have also been studied in [1, 30, 35, 38].

Statement of results

Before stating our results on Toeplitz determinants, we first describe the relevant Painlevé V transcendents. Consider the σ-form of the Painlevé V equation [19, Formula (2.8)]

$$s^2\sigma_{ss}^2 = \left(\sigma - s\sigma_s + 2\sigma_s^2\right)^2 - 4(\sigma_s - \theta_1)(\sigma_s - \theta_2)(\sigma_s - \theta_3)(\sigma_s - \theta_4), \tag{1.14}$$

where the parameters $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4$ are given by

$$\theta_1 = -\alpha_1 + \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2}{2}, \qquad \theta_2 = \alpha_1 + \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2}{2}, \tag{1.15}$$

$$\theta_3 = \alpha_2 - \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2}{2}, \qquad \theta_4 = -\alpha_2 - \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2}{2}. \tag{1.16}$$

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that*

$$\operatorname{Re}\alpha_1, \operatorname{Re}\alpha_2 > -\frac{1}{2}, \qquad \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) > -\frac{1}{2}, \qquad |||\beta||| < 1, \tag{1.17}$$

and assume that

$$\alpha_1 \pm \beta_1, \quad \alpha_2 \pm \beta_2, \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \pm \beta_1 \pm \beta_2 \notin \{-1, -2, -3, \ldots\}. \tag{1.18}$$

If $2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2) \notin \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, there exists a solution $\sigma(s)$ of equation (1.14) with the following asymptotic behavior as $|s| \to 0$ along the negative imaginary axis:

$$\sigma(s) = 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 + \beta_2)^2 - \frac{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\beta_1 + \beta_2)}{2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}s + \tau_0|s|^{1+2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^2) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{2+4(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}), \qquad s \to -i0_+, \tag{1.19}$$

where

$$\tau_0 = -\frac{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2)\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2)}{2\pi\Gamma(1 + 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))^2} \frac{\Gamma(1 + 2\alpha_1)\Gamma(1 + 2\alpha_2)}{\Gamma(2 + 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))} \times \left[e^{i\pi(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)} \frac{\sin\pi(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2)}{\sin 2\pi(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} + e^{-i\pi(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)} \frac{\sin\pi(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2)}{\sin 2\pi(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} - e^{i\pi(\beta_1-\beta_2)}\right], \tag{1.20}$$

and with the following asymptotic behavior as $|s| \to \infty$ along the negative imaginary axis:

$$\sigma(s) = \frac{\beta_2 - \beta_1}{2} s - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 - \beta_2)^2 \pm \frac{s\gamma(s)}{1+\gamma(s)} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1+|||\beta|||}), \qquad s \to -i\infty, \tag{1.21}$$

where "+" is taken for $\operatorname{Re}(\beta_1 - \beta_2) \geq 0$, *"-" for* $\operatorname{Re}(\beta_1 - \beta_2) < 0$, *and*

$$\gamma(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}\left|\frac{s}{2}\right|^{2(-1+\beta_1-\beta_2)} e^{-i|s|} e^{i\pi(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha_1-\beta_1)\Gamma(1+\alpha_2+\beta_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\beta_1)\Gamma(\alpha_2-\beta_2)}, & \operatorname{Re}(\beta_1-\beta_2) \geq 0, \\ \frac{1}{4}\left|\frac{s}{2}\right|^{2(-1+\beta_2-\beta_1)} e^{i|s|} e^{-i\pi(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha_2-\beta_2)\Gamma(1+\alpha_1+\beta_1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+\beta_2)\Gamma(\alpha_1-\beta_1)}, & \operatorname{Re}(\beta_1-\beta_2) < 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.22}$$

If $2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, *there exists a solution* $\sigma(s)$ *of equation (1.14) satisfying*

$$\sigma(s) = 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2 + \mathcal{O}(|s \ln |s||), \qquad s \to -i0_+, \tag{1.23}$$

and satisfying (1.21) as $s \to -i\infty$.
Moreover, if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in i\mathbb{R}$, *there exists a solution* $\sigma(s)$ *which is real and free of poles for* $s \in -i\mathbb{R}^+$, *and which has the asymptotics (1.19) (or (1.23) if* $2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$*) and (1.21).*

Remark 1.2 We will construct solutions $\sigma(s)$ satisfying the above properties in terms of a Riemann-Hilbert (RH) problem which depends on the parameters $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ and on s. The solutions constructed in this way will be the ones appearing in the asymptotic expansion for the Toeplitz determinants $D_n(f_t)$. However, we do not prove that there is only one solution σ which satisfies the properties given in Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.3 Equation (1.14) depends, through $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_4$, on the three independent parameters α_1, α_2, and $\beta_1 + \beta_2$. On the other hand, the solutions described in the above theorem depend not only on the sum $\beta_1 + \beta_2$, but also on β_1 and β_2 independently. This means that, given α_1, α_2, and $\beta_1 + \beta_2$, the asymptotics (1.21) and (1.19), (1.23) specify a one-parameter family of solutions to the same differential equation (1.14).

Remark 1.4 The function $\sigma(s)$ has a branching point at zero (any other singularities of $\sigma(s)$ are poles) and is defined on the plane with a cut from zero to infinity. The assumption in the theorem that s is on the negative imaginary axis is not essential: it is adopted for simplicity and in view of the application in Theorem 1.5 below. A simple modification of the proof shows that the asymptotics (1.19), (1.21), (1.23) hold along a path from zero to infinity in a neighborhood of the negative imaginary axis. This fact is used in Theorem 1.8 below.

We now state the result about Toeplitz determinants for the case $\alpha_j, i\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1.5 *Let* $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1+\alpha_2 > -1/2$ *and* $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in i\mathbb{R}$. *Let* $D_n(f_t)$ *be the Toeplitz determinant (1.6) corresponding to the symbol (1.2). The following asymptotic expansion holds as* $n \to \infty$ *with the error term uniform for* $t \in (0, t_0)$, *where* t_0 *is sufficiently small:*

$$\begin{aligned} \ln D_n(f_t) &= \ln D_n(f_0) + int(\beta_2 - \beta_1) + \int_0^{-2int} \frac{1}{s}\left(\sigma(s) - 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2\right) ds \\ &+ 2(\beta_1\beta_2 - \alpha_1\alpha_2) \ln \frac{\sin t}{t} + 2it(\alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_2) - \alpha_1(V(e^{it}) - V(1)) \\ &- \alpha_2(V(e^{-it}) - V(1)) + \beta_1 \ln \frac{b_+(e^{it})b_-(1)}{b_-(e^{it})b_+(1)} + \beta_2 \ln \frac{b_+(e^{-it})b_-(1)}{b_-(e^{-it})b_+(1)} + o(1), \end{aligned} \tag{1.24}$$

where the function $\sigma(s)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.1: it solves equation (1.14), has the asymptotics (1.19) if $2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\notin\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ ((1.23) otherwise) and (1.21), and has no poles for $s\in -i\mathbb{R}^+$. Here $\ln D_n(f_0)$ is given by (1.13).

Remark 1.6 The integral in (1.24) is well-defined by (1.19), (1.23), and by the fact that σ has no poles on the interval of integration.

Remark 1.7 If $\alpha_1=\alpha_2=\beta_1=\beta_2=\frac{1}{2}$, the function $\sigma(s)$ is identically zero, as we show in Section 3.2. Note that in this case, the parameters $\theta_1,\dots,\theta_4$ in the Painlevé equation (1.14) are given by $\theta_1=\theta_3=0$, $\theta_2=1$, $\theta_4=-1$, and it is easily verified that $\sigma(s)=0$ solves (1.14), and that it satisfies the asymptotic conditions (1.23) and (1.21). Although $\beta_1,\beta_2\notin i\mathbb{R}$ in this case, the asymptotic expansion (1.24) holds and becomes elementary.

An extension of the previous theorem to the generic case $|||\beta|||<1$ is the following.

Theorem 1.8 *Let* $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_1,\operatorname{Re}\alpha_2,\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)>-1/2$, $|||\beta|||<1$, *and*

$$\alpha_1\pm\beta_1,\alpha_2\pm\beta_2,\alpha_1+\alpha_2\pm\beta_1\pm\beta_2\notin\{-1,-2,-3,\dots\}.$$

Let $D_n(f_t)$ be the Toeplitz determinant (1.6) corresponding to the symbol (1.2). There exists a finite set $\Omega=\{s_1,\dots,s_\ell\}\subset -i\mathbb{R}^+=(0,-i\infty)$ (with $\ell=\ell(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2)$) such that the asymptotic expansion (1.24) holds uniformly for $t\in(0,t_0)$, where t_0 is sufficiently small, provided $-2int$ is bounded away from Ω. The path of integration in the integral on the r.h.s. of (1.24) is chosen in the complex s-plane to avoid the points of Ω. The function $\sigma(s)$ is a solution to (1.14) with the asymptotics (1.19) (or (1.23) if $2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$) and (1.21).

Remark 1.9 The set Ω is the set of points where the Riemann-Hilbert problem associated to $\sigma(s)$ is not solvable. Ω contains the poles of $\sigma(s)$. A pole s_j corresponds to a zero in the asymptotics of the determinant $D_n(f_t)$ for $t_j=is_j/(2n)$. Different choices of the integration contour in (1.24) correspond to different branches of $\ln D_n$. For $\alpha_j,i\beta_j\in\mathbb{R}$, we show in Section 3.4 that Ω has no points on the half-line $-i\mathbb{R}^+$, and hence a simpler formulation of the result in Theorem 1.5.

Remark 1.10 An estimate for the error term in (1.24) for both theorems is given in the Proposition 8.1 below.

If $t\to 0$ sufficiently fast so that also $nt\to 0$, we immediately obtain (1.13) from (1.24). Let us check that we also recover (1.8) from (1.24) when t is fixed, and so $nt\to\infty$. Note first that it follows from the asymptotics for σ that, given (1.17), (1.18),

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{-2int}\frac{1}{s}\left(\sigma(s)-2\alpha_1\alpha_2+\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2\right)ds&\\ =-int(\beta_2-\beta_1)-\left(\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1-\beta_2)^2+\sigma(0)\right)\ln(2nt)+\mathcal{O}(1)&\\ =-int(\beta_2-\beta_1)-2(\alpha_1\alpha_2-\beta_1\beta_2)\ln(2nt)+\mathcal{O}(1),\qquad nt\to\infty.&\end{aligned}\tag{1.25}$$

Substituting this expression into the right hand side of (1.24), we obtain the terms with n and with $\ln n$ in (1.8). Equality of the constant in n terms in both expressions for t

fixed gives the following integral identity for $\sigma(s)$:

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty}\left(\int_0^{-iT}\frac{1}{s}\left(\sigma(s)-2\alpha_1\alpha_2+\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2\right)ds+\frac{iT}{2}(\beta_2-\beta_1)+2(\alpha_1\alpha_2-\beta_1\beta_2)\ln T\right)$$
$$=i\pi(\alpha_1\beta_2-\alpha_2\beta_1)-\ln\frac{G(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_1+\beta_1+\beta_2)G(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_1-\beta_1-\beta_2)}{G(1+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2)}$$
$$+\ln\frac{G(1+\alpha_1+\beta_1)G(1+\alpha_1-\beta_1)G(1+\alpha_2+\beta_2)G(1+\alpha_2-\beta_2)}{G(1+2\alpha_1)G(1+2\alpha_2)}. \quad (1.26)$$

This identity is a deep result which contains global information about σ. We believe that it is of independent interest in the study of Painlevé transcendents.

The following result extends the expansion (1.8), known for fixed singularities z_1, z_2 independent of n, to the case where the two singularities approach each other at a sufficiently slow rate as $n\to\infty$.

Theorem 1.11 *Let* $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_1,\operatorname{Re}\alpha_2>-1/2$, $|||\beta|||<1$, *and* $\alpha_1\pm\beta_1$, $\alpha_2\pm\beta_2\notin\{-1,-2,\dots\}$. *Let* $D_n(f_t)$ *be the Toeplitz determinant (1.6) corresponding to the symbol (1.2);* $\omega(x)$ *be any positive, smooth for large* x *function such that* $\omega(n)\to\infty$, $\omega(n)/n\to 0$ *as* $n\to\infty$.

Then the expansion (1.8) holds as $n\to\infty$ *for* $\omega(n)/n\le t<t_0$ *with* t_0 *sufficiently small. The error term in (1.8) in this case is* $o(1)=\mathcal{O}(\omega(n)^{-1+|||\beta|||})$, *uniformly in* t.

Moreover, there exist positive constants n_0, s_0, c_0, *depending only on* α_j, β_j, $j=1,2$, *and* $V(z)$, *such that the expansion (1.8) holds for* $n>n_0$ *with the error term* $o(1)$ *replaced by a function* $\upsilon(s)$, $s=nt$, *satisfying the estimate* $|\upsilon(s)|<c_0s^{-1+|||\beta|||}$ *for* $s\ge s_0$, $t<t_0$.

To complete the analysis of the nondegenerate (by which we mean that the condition (1.18) holds) situation it remains to consider the case $|||\beta|||=1$. We have

Theorem 1.12 *Let* $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_1,\operatorname{Re}\alpha_2,\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)>-1/2$, *and assume (1.18). Let* $|||\beta|||=0$, *and denote* $\beta_1^-=\beta_1$, $\beta_2^-=\beta_2-1$, $f_t^-=f_t(z;\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1^-,\beta_2^-)$, $f_t=f_t(z;\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2)$. *Then* $|||\beta^-|||=1$. *There exists a sufficiently large* C_0 *such that the following asymptotic expansion holds outside the set* Ω *of Theorem 1.8:*

$$D_{n-1}(f_t^-)=e^{-i(n-1)t}b_0^{-1}D_n(f_t)$$
$$\times\begin{cases}-r(-2int)\frac{b_-(1)}{b_+(1)}t\left(\frac{nt}{\sin t}\right)^{2(\beta_1+\beta_2)}e^{i\pi(-\alpha_1+3\beta_1+\alpha_2+\beta_2)}(1+\mathcal{O}(t)), & 0<t\le C_0/n\\ n^{2\beta_1-1}z_1^{-n+1}\frac{b_-(z_1)}{b_+(z_1)}\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha_1-\beta_1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\beta_1)}e^{i(\pi-2t)\alpha_2}(2\sin t)^{-2\beta_2}\\ \qquad\times(1+\mathcal{O}((nt)^{-1}))\\ \quad+n^{2\beta_2-1}z_2^{-n+1}\frac{b_-(z_2)}{b_+(z_2)}\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha_2-\beta_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+\beta_2)}e^{i(-\pi+2t)\alpha_1}(2\sin t)^{-2\beta_1}\\ \qquad\times(1+\mathcal{O}((nt)^{-1})), & C_0/n<t<t_0,\end{cases} \quad (1.27)$$

as $n\to\infty$, *with the error term uniform for* $-2int$ *bounded away from* Ω. *Here the asymptotics for* $D_n(f_t)$ *are given by Theorem 1.8, and* $r(s)$ *is a Painlevé V function defined in Section 3.3. In particular,* $r(s)$ *is related to* $\sigma(s)$ *by (3.55), and has the large-s asymptotics (9.16) and the small-s asymptotics (9.18).*

Remark 1.13 The large-s expansion for $r(s)$ implies, by Remark 9.2 below, that the 2 parts of the asymptotics (1.27) coincide in a neighborhood of the boundary $t=C_0/n$. Thus (1.27) is a complete analogue of (1.24).

Remark 1.14 If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, the function $r(s)$ is elementary, namely (as we obtain in Section 9.2),

$$r(s) = -\frac{\sin nt}{(nt)^2}, \qquad s = -2int.$$

In Section 9.2 we then show that, in this case, the part of (1.27) for $C_0/n < t < t_0$ holds uniformly for the whole range $0 < t < t_0$. This fact was used in [9] to analyze the eigenvalues of the Toeplitz matrix $T_n(g)$ with a smooth real-valued symbol g in a small neighbourhood of the edge of the spectrum. The rest of the eigenvalues of $T_n(g)$ were analyzed in [9] using the results of [7] for FH singularities at a nonzero distance from each other.

Finally, let us verify that (1.27) reduces to (1.13) as $|s| \to 0$, and to (1.11) as $|s| \to \infty$. In the former case, we substitute the small s asymptotics (9.18) of $r(s)$ and the formula (1.13) for $D_n(f_t)$ into (1.27) and obtain by a straightforward calculation which uses the property $G(z+1) = \Gamma(z)G(z)$ of the Barnes G-function that $D_{n-1}(f_t^-)$ is given by (1.13) with n replaced by $n-1$ and with β_2 replaced by β_2^-. Consider now $|s| \to \infty$. We have $\beta' = \beta^-$, and $\beta_1'' = \beta_1^- - 1 = \beta_1 - 1$, $\beta_2'' = \beta_2^- + 1 = \beta_2$. Using the expansion (1.8) for $D_n(f_t)$ and the second part of (1.27), we obtain (1.11) for $D_{n-1}(f_t^-)$. In particular, this extends the validity of (1.11) (with the appropriately changed estimate for the error term): cf. Theorem 1.11 above.

Applications

In view of the applications we discuss below, consider a special case $V(z) = 0$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \equiv \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We first prove the following.

Theorem 1.15 *Let*

$$f_t(z) = |z - e^{it}|^{2\alpha}|z - e^{-it}|^{2\alpha}, \qquad \alpha > -\frac{1}{4}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.28}$$

Let $0 < t_1 < \pi$. Then, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\int_0^{t_1} D_n(f_t)dt = \begin{cases} C_1(t_1,\alpha)n^{2\alpha^2}(1+o(1)) & \text{if} \quad 2\alpha^2 < 1, \\ C_2 n \ln n(1+o(1)) & \text{if} \quad 2\alpha^2 = 1, \\ \int_0^{\omega(n)/n} D_n(f_t)dt(1+o(1)) = C_3(\alpha)n^{4\alpha^2-1}(1+o(1)) & \text{if} \quad 2\alpha^2 > 1. \end{cases} \tag{1.29}$$

Here $\omega(x)$ is any positive, smooth for large x function such that $\omega(n) \to \infty$, $\omega(n)/n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$; C_1, C_2, C_3 are positive constants

$$C_1(t_1,\alpha) = \frac{G(1+\alpha)^4}{2^{2\alpha^2}G(1+2\alpha)^2}\int_0^{t_1}(\sin t)^{-2\alpha^2}dt, \tag{1.30}$$

$$C_2 = \frac{G(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^4}{2G(1+\sqrt{2})^2}, \tag{1.31}$$

$$C_3(\alpha) = \frac{G(1+2\alpha)^2}{G(1+4\alpha)}\left[\int_0^1 \exp\left\{\int_0^{-2iu}\frac{\sigma(s)-2\alpha^2}{s}ds\right\}du \right. \\ \left. + \exp\left\{\int_0^{-2i}\frac{\sigma(s)-2\alpha^2}{s}ds\right\}\int_1^\infty \exp\left\{\int_{-2i}^{-2iu}\frac{\sigma(s)}{s}ds\right\}u^{-2\alpha^2}du\right], \tag{1.32}$$

where $\sigma(s)$ (real-valued for $s \in -i\mathbb{R}_+$) is the solution to the Painlevé V equation appearing in (1.24) with the parameters $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$.

Remark 1.16 In the case $2\alpha^2 < 1$, the leading order asymptotic term for the integral comes from the expansion (1.8), i.e., from the integration outside of a contracting neighborhood $[0, \omega(n)/n)$, whereas in the case $2\alpha^2 > 1$, the leading order asymptotic term comes from the integration over this neighborhood.

Proof. Note first, as follows from (1.24) and the positivity of $D_n(f_t)$ for real-valued symbols f_t, that $\sigma(s)$ is real-valued for $s \in -i\mathbb{R}_+$ with our choice (1.28) of f_t. Moreover, we have by Theorem 1.1,

$$\sigma(s) = \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1}), \qquad s \to -i\infty, \tag{1.33}$$

$$\sigma(s) = 2\alpha^2 + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{1+4\alpha}) + \mathcal{O}(|s \ln |s||), \qquad s \to -i0. \tag{1.34}$$

We divide the interval of integration $t \in (0, t_1)$ into 3 regions, $0 < nt \leq 1$, $1 < nt \leq \omega(n)$, $\omega(n)/n < t \leq t_1$.

For $0 < nt \leq 1$ (and, in general, for $0 < t < t_0$), we obtain from Theorem 1.5 setting $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$, $V(z) = 0$ in (1.24) and (1.13):

$$\ln D_n(f_t) = 4\alpha^2 \ln n + \ln \frac{G(1+2\alpha)^2}{G(1+4\alpha)} + \int_0^{-2int} \frac{\sigma(s) - 2\alpha^2}{s} ds - 2\alpha^2 \ln \frac{\sin t}{t} + o(1), \tag{1.35}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Note that both $\int_0^{-2int} (\sigma(s) - 2\alpha^2) \frac{ds}{s}$ and $\ln \frac{\sin t}{t}$ are uniformly bounded for $0 < nt \leq 1$.

For $1 < nt \leq \omega(n)$, we write the above formula in the form:

$$\ln D_n(f_t) = 2\alpha^2 \ln n + \ln \frac{G(1+2\alpha)^2}{G(1+4\alpha)} + \int_0^{-2i} \frac{\sigma(s) - 2\alpha^2}{s} ds + \int_{-2i}^{-2int} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} ds - 2\alpha^2 \ln \sin t + o(1), \tag{1.36}$$

as $n \to \infty$, and note that $\int_{-2i}^{-2int} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} ds$ is uniformly bounded for $1 < nt \leq \omega(n)$.

For $\omega(n)/n < t \leq t_1$, by Theorem 1.11, we can use the expansion (1.8) for $\ln D_n(f_t)$.

We are now ready to compute the integral. First, using (1.35), replacing $(\sin t)/t$ by 1 to the leading order, and changing the integration variable $t = u/n$, we obtain

$$\int_0^{1/n} D_n(f_t) dt = n^{4\alpha^2 - 1} \frac{G(1+2\alpha)^2}{G(1+4\alpha)} \int_0^1 \exp\left\{ \int_0^{-2iu} \frac{\sigma(s) - 2\alpha^2}{s} ds \right\} du (1 + o(1)). \tag{1.37}$$

Next, using (1.8) and Theorem 1.11 (uniformity of the error term in the interval (t_0, t_1) follows from the analysis in [8]), we obtain

$$\int_{\omega(n)/n}^{t_1} D_n(f_t) dt = n^{2\alpha^2} \frac{G(1+\alpha)^4}{2^{2\alpha^2} G(1+2\alpha)^2} \int_{\omega(n)/n}^{t_1} (\sin t)^{-2\alpha^2} dt (1 + o(1)). \tag{1.38}$$

Finally, by (1.36),

$$\int_{1/n}^{\omega(n)/n} D_n(f_t) dt = n^{2\alpha^2} \frac{G(1+2\alpha)^2}{G(1+4\alpha)} \times \exp\left\{ \int_0^{-2i} \frac{\sigma(s) - 2\alpha^2}{s} ds \right\} \int_{1/n}^{\omega(n)/n} \psi(t) t^{-2\alpha^2} dt \; (1 + o(1)), \tag{1.39}$$

where

$$\psi(t) = \exp\left\{\int_{-2i}^{-2int} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} ds\right\} \left(\frac{\sin t}{t}\right)^{-2\alpha^2}$$

is bounded and bounded away from zero, uniformly for $1/n < t < t_0$. The integration region $(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{\omega(n)}{n})$ is the most interesting one. If $2\alpha^2 < 1$, the rightmost integral in (1.39) converges at zero, and we can write (1.39) as follows:

$$\int_{1/n}^{\omega(n)/n} D_n(f_t)dt = o(n^{2\alpha^2}), \qquad 2\alpha^2 < 1. \tag{1.40}$$

This formula together with (1.37) and (1.38) proves the theorem in the case of $2\alpha^2 < 1$. We see that the contributions of (1.37) and (1.40) are only subleading.

If $2\alpha^2 > 1$, the rightmost integral in (1.39) does not converge at zero. We write

$$\int_{1/n}^{\omega(n)/n} \psi(t) t^{-2\alpha^2} dt = n^{2\alpha^2-1} \int_1^{\omega(n)} \psi(u/n) u^{-2\alpha^2} du, \tag{1.41}$$

where the integral in the r.h.s. converges at infinity. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_1^{\omega(n)} \psi(u/n) u^{-2\alpha^2} du &= \int_1^{\omega(n)} \exp\left\{\int_{-2i}^{-2iu} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} ds\right\} u^{-2\alpha^2} du (1 + \mathcal{O}([\omega(n)/n]^2)) \\ &= \int_1^{\infty} \exp\left\{\int_{-2i}^{-2iu} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} ds\right\} u^{-2\alpha^2} du (1 + o(1)). \end{aligned} \tag{1.42}$$

Substituting this into (1.41), and that into (1.39), and adding the contribution of (1.37), we obtain (1.29) for $2\alpha^2 > 1$: a simple analysis of (1.38) shows that it gives only a subleading in n contribution.

If $2\alpha^2 = 1$, the integral (1.37) is

$$\int_0^{1/n} D_n(f_t)dt = \mathcal{O}(n). \tag{1.43}$$

We rewrite the integral (1.38) for $2\alpha^2 = 1$ (by adding and subtracting $1/t$ in the integral on the r.h.s.) as follows:

$$\int_{\omega(n)/n}^{t_1} D_n(f_t)dt = n \frac{G(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^4}{2G(1+\sqrt{2})^2} \left(\int_0^{t_1} \left(\frac{1}{\sin t} - \frac{1}{t}\right) dt + \ln \frac{nt_1}{\omega(n)}\right) (1+o(1)). \tag{1.44}$$

For $2\alpha^2 = 1$, the integral in the r.h.s. of (1.41) does not converge at infinity. We then add and subtract from the integrand $u^{-1} \exp\{\int_{-2i}^{-i\infty} \sigma(s) s^{-1} ds\}$. Substituting the result into (1.39) and using the identity (1.26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{1/n}^{\omega(n)/n} D_n(f_t)dt = n \frac{G(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^4}{2G(1+\sqrt{2})^2} &\left[\ln \omega(n) \right. \\ &\left. + \int_1^{\infty} \left(\exp\left\{-\int_{-2iu}^{-i\infty} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} ds\right\} - 1\right) \frac{du}{u}\right] (1 + o(1)). \end{aligned} \tag{1.45}$$

Adding (1.43), (1.45), and (1.44) together, we obtain the statement of the theorem for the case $2\alpha^2 = 1$. (Note that the contribution of the terms of order $n \log \omega(n)$ cancels in the sum.) This completes the proof of (1.29). □

Theorem 1.15 is relevant for some problems in random matrices, number theory, and statistical physics.

Let $p_n(\theta) = \det(U - e^{i\theta} I)$ be the characteristic polynomial of an $n \times n$ matrix U from the circular unitary ensemble of random matrices, i.e., the distribution of U is given by the Haar measure on the unitary group. There exists a large body of conjectural evidence (see [21] and references therein, see also [26]) which relates $p_n(\theta)$ for large n to the Riemann ζ-function on the critical line $\zeta(1/2 + ix)$. First was an observation of Keating and Snaith [25] that the averages $\int_0^T |\zeta(1/2 + ix)|^{2\alpha} dx$ behave for large T in a similar way as the expectation

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{|p_n(\theta)|^{2\alpha}\right\} \tag{1.46}$$

does for large n (note that it does not depend on θ due to the rotational symmetry). It follows by the Heine-type multi-integral representation for Toeplitz determinants

$$D_n(f) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \prod_{0 \le j < k \le n-1} \left| e^{i\theta_j} - e^{i\theta_k} \right|^2 \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} f\left(e^{i\theta_j}\right) \frac{d\theta_j}{2\pi} \tag{1.47}$$

that the expectation (1.46) is the Toeplitz determinant $D_n(f)$ with symbol $f(z) = |z-1|^{2\alpha}$, and therefore its large n behavior is given by a particular case of (1.13). The observation of Keating and Snaith enabled them to make a remarkably detailed conjecture on the large T asymptotics of the averages of the ζ-function, in particular, to predict the appearance of Barnes' G-function in the formula.

In a similar vein, it is argued in [21] that maximal values of $|\zeta(1/2 + ix)|$ over an interval of the critical line, a classical problem, are related to the distribution of large values of $|p_n(\theta)|$. The characteristic polynomial $p_n(\theta)$ also models [21] extreme properties of the Gaussian free field and the $1/f$-noise, and is related to the question of the so-called freezing transition in statistical models. In this connection, one would like to estimate the moments (cf. (1.46)) [21, formula (67)]:

$$M_k = \int_0^L d\theta_1 \cdots \int_0^L d\theta_k \mathbb{E}\left\{|p_n(\theta_1)|^{2\alpha} \cdots |p_n(\theta_k)|^{2\alpha}\right\}, \qquad k = 2, 3, \ldots.$$

The expectation inside the integrals is the Toeplitz determinant $D_n(f)$ with symbol $f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^k |z - e^{i\theta_j}|^{2\alpha}$. Let $k = 2$ and fix $L > 0$. Then, using Theorem 1.15 and the invariance of the determinant with respect to rotations of the circle, we immediately obtain that the second moment $M_2 = \mathcal{O}(n^{2\alpha^2})$ for $2\alpha^2 < 1$, and $M_2 = \mathcal{O}(n^{4\alpha^2 - 1})$ for $2\alpha^2 > 1$. This behavior of M_2 was conjectured by Fyodorov and Keating [21]. Note that there are further interesting conjectures in [21] about the asymptotics of a general moment M_k and about the distribution of large values of $|p_n(\theta)|$, but we do not address them here.

Another application of Theorem 1.15 is to the problem of Bose-Einstein condensation. Consider the one-dimensional gas of impenetrable bosons. This particle system was introduced by Girardeau [22] in 1960. It is one of a very few many-body systems which allow exact analysis without any approximations. (It is a limiting case of the one-dimensional Bose gas with δ-function interactions, a model introduced later by Lieb and Liniger [28]). Namely, consider the following system of $n \ge 2$ particles in one dimension in a box of size L: the wavefunction $\psi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ obeys the free-particle Schrödinger equation, ψ satisfies the periodic boundary conditions with period L, ψ

is symmetric with respect to interchange of particles, $\psi = 0$ whenever two particle coordinates coincide. Then the wavefunction of the ground state of the system is the following:

$$\psi_0(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (n! L^n)^{-1/2} \prod_{1 \le j < k \le n} |e^{2\pi i x_j/L} - e^{2\pi i x_k/L}|.$$

The one-particle reduced density matrix is given by

$$\rho^{(n,L)}(x-y) = n \int_0^L dx_1 \cdots \int_0^L dx_{n-1} \psi_0(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x) \psi_0(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y). \quad (1.48)$$

Let $R_n(t)$ be defined by the identity

$$\rho^{(n,L)}(\xi) = \frac{1}{L} R_n\left(\frac{2\pi\xi}{L}\right). \quad (1.49)$$

It follows from (1.48) and the Heine representation (1.47) that $R_n(t)$ is the Toeplitz determinant

$$R_n(t) = D_{n-1}(f_{t/2}), \qquad f_{t/2}(z) = |z - e^{it/2}||z - e^{-it/2}|. \quad (1.50)$$

This fact was first noticed by Lenard [27] in 1963. He used it to prove the absence of Bose-Einstein condensation in the ground state (and thus to confirm a result of Schultz [33] who showed the absence of condensation by another method) as follows.

The Fourier coefficient of $\rho^{(n,L)}(\xi)$

$$\rho_k = \int_0^L \rho^{(n,L)}(\xi) e^{-2\pi i k\xi/L} d\xi, \qquad k = 0, \pm 1, \ldots,$$

is the expectation value of the number of particles with momentum $2\pi k/L$. According to the criterion of Penrose and Onsager, there is no Bose-Einstein condensation if the largest eigenvalue of the density matrix is less than of order n as $n = L \to \infty$. Since $\rho^{(n,n)}(x-y)$ is translationally invariant, its eigenvalues are the Fourier coefficients ρ_k. The largest eigenvalue is ρ_0. Thus, by (1.49), (1.50), Lenard had to evaluate the integral

$$\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} R_n(t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} D_{n-1}(f_{t/2}) dt, \qquad f_{t/2}(z) = |z - e^{it/2}||z - e^{-it/2}|. \quad (1.51)$$

At that time, in 1963, even (1.8) was not known. However, Szegő obtained the bound (see [10] for a historical account):

$$R_n(t) < \left| \frac{en}{\sin(t/2)} \right|^{1/2}.$$

Substituting this into (1.51), Lenard observed that $\rho_0 = \mathcal{O}(n^{1/2})$, which implies, in particular, that there is no Bose-Einstein condensation in the ground state.

The question of precise large n asymptotics of the largest (zero-momentum) occupation number ρ_0 was addressed by Dyson [11]. Using a Coulomb gas interpretation of $D_{n-1}(f_{t/2})$ and physical arguments (see [10] for details), Dyson conjectured that

$$\rho_0 = C_D n^{1/2}(1 + o(1)), \qquad C_D = \left(\frac{e}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} 2^{-5/6} A^{-6} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2, \quad (1.52)$$

where $A = e^{\frac{1}{12}} e^{-\zeta'(-1)}$ is Glaisher's constant.

We are now in a position to verify this conjecture. Indeed, it follows from (1.51), from Theorem 1.15 with $\alpha = 1/2$ ($2\alpha^2 = 2(1/2)^2 = 1/2 < 1$), and from well-known formulae for Barnes' G-function that

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} D_{n-1}(f_{t/2})dt = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi/2} D_{n-1}(f_t)dt \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\pi} G(1+1/2)^4 \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin t)^{-1/2} dt(1+o(1)) = \frac{\sqrt{n\pi}}{2}\Gamma(1/4)^2 G(1/2)^4(1+o(1)) \\ &= \left(\frac{en}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} 2^{-5/6} A^{-6} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 (1+o(1)), \quad (1.53)\end{aligned}$$

which proves Dyson's conjecture (1.52).

For further related conjectures, which can now be approached by similar methods, see the work of Forrester, Frankel, Garoni, and Witte [18].

In [33], Schultz made his conclusion about the absence of Bose-Einstein condensation by relating the system to the XY spin-1/2 chain, another exactly solvable model. Manipulating resulting formulae, Lenard [27] obtained an expression for the double-scaling limit $\rho(\xi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \rho^{(n,n)}(\xi)$ in terms of a Fredholm minor with a sine-kernel. The small and large ξ behaviors of $\rho(\xi)$ were analyzed by Vaidya and Tracy in [36] by identifying $\rho(\xi)$ with a 2-point correlation function of the XY spin chain. Jimbo, Miwa, Môri, and Sato [24] then showed that $\rho(\xi)$ satisfies Painlevé V. Let us compare the formulae of [24] with our results. We obtain from (1.49), (1.50), using (1.24) with $V \equiv 0$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1/2$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$, that

$$\rho(\xi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \rho^{(n,n)}(\xi) = \exp\left\{\int_0^{\pi\xi} \widehat{\sigma}(x)\frac{dx}{x}\right\}, \qquad \widehat{\sigma}(x) = \sigma(-2ix) - 1/2,$$

and we have that $\widehat{\sigma}(x) = x\frac{d}{dx}\ln\rho(x/\pi)$. Now it is easy to verify that (1.14) for $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1/2$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$, reduces to [24, Formula (2.22) with changed notation: $\sigma \to \widehat{\sigma}$], and that the small and large s expansions of Theorem 1.1 in the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1/2$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$ are consistent with [24, Formula (2.23)] and the expansions of [36]. Note that the latter are much more detailed than Theorem 1.1 in this case. Theorem 1.5 completes the analysis of the scaling limit for $\rho^{(n,n)}(\xi)$ ((1.49), (1.50)) in [27, 33, 36, 24] by providing *uniform* asymptotics for $0 < t < t_0$.

Outline

In Section 2, we relate the Toeplitz determinants $D_n(f_t)$ to a system of polynomials orthogonal with weight $f_t(z)$ on the unit circle and characterize those polynomials in terms of a Riemann-Hilbert (RH) problem. We obtain an identity for $\frac{d}{dt}\ln D_n(f_t)$ in terms of the solution Y to the RH problem for the orthogonal polynomials.

In Section 3, we characterize the Painlevé V transcendent $\sigma(s)$ in terms of a RH problem, and we show that this RH problem is solvable for certain values of the parameters. In Section 4, we state an auxiliary RH problem for the confluent hypergeometric function; we use this RH problem in Section 5 and Section 6 to obtain large s and small s asymptotics, respectively, for the Painlevé RH problem and for the Painlevé function σ. This gives the proof of Theorem 1.1. The asymptotics for large s are built out of 3 parametrices: a global one given in terms of elementary functions and 2 local

ones around z_k, in terms of confluent hypergeometric functions. The asymptotics for small s are built out of 2 parametrices: the global one, in terms of a confluent hypergeometric function, and the local one, in terms of a hypergeometric function (we have 2 singularities in the same neighborhood in this case).

In Section 7, we solve the RH problem for the orthogonal polynomials for large n uniformly for $0 < t < t_0$, and in Section 8, we use this solution to prove Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.8. In Section 9, we prove Theorem 1.12.

The outline of the present paper is similar to that of [5]. However, all the details are different, and the analysis here is considerably more involved. The main reasons for this are as follows: (a) the transition studied here is between 2 different power-law behaviors of the determinant whereas in [5] it was between an exponential and a power-law behavior; (b) the "interaction" of 2 jump-type singularities on the unit circle leads to larger error terms to control, especially in the case of $|||\beta||| \geq 1/2$. One of the consequences of (a) is that we have to construct different local parametrices near $z = 1$ for the orthogonal polynomial RH problem: for t less than of order $1/n$, and for t larger than that, see Section 7.5.

2 Riemann-Hilbert (RH) problem for a system of orthogonal polynomials and a differential identity.

2.1 RH problem for orthogonal polynomials

Assume that $D_n(f_t) \neq 0$, $D_{n+1}(f_t) \neq 0$. Let $\sqrt{z} > 0$ for $z > 0$. Define the polynomials ϕ_n, $\widehat{\phi}_n$ by the formulae:

$$\phi_n(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_n(f_t)D_{n+1}(f_t)}} \begin{vmatrix} f_{t,0} & f_{t,-1} & \dots & f_{t,-n} \\ f_{t,1} & f_{t,0} & \dots & f_{t,-n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_{t,n-1} & f_{t,n-2} & \dots & f_{t,-1} \\ 1 & z & \dots & z^n \end{vmatrix} = \chi_n z^n + \dots, \tag{2.1}$$

where the leading coefficient χ_n is given by

$$\chi_n = \sqrt{\frac{D_n(f_t)}{D_{n+1}(f_t)}}, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\widehat{\phi}_n(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_n(f_t)D_{n+1}(f_t)}} \begin{vmatrix} f_{t,0} & f_{t,-1} & \dots & f_{t,-n+1} & 1 \\ f_{t,1} & f_{t,0} & \dots & f_{t,-n+2} & z \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_{t,n} & f_{t,n-1} & \dots & f_{t,1} & z^n \end{vmatrix} = \chi_n z^n + \dots, \tag{2.3}$$

i.e., up to a constant, $\phi_n(z)$ is the determinant of a Toeplitz matrix with the last row replaced by the monomials $1, \dots, z^n$, and $\widehat{\phi}_n(z)$ is the determinant of a Toeplitz matrix with the last column replaced by the monomials $1, \dots, z^n$.

If $f_t(e^{i\theta})$ is positive (or $V(e^{i\theta})$ is real-valued and $\alpha_k, i\beta_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $k = 1, 2$) then as follows, e.g., from the integral representation for a Toeplitz determinant, $D_n(f_t) \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $\phi_n(z)$, $\widehat{\phi}_n(z)$ are defined for all n.

The above polynomials satisfy the orthogonality relations

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_C \phi_n(z)z^{-k}f_t(z)\frac{dz}{iz} = \chi_n^{-1}\delta_{nk}, \quad \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_C \widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1})z^k f_t(z)\frac{dz}{iz} = \chi_n^{-1}\delta_{nk}, \tag{2.4}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, where C denotes the unit circle oriented counterclockwise.

If $D_n(f_t)$, $D_{n-1}(f_t)$, and $D_{n+1}(f_t)$ are different from zero, then (as first observed by Fokas, Its, and Kitaev [15] for orthogonal polynomials on the real line (see, e.g., [6])), the matrix-valued function $Y(z; n, t)$ given by

$$Y(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_n^{-1}\phi_n(z) & \chi_n^{-1}\int_C \frac{\phi_n(\xi)}{\xi - z}\frac{f_t(\xi)d\xi}{2\pi i\xi^n} \\ -\chi_{n-1}z^{n-1}\widehat{\phi}_{n-1}(z^{-1}) & -\chi_{n-1}\int_C \frac{\widehat{\phi}_{n-1}(\xi^{-1})}{\xi - z}\frac{f_t(\xi)d\xi}{2\pi i\xi} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.5}$$

is the unique solution of the following Riemann-Hilbert problem:

RH problem for Y

(a) $Y : \mathbb{C} \setminus C \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) Let $z_1 = e^{it}$, $z_2 = e^{i(2\pi - t)}$. The continuous boundary values of Y from the inside, Y_+, and from the outside, Y_-, of the unit circle exist on $C \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}$, and are related by the jump condition

$$Y_+(z) = Y_-(z)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z^{-n}f_t(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{for } z \in C \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}.$$

(c) $Y(z) = (I + \mathcal{O}(1/z))\, z^{n\sigma_3}, \qquad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{as } z \to \infty.$

(d) As $z \to z_k$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus C$, $k = 1, 2$, we have

$$Y(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) + \mathcal{O}(|z - z_k|^{2\alpha_k}) \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) + \mathcal{O}(|z - z_k|^{2\alpha_k}) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{if} \quad \alpha_k \neq 0,$$

and

$$Y(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(|\ln|z - z_k||) \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(|\ln|z - z_k||) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{if} \quad \alpha_k = 0.$$

The uniqueness of the solution and the identity $\det Y(z) \equiv 1$ are standard facts which easily follow from the RH problem and Liouville's theorem.

In the next section 2.2, we will show that $\frac{d}{dt}\ln D_n(f_t)$ can be expressed exactly in terms of the RH solution Y for all n (see (2.9) below). In Section 7, we will solve this RH problem asymptotically for large n. In Section 8, we then substitute these asymptotics into (2.9), and integrate over t, which produces (1.24).

2.2 Differential identity

In this section, we will express $\frac{d}{dt}\ln D_n(f_t)$ in terms of the entries of the solution Y of the above RH problem.

In order to be able to derive a differential identity in the case where the symbol f is unbounded, i.e. if $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_k < 0$, we need to use the notion of a *regularized* integral over

the unit circle. Let F be an analytic function in a neighborhood of the unit circle, and let f be the symbol defined by (1.2), with $-\frac{1}{2} < \operatorname{Re}\alpha_k < 0$. Then

$$\int_C \frac{F(z)f(z)}{z-\zeta}dz = c(f,F)(\zeta - z_k)^{2\alpha_k} + \mathcal{O}(1), \qquad \zeta \to z_k. \tag{2.6}$$

We define the regularized integral for ζ near z_k by the expression:

$$\int_C{}^{(r)} \frac{F(z)f(z)}{z-\zeta}dz \equiv \int_C \frac{F(z)f(z)}{z-\zeta}dz - c(f,F)(\zeta - z_k)^{2\alpha_k}. \tag{2.7}$$

This object is bounded (although not analytic) in a complex neighborhood of z_k. From the analysis of similar integrals in [26], [8], it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_C{}^{(r)} \frac{F(z)f(z)}{z-z_k}dz \\ = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left[\int_{C\setminus C_\varepsilon} \frac{F(z)f(z)}{z-z_k}dz - \frac{F(z_k)}{2\alpha_k}\{f(z_k e^{-i\varepsilon}) - f(z_k e^{i\varepsilon})\}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$C_\varepsilon = \cup_{k=1,2}\{z \in C : |\arg z - \arg z_k| < \varepsilon\}.$$

We set $\widetilde{Y}(z) = Y(z)$ in a neighborhood of z_k if $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_k > 0$. If $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_k < 0$, the second column of Y has an expansion at z_k containing a growing term of order $(z-z_k)^{2\alpha_k}$; we set $\widetilde{Y}_{j1} = Y_{j1}$ for $j = 1, 2$, and $\widetilde{Y}_{j2} = Y_{j2} - c_j(z-z_k)^{2\alpha_k}$ with c_j such that $\widetilde{Y}$ is bounded in a neighborhood of z_k. This is the same as replacing the integrals in the definition of Y, see (2.5), by their regularized versions. With this definition of $\widetilde{Y}$, we have the following.

Proposition 2.1 *Let $t > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that the RH problem for $Y(z; n, t)$ is solvable. Then $D_n(f_t) \neq 0$, and the following differential identity holds for $\alpha_k \neq 0$, $k = 1, 2$:*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dt}\ln D_n(f_t) = \sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^k\left[n(\alpha_k+\beta_k) - 2\alpha_k z_k\left(\frac{dY^{-1}}{dz}\widetilde{Y}\right)_{22}(z_k)\right], \\ z_1 = e^{it}, \quad z_2 = e^{i(2\pi - t)},\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where $\left(\frac{dY^{-1}}{dz}\widetilde{Y}\right)_{22}(z_k) = \lim_{z\to z_k}\left(\frac{dY^{-1}}{dz}\widetilde{Y}\right)_{22}(z)$ with $z \to z_k$ non-tangentially to the unit circle.

Proof. Solvability of the RH problem at t (i.e., the fact that $D_n \neq 0$, $D_{n\pm1} \neq 0$) implies the solvability in a neighborhood of t, and hence the existence (and by (2.1), (2.3), differentiability in t) of the corresponding orthogonal polynomials. We start with the identity (3.5) of [8], which, as is easy to see from the arguments in [8], holds for any parameter t of the polynomials with respect to which they are differentiable:[1]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ln D_n(f(z)) = 2n\frac{\frac{\partial\chi_n}{\partial t}}{\chi_n} + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\phi_n(z)\frac{d\widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1})}{dz} - \widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1})\frac{d\phi_n(z)}{dz}\right)zf(z)d\theta,$$

[1] In [8], (2.10) was derived under a stronger assumption that $D_k \neq 0$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1$. However, a simple continuity argument shows that (2.10) holds true if only $D_n, D_{n\pm1} \neq 0$.

(2.10)

where $z = e^{i\theta}$, $f \equiv f_t$. We would like to move the differentiation in the integral over to f noting that

$$I \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\left(\phi_n(z)\frac{d\widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1})}{dz} - \widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1})\frac{d\phi_n(z)}{dz}\right) zf(z)d\theta = -2n$$

by orthogonality, and therefore $\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = 0$. However, because of the case $-1/2 < \operatorname{Re}\alpha_k \le 0$ for which $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$ is not integrable, care needs to be taken.

As before, let

$$C_\varepsilon = \cup_{k=1,2}\{z \in C : |\arg z - \arg z_k| < \varepsilon\},$$

and assume that $F(z)$ and $\frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial t}$ are analytic functions in a neighborhood of the unit circle C. Then

$$\int_C \frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial t} f(z)dz = \int_{C\setminus C_\varepsilon} \frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial t} f(z)dz + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2\alpha_1+1}) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2\alpha_2+1}), \qquad \epsilon \to 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Note that ($z_1 = e^{it}$, $z_2 = e^{i(2\pi - t)}$)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{C\setminus C_\varepsilon} F(z)f(z)dz &= \int_{C\setminus C_\varepsilon} \frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial t} f(z)dz + \int_{C\setminus C_\varepsilon} F(z)\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial t}dz \\ +i\sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^{k+1}z_kF(z_k)\{f(z_ke^{-i\varepsilon}) - f(z_ke^{i\varepsilon})\} &+ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2\alpha_1+1}) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2\alpha_2+1})\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

as $\epsilon \to 0$. On the other hand,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{C\setminus C_\varepsilon} F(z)f(z)dz = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_C F(z)f(z)dz + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2\alpha_1+1}) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2\alpha_2+1}) \tag{2.13}$$

as $\epsilon \to 0$ by estimation of the integral of Ff over C_ε.

Combining (2.11), (2.12), and (2.13), we can write

$$\int_C \frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial t} f(z)dz = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_C F(z)f(z)dz - G, \tag{2.14}$$

$$G = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left[\int_{C\setminus C_\varepsilon} F(z)\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial t}dz + i\sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^{k+1}z_kF(z_k)\{f(z_ke^{-i\varepsilon}) - f(z_ke^{i\varepsilon})\}\right]. \tag{2.15}$$

Let us now compute $\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial t}$. Since $|z - z_k|^{2\alpha_k} = |2\sin\frac{\theta + (-1)^k t}{2}|^{2\alpha_k}$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ln|z - z_k|^{2\alpha_k} = (-1)^k\alpha_k\cot\frac{\theta + (-1)^k t}{2} = i(-1)^k\alpha_k\frac{z + z_k}{z - z_k}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial t} = \sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^k\left(\alpha_k\frac{z + z_k}{z - z_k} + \beta_k\right) if(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^k\left(\alpha_k + \beta_k + \frac{2\alpha_k z_k}{z - z_k}\right) if. \tag{2.16}$$

So we can write

$$G = i\sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^k \left((\alpha_k+\beta_k)\int_C F(z)f(z)dz \right.$$
$$\left. +z_k \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left[2\alpha_k \int_{C\setminus C_\varepsilon} \frac{F(z)f(z)}{z-z_k}dz - F(z_k)\{f(z_k e^{-i\varepsilon}) - f(z_k e^{i\varepsilon})\}\right]\right). \tag{2.17}$$

The limit in the last line is exactly $2\alpha_k$ times the regularized integral (2.6) evaluated at z_k, by (2.8).

By (2.14), (2.17), and (2.8) with $F(z) = (\phi_n(z)\frac{d\widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1})}{dz} - \widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1})\frac{d\phi_n(z)}{dz})$, we obtain from (2.10),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ln D_n(f(z)) = 2n\frac{\frac{\partial\chi_n}{\partial t}}{\chi_n} + i\sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^k(2n(\alpha_k+\beta_k) - 2\alpha_k(I_{1,k}-I_{2,k})), \tag{2.18}$$

$$I_{1,k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C^{(r)} \frac{\phi_n(z)\frac{d}{dz}\widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1})}{z-z_k} z_k f(z)dz,$$
$$I_{2,k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C^{(r)} \frac{\widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1})\frac{d}{dz}\phi_n(z)}{z-z_k} z_k f(z)dz.$$

Let us simplify $I_{1,k}$. Adding and subtracting $\frac{d}{dz}\widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1})|_{z=z_k}$ from the numerator of the integrand, and observing that

$$\frac{\frac{d}{dz}\widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1}) - \frac{d}{dz}\widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1})|_{z=z_k}}{z^{-1}-z_k^{-1}}$$

is a polynomial in z^{-1} of degree n with the leading coefficient $-n\chi_n$, we obtain by orthogonality that

$$I_{1,k} = n + \frac{d}{dz}\widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1})|_{z=z_k}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_C^{(r)}\frac{\phi_n(z)}{z-z_k}(z-z_k+z_k)z_k f(z)d\theta$$
$$= n + z_k^2\frac{d}{dz}\widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1})|_{z=z_k}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_C^{(r)}\frac{\phi_n(z)}{z-z_k}f(z)(z^{n-1}-z_k^{n-1}+z_k^{n-1})z^{-(n-1)}d\theta$$
$$= n + z_k^{n+1}\chi_n\frac{d}{dz}\widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1})|_{z=z_k}\widetilde{Y}_{12}(z_k). \tag{2.19}$$

Before a similar simplification of $I_{2,k}$, it is convenient first to use the following recurrence relation (see, e.g., (2.4) in [7]):

$$\chi_n\widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1}) = \chi_{n-1}z^{-1}\widehat{\phi}_{n-1}(z^{-1}) + \widehat{\phi}_n(0)z^{-n}\phi_n(z). \tag{2.20}$$

Substituting this into $I_{2,k}$, and then arguing in a similar way as for $I_{1,k}$, we obtain:

$$I_{2,k} = z_k\frac{d}{dz}\phi_n(z)|_{z=z_k}\widehat{\phi}_n(0)\widetilde{Y}_{12}(z_k) - z_k\frac{dY_{11}}{dz}(z_k)\widetilde{Y}_{22}(z_k). \tag{2.21}$$

Applying (2.20) once again to the corresponding term in (2.19) and subtracting (2.21), we obtain:

$$I_{1,k} - I_{2,k} = n + z_k\frac{dY_{11}}{dz}(z_k)\widetilde{Y}_{22}(z_k)$$
$$+\left(nY_{21}(z_k) - n\chi_n\widehat{\phi}_n(0)Y_{11}(z_k) - z_k\frac{dY_{21}}{dz}(z_k)\right)\widetilde{Y}_{12}(z_k). \tag{2.22}$$

Furthermore,

$$2\frac{\frac{\partial \chi_n}{\partial t}}{\chi_n} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C f(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi_n(z)\widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1}))\frac{dz}{z} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C^{(r)} \phi_n(z)\widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1})\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial t}\frac{dz}{z}$$
$$= -i\sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^k[\alpha_k + \beta_k + 2\alpha_k I_{3,k}], \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$I_{3,k} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C^{(r)} \frac{\phi_n(z)\widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1})}{z - z_k} z_k f(z)\frac{dz}{z} \quad (2.24)$$

can be analyzed as the other such integrals above, in this case first adding and subtracting $\widehat{\phi}_n(z_k^{-1})$ from the numerator of the integrand. We then obtain

$$I_{3,k} = -1 + \chi_n\widehat{\phi}_n(z_k^{-1})z_k^n Y_{12}(z_k).$$

Using again (2.20) gives

$$I_{3,k} = -1 - Y_{21}(z_k)\widetilde{Y}_{12}(z_k) + \chi_n\widehat{\phi}_n(0)Y_{11}(z_k)\widetilde{Y}_{12}(z_k). \quad (2.25)$$

Substituting (2.25) into (2.23), and the latter with (2.22) into (2.18), we finally obtain the differential identity (2.9) as $\det Y(z) = 1$.

□

Remark 2.2 A differential identity for $\frac{d}{dt}\ln D_n(f(z))$ in the case when one of (or both) α_k's is zero is now also easy to obtain. Either one can derive it directly using (2.17), or one can observe that both the left and the right-hand side of (2.9) are continuous in α_k, so that the differential identity for $\alpha_k = 0$ is obtained from (2.9) by letting $\alpha_k \to 0$.

3 Model RH problem

In this section, we state a RH problem which will be used afterwards to construct the local parametrix P near 1 in the asymptotic analysis of the RH problem for the orthogonal polynomials. We will prove the solvability of this model RH problem for certain values of the parameters, obtain asymptotics for it for large and small values of a parameter s in the problem, and relate the problem to the σ-form of the fifth Painlevé equation. We assume here that $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_1, \operatorname{Re}\alpha_2 > -1/2$.

3.1 Formulation of the problem

RH problem for Ψ

(a) $\Psi : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic, where

$$\Gamma = \cup_{k=1}^{7}\Gamma_k, \qquad \Gamma_1 = i + e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+, \qquad \Gamma_2 = i + e^{\frac{3i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+,$$
$$\Gamma_3 = -i + e^{\frac{5i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+, \qquad \Gamma_4 = -i + e^{\frac{7i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+, \qquad \Gamma_5 = -i + \mathbb{R}^+,$$
$$\Gamma_6 = i + \mathbb{R}^+, \qquad \Gamma_7 = [-i, i],$$

with the orientation chosen as in Figure 1 (the "-" side of a contour line is the right-hand side of it).


$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -e^{-2\pi i(\alpha_1-\beta_1)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{2\pi i(\alpha_1-\beta_1)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
II
I
$e^{2\pi i\beta_1\sigma_3}$
$+i$
$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
III
VI
$-i$
$e^{2\pi i\beta_2\sigma_3}$
V
IV
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -e^{2\pi i(\alpha_2-\beta_2)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-2\pi i(\alpha_2-\beta_2)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Figure 1: The jump contour and jump matrices for Ψ.

(b) Ψ satisfies the jump conditions

$$\Psi_+(\zeta) = \Psi_-(\zeta)J_k, \qquad \zeta \in \Gamma_k, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{2\pi i(\alpha_1-\beta_1)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -e^{-2\pi i(\alpha_1-\beta_1)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -e^{2\pi i(\alpha_2-\beta_2)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad J_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-2\pi i(\alpha_2-\beta_2)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$J_5 = e^{2\pi i\beta_2\sigma_3}, \qquad J_6 = e^{2\pi i\beta_1\sigma_3}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$J_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.5}$$

(c) We have in all regions:

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \left(I + \frac{\Psi_1}{\zeta} + \frac{\Psi_2}{\zeta^2} + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-3})\right) P^{(\infty)}(\zeta) e^{-\frac{is}{4}\zeta\sigma_3} \qquad \text{as } \zeta \to \infty, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$P^{(\infty)}(\zeta) = \left(\frac{is}{2}\right)^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} (\zeta - i)^{-\beta_1\sigma_3} (\zeta + i)^{-\beta_2\sigma_3} \tag{3.7}$$

with the branches corresponding to the arguments between 0 and 2π, and where $s \in -i\mathbb{R}^+$.

The RH solution $\Psi = \Psi(\zeta; s)$ depends on the complex variable ζ but also on the complex parameter s. We will be concerned with the case where $s \in -i\mathbb{R}^+$ or s in a small neighborhood of the negative imaginary axis. Without additional conditions on

the behavior of Ψ near the points $\pm i$, the RH problem will not have a unique solution. If $2\alpha_1 \notin \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_1 > -1/2$, define $F_1(\zeta)$ by the equations

$$\Psi(\zeta; s) = F_1(\zeta; s)(\zeta - i)^{\alpha_1 \sigma_3} G_j, \qquad \zeta \in \text{ region } j, \tag{3.8}$$

where j takes the values $j = I, II, III, VI$, and where $(\zeta - i)^{\alpha_1 \sigma_3}$ is taken with the branch cut on $i + e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+$, with the argument of $\zeta - i$ between $-5\pi/4$ and $3\pi/4$. The matrices G_j are piecewise constant matrices consistent with the jump relations; they are given by

$$G_{III} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & g \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad g = -\frac{1}{2i\sin(2\pi\alpha_1)}(e^{2\pi i\alpha_1} - e^{-2\pi i\beta_1}), \tag{3.9}$$

$$G_{VI} = G_{III} J_7^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+g & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$G_I = G_{VI} J_6, \qquad G_{II} = G_I J_1. \tag{3.11}$$

It is straightforward to verify that F_1 has no jumps in a vicinity of i, and it is thus meromorphic in a neighborhood of i, with possibly an isolated singularity at i.

Similarly, for ζ near $-i$, if $2\alpha_2 \notin \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_2 > -1/2$, we define F_2 by the equations

$$\Psi(\zeta; s) = F_2(\zeta; s)(\zeta + i)^{\alpha_2 \sigma_3} H_j, \qquad \zeta \in \text{ region } j, \tag{3.12}$$

where $(\zeta + i)^{\alpha_2 \sigma_3}$ is defined with the branch cut on $-i + e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+$, with the argument of $\zeta + i$ between $-3\pi/4$ and $5\pi/4$, and where H_j, $j = III, IV, V, VI$ is a piecewise constant matrix:

$$H_{III} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad h = -\frac{1}{2i\sin(2\pi\alpha_2)}(e^{2\pi i\beta_2} - e^{-2\pi i\alpha_2}) \tag{3.13}$$

$$H_{IV} = H_{III} J_3^{-1}, \qquad H_V = H_{IV} J_4^{-1}, \qquad H_{VI} = H_V J_5. \tag{3.14}$$

Similarly as at i, one shows using the jump conditions for Ψ that F_2 is meromorphic near $-i$ with a possible singularity at $-i$.

If $2\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the constant g and the matrices G_j are ill-defined, and we need a different definition of F_1:

$$\Psi(\zeta; s) = F_1(\zeta; s)(\zeta - i)^{\alpha_1 \sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & g_{int} \ln(\zeta - i) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} G_j, \qquad \zeta \in \text{ region } j, \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$g_{int} = \frac{e^{-2\pi i\beta_1} - e^{2\pi i\alpha_1}}{2\pi i e^{2\pi i\alpha_1}}, \tag{3.16}$$

and $G_{III} = I$, and the other G_j's are defined as above by applying the appropriate jump conditions. Thus defined, F_1 has no jumps in a neighborhood of i. Similarly, if $2\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we define F_2 by the expression:

$$\Psi(\zeta; s) = F_2(\zeta; s)(\zeta + i)^{\alpha_2 \sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{e^{-2\pi i\alpha_2} - e^{2\pi i\beta_2}}{2\pi i e^{-2\pi i\alpha_2}} \ln(\zeta + i) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} H_j, \qquad \zeta \in \text{ region } j, \tag{3.17}$$

with $H_{III} = I$, and the other H_j's expressed via H_{III} as in (3.14). Then F_2 has no jumps near $-i$.

We are now ready to set an additional RH condition for Ψ in order to ensure uniqueness of the solution. We complement the RH conditions (a)-(c) with:

RH problem for Ψ - extra condition

(d) The functions F_1 and F_2 given in (3.8), (3.15) and (3.12), (3.17) are analytic functions of ζ at i and $-i$, respectively.

Given complex parameters $s, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$, the uniqueness of the function Ψ which satisfies RH conditions (a)-(d) can be proved using standard arguments in the following way. If Ψ satisfies the RH conditions (a) and (b), it is straightforward to show that $\det \Psi$ is a meromorphic function in ζ, with possibly isolated singularities at $\pm i$. By condition (d), the singularities of $\det \Psi$ are removable, and $\det \Psi$ is an entire function, which tends to 1 at infinity by condition (c). Thus $\det \Psi$ is identically equal to 1 by the Liouville theorem, and $\Psi(\zeta)$ is invertible for every ζ. Now, assuming that there are two solutions Ψ and $\widetilde{\Psi}$ satisfying (a)-(d), one shows in a similar way that $\widetilde{\Psi}\Psi^{-1} = I$.

Existence of a RH solution Ψ is a much more subtle issue. If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > -1/2$ are real and $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in i\mathbb{R}$, we will prove later on that the RH problem is solvable for any $s \in -i\mathbb{R}^+$. In the more general case where $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_1, \operatorname{Re}\alpha_2, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) > -1/2$ and $|||\beta||| < 1$, we will analyze the RH problem asymptotically as $s \to -i\infty$ and as $s \to 0$. Our analysis will imply that the RH problem is solvable for $s \in -i\mathbb{R}^+$ and $|s|$ sufficiently small or $|s|$ sufficiently large, but it is possible that, given $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$, there is a finite number of values of $s \in -i\mathbb{R}^+$ for which the RH problem is not solvable.

3.2 Special case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \frac{1}{2}$

If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, the RH problem for Ψ can be solved explicitly. Let

$$L(\zeta) \equiv \left(\frac{is}{2}\right)^{-\sigma_3} (\zeta - i)^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} (\zeta + i)^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{is}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, \tag{3.18}$$

with the branch cuts of the square roots $(\zeta \mp i)^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3}$ along $\pm i + \mathbb{R}^+$ as in (3.7), and let

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{2i}{s^2}\left(\frac{e^{-\frac{s}{2}}}{\zeta+i} - \frac{e^{\frac{s}{2}}}{\zeta-i}\right) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} L(\zeta) \times \begin{cases} I, & \text{in regions II and IV,} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{in region III,} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{in regions I, V, and VI.} \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

It is straightforward to verify that Ψ satisfies the RH conditions (a)-(c). To verify the extra condition (d), note that the terms with logarithms in (3.15) and (3.17) vanish. Then it is easily verified that F_1 and F_2, given by (3.15) and (3.17), are analytic near $\pm i$ by substituting (3.19). We also see that the $1,1$ entry of the matrix Ψ_1 in (3.6) vanishes. Using the formulae (3.23) and (3.51) below, we obtain that $\sigma(s) = 0$ in this case, as announced in Remark 1.7.

If $2\alpha_1, 2\alpha_2, 2\beta_1, 2\beta_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$, $\alpha_2 = \beta_2$, the RH solution can also be constructed explicitly, but the function L has to be modified in a straightforward way to satisfy (3.6). Furthermore, the upper-triangular matrix in (3.19) has to be modified in order to preserve the conditions (3.15) and (3.17); it can have higher order poles at $\pm i$.

3.3 Lax pair

In this section, we assume that s is such that the RH problem for Ψ is solvable. Let

$$A = \left(\frac{d}{d\zeta}\Psi\right)\Psi^{-1}, \qquad B = \left(\frac{d}{ds}\Psi\right)\Psi^{-1}. \tag{3.20}$$

It follows from the RH conditions that A is a rational function with simple poles at $\pm i$ and bounded at infinity,

$$A(\zeta; s) = A_\infty(s) + \frac{A_1(s)}{\zeta - i} + \frac{A_2(s)}{\zeta + i}. \tag{3.21}$$

and that B is a polynomial of degree 1,

$$B(\zeta; s) = B_1\zeta + B_0(s). \tag{3.22}$$

Note that Ψ_1 is traceless by (3.6) since $\det\Psi \equiv 1$, and write the matrix $\Psi_1 = \Psi_1(s)$ in (3.6) as

$$\Psi_1(s) = \begin{pmatrix} q(s) & r(s) \\ p(s) & -q(s) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.23}$$

Substituting (3.6) into (3.20) and (3.21), one derives that

$$A_\infty = -\frac{is}{4}\sigma_3, \tag{3.24}$$

and that

$$A_1 + A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -(\beta_1+\beta_2) & \frac{irs}{2} \\ -\frac{ips}{2} & \beta_1+\beta_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.25}$$

Expanding A as ζ tends to infinity, we obtain that the coefficient of the ζ^{-2}-term is equal to $i(A_1 - A_2)$ by (3.21). Since this must be equal to the ζ^{-2}-term of $\Psi_\zeta\Psi^{-1}$, we obtain by (3.6) the identity

$$A_1 - A_2 = i\left(\Psi_1 + i(\beta_1-\beta_2)\sigma_3 + (\beta_1+\beta_2)[\Psi_1,\sigma_3] + \frac{is}{4}[\Psi_2,\sigma_3] - \frac{is}{4}[\Psi_1,\sigma_3]\Psi_1\right),$$

which gives

$$A_1 - A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} iq - (\beta_1-\beta_2) - \frac{srp}{2} & ir - 2i(\beta_1+\beta_2)r + \frac{sh}{2} + \frac{sqr}{2} \\ ip + 2i(\beta_1+\beta_2)p - \frac{sj}{2} + \frac{sqp}{2} & -iq + (\beta_1-\beta_2) + \frac{srp}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.26}$$

where $h = \Psi_{2,12}, j = \Psi_{2,21}$. By (3.25) and (3.26), we obtain

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -2v - 2\alpha_1 & \frac{irs}{2} + ir - 2i(\beta_1+\beta_2)r + \frac{sh}{2} + \frac{sqr}{2} \\ -\frac{is}{2}p + ip + 2i(\beta_1+\beta_2)p - \frac{sj}{2} + \frac{sqp}{2} & 2v + 2\alpha_1, \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.27}$$

$$A_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -2v - 2\alpha_1 + 2\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 & -\frac{isr}{2} + ir - 2i(\beta_1+\beta_2)r + \frac{sh}{2} + \frac{sqr}{2} \\ \frac{isp}{2} + ip + 2i(\beta_1+\beta_2)p - \frac{sj}{2} + \frac{sqp}{2} & 2v + 2\alpha_1 - 2\beta_1 - 2\beta_2, \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.28}$$

where v is given by

$$v = \frac{-i}{2}q + \frac{s}{4}rp - \alpha_1 + \beta_1. \tag{3.29}$$

Now we can use (3.8) and (3.12) to derive that

$$\det A_1(s) = -\alpha_1^2, \qquad \det A_2(s) = -\alpha_2^2. \tag{3.30}$$

It follows that we can write A_1 and A_2 in the form

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -v - \alpha_1 & -uyv \\ \frac{v+2\alpha_1}{uy} & v + \alpha_1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.31}$$

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} v + \alpha_1 - \beta_1 - \beta_2 & y(v + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2) \\ -\frac{v+\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_1-\beta_2}{y} & -v - \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.32}$$

for some functions u, y depending on s.

For B_1 and B_0, we can again use (3.6) to derive

$$B_1 = -\frac{i\sigma_3}{4}, \qquad B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{s} & \frac{ir}{2} \\ -\frac{ip}{2} & \frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{s} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.33}$$

The $1/\zeta$ term in the asymptotic expansion of $\frac{d}{ds}\Psi\,\Psi^{-1}$ at infinity must vanish, and this implies

$$q_s = \frac{i}{2}rp, \qquad h = 2ir_s - rq + 4ir\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{s}, \qquad j = -2ip_s + qp + 4ip\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{s}, \tag{3.34}$$

so that by (3.29),

$$v = -\frac{i}{2}(sq_s + q) - \alpha_1 + \beta_1. \tag{3.35}$$

The compatibility condition of the linear system $\Psi_\zeta = A\Psi$ with $\Psi_s = B\Psi$ gives

$$A_s - B_\zeta + [A, B] = 0, \tag{3.36}$$

the vanishing of the term of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ as $\zeta \to \infty$ in (3.36) gives an expression for the off-diagonal elements of B_0 in terms of u, v, and y: we have

$$B_0 = \frac{1}{s}\begin{pmatrix} -\beta_1 - \beta_2 & -uvy + y(v + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2) \\ \frac{v+2\alpha_1}{uy} - \frac{1}{y}(v + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2) & \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.37}$$

Writing down the residues at $\pm i$ in (3.36) and using (3.31), (3.32), and (3.37), we obtain

$$su_s = su - 2v(u-1)^2 + (u-1)\left[u(-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2) + 3\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2\right] \tag{3.38}$$

$$sv_s = -\frac{1}{u}(v + 2\alpha_1)(v + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2) + uv(v + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2) \tag{3.39}$$

$$sy_s = y\left(\frac{1}{u}(v + 2\alpha_1) - 2v - 2\alpha_1 - \frac{s}{2} + uv\right). \tag{3.40}$$

The system (3.38)-(3.40) is an alternative form of the Painlevé V equation: in particular (3.38)-(3.39) implies that u solves the Painlevé V equation

$$u_{ss} = \left(\frac{1}{2u} + \frac{1}{u-1}\right) u_s^2 - \frac{1}{s} u_s + \frac{(u-1)^2}{s^2}\left(a_1 u + \frac{a_2}{u}\right) + \frac{a_3 u}{s} + a_4 \frac{u(u+1)}{u-1}, \quad (3.41)$$

with the parameters a_j given by

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2)^2, \qquad a_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2)^2, \quad (3.42)$$

$$a_3 = 1 - 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, \qquad a_4 = -\frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.43)$$

For us, it is more convenient to relate (3.38)–(3.39) to the so-called σ-form of the fifth Painlevé equation. Let

$$w(s) = \frac{i}{2} s q(s) + (\alpha_1 - \beta_1) s. \quad (3.44)$$

By (3.35), it follows that

$$w_s = -v. \quad (3.45)$$

By (3.39),

$$s w_{ss} = \frac{1}{u}(v + 2\alpha_1)(v + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2) - uv(v + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2). \quad (3.46)$$

We also have by (3.44), (3.45), (3.29), and (3.33) that $w - s w_s = \frac{s^2}{4} rp$. Furthermore, $\frac{s^2}{4} rp$ can be expressed by (3.33) and (3.37) in terms of u, v, y. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w - s w_s &= \frac{s^2}{4} rp \\ &= (-uyv + y(v + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2))\left(\frac{v + 2\alpha_1}{uy} - \frac{1}{y}(v + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2)\right) \\ &= \left(uv(v + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2) + \frac{v + 2\alpha_1}{u}(v + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2)\right) \\ &\qquad + \left(-2v^2 + 2(\beta_1 + \beta_2 - 2\alpha_1)v + \alpha_2^2 - (\alpha_1 - \beta_1 - \beta_2)^2\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

By (3.46) and (3.47),

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 w_{ss}^2 - \left(w - s w_s + 2v^2 - 2(\beta_1 + \beta_2 - 2\alpha_1)v - \alpha_2^2 + (\alpha_1 - \beta_1 - \beta_2)^2\right)^2 \\ = -4v(v + 2\alpha_1)(v + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2)(v + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

Now we substitute (3.45), which gives

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 w_{ss}^2 = \left(w - s w_s + 2w_s^2 + 2(\beta_1 + \beta_2 - 2\alpha_1) w_s - \alpha_2^2 + (\alpha_1 - \beta_1 - \beta_2)^2\right)^2 \\ - 4w_s(w_s - 2\alpha_1)(w_s - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2)(w_s - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

We set

$$\sigma(s) = w(s) + \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2 - 2\alpha_1}{2} s - \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 + \beta_2)^2 \quad (3.50)$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} s q(s) - \frac{\beta_1 - \beta_2}{2} s - \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 + \beta_2)^2. \quad (3.51)$$

Then the equation (3.49) becomes

$$s^2\sigma_{ss}^2 = \left(\sigma - s\sigma_s + 2\sigma_s^2\right)^2 - 4(\sigma_s-\theta_1)(\sigma_s-\theta_2)(\sigma_s-\theta_3)(\sigma_s-\theta_4), \tag{3.52}$$

where

$$\theta_1 = -\alpha_1 + \frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}, \qquad \theta_2 = \alpha_1 + \frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}, \tag{3.53}$$

$$\theta_3 = \alpha_2 - \frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}, \qquad \theta_4 = -\alpha_2 - \frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}. \tag{3.54}$$

Equation (3.52) is the σ-form of the Painlevé V equation as given in [19, Formula (2.8)]. The function r defined in (3.23) can be expressed in terms of σ. Substituting (3.34) and (3.27) in the first equation of (3.30), we obtain an identity for the logarithmic derivative of r in terms of σ:

$$\frac{r_s}{r} = -2\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{s} + \frac{-4\alpha_1^2+4\alpha_2^2-8(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_s+s^3\sigma_{ss}}{s^2(-2\alpha_1^2-2\alpha_2^2+(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2-2\sigma+2s\sigma_s)}. \tag{3.55}$$

The following two results relate the Painlevé transcendent σ to the functions F_1 and F_2, defined in (3.8), (3.12), (3.15), and (3.17), evaluated at $\pm i$.

Proposition 3.1 *We have the identities*

$$\alpha_1\left(F_1(i;s)^{-1}\sigma_3F_1(i;s)\right)_{22} = -\sigma_s(s) + \frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}, \tag{3.56}$$

$$\alpha_2\left(F_2(-i;s)^{-1}\sigma_3F_2(-i;s)\right)_{22} = \sigma_s(s) + \frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}. \tag{3.57}$$

Proof. If we use (3.8) and (3.12) to compute $A = \Psi_\zeta\Psi^{-1}$ (as defined in (3.20)-(3.21)), we obtain that $A_1 = \alpha_1F_1(i)\sigma_3F_1^{-1}(i)$, and that $A_2 = \alpha_2F_2(-i)\sigma_3F_2^{-1}(-i)$. By (3.31), (3.32), (3.45), and (3.50), the $2,2$-entries of A_1 and A_2 give us the identities

$$\alpha_1\left(F_1(i)\sigma_3F_1^{-1}(i)\right)_{22} = -\sigma_s + \frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2} \tag{3.58}$$

$$\alpha_2\left(F_2(-i)\sigma_3F_2^{-1}(-i)\right)_{22} = \sigma_s + \frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}. \tag{3.59}$$

Note further that $\left(F_j^{-1}\sigma_3F_j\right)_{22} = \left(F_j\sigma_3F_j^{-1}\right)_{22}$, which implies (3.56)-(3.57). □

Proposition 3.2 *There exist complex constants c_1, c_2, which may depend on $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$, but not on s, such that*

$$\alpha_1\left(F_1(i;s)^{-1}F_{1,\zeta}(i;s)\right)_{22} = \frac{i}{4}\sigma(s) - \frac{i}{8}(\beta_1+\beta_2)s + c_1, \tag{3.60}$$

$$\alpha_2\left(F_2(-i;s)^{-1}F_{2,\zeta}(-i;s)\right)_{22} = -\frac{i}{4}\sigma(s) - \frac{i}{8}(\beta_1+\beta_2)s + c_2. \tag{3.61}$$

Proof. By (3.20) and (3.8)-(3.12), we have $F_{j,s} = BF_j$, $j = 1,2$. Let us expand $F_j(\zeta;s)$ as $\zeta \to \pm i$ as

$$F_j(\zeta;s) = F_j^{(0)}(s)\left(I + F_j^{(1)}(s)(\zeta \mp i) + \mathcal{O}(\zeta \mp i)^2\right). \tag{3.62}$$

Substituting this into $F_{j,s} = BF_j$, we obtain by (3.22),

$$F_{j,s}^{(0)} = (B_0 \pm iB_1)F_j^{(0)}, \tag{3.63}$$

$$F_{j,s}^{(1)} = \left(F_j^{(0)}\right)^{-1} B_1 F_j^{(0)} = -\frac{i}{4}\left(F_j(\pm i;s)^{-1}\sigma_3 F_j(\pm i;s)\right). \tag{3.64}$$

Here $j = 1$ corresponds to the upper symbol in $\pm$ or $\mp$, and $j = 2$ to the lower one. Also by (3.62), we have $F_j^{-1}(\pm i)F_{j,\zeta}(\pm i) = F_j^{(1)}$, which shows that

$$\alpha_j \left(F_j^{-1}(\pm i)F_{j,\zeta}(\pm i)\right)_{22,s} = -\frac{i}{4}(\mp\sigma_s + \frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}) \tag{3.65}$$

by Proposition 3.1. Integrating, we obtain (3.60)-(3.61). □

Remark 3.3 To find the explicit expressions for the constants c_1, c_2, one can use either the large s or the small s asymptotic solution of the Ψ-RH problem presented in the following sections. In this way, we obtain

$$c_1 = -c_2 = \frac{i}{8}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2. \tag{3.66}$$

3.4 Solvability of the RH problem for $\alpha_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta_{1,2} \in i\mathbb{R}$

From the general theory of the RH problems related to the Painlevé equations, it follows that the RH problem for $\Psi(\zeta;s)$, $s \neq 0$, is solvable except for certain isolated values of s. At those values, $\sigma(s)$ can have a pole. It will follow from our asymptotic analysis below that the RH problem for Ψ is solvable for sufficiently large $|s|$, s in a neighborhood of $-i\mathbb{R}^+$, and therefore, there are at most a finite number of values for s in a neighborhood of $-i\mathbb{R}^+$ where the RH problem is not solvable.

If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > -1/2$ are real and β_1, β_2 are purely imaginary, we can prove that there are no poles on $-i\mathbb{R}^+$ and that the RH problem for Ψ is solvable for all $s \in -i\mathbb{R}^+$. For this, we use the technique of a so-called vanishing lemma, which consists of proving that the homogeneous version of the RH problem for Ψ has only the trivial zero solution. By [39] and [14, 16, 17], such a vanishing lemma is equivalent to the existence of a solution to the original, non-homogeneous, form of the RH problem.

Lemma 3.4 (Vanishing lemma) *Let $s \in -i\mathbb{R}^+$, $i\beta_1, i\beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > -1/2$, and suppose that Ψ_0 satisfies the conditions (a), (b), and (d) of the RH problem for Ψ in Section 3, with condition (c) replaced by the homogeneous asymptotic condition*

$$\Psi_0(\zeta)e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3} = \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-1}), \qquad \text{as } \zeta \to \infty. \tag{3.67}$$

Then $\Psi_0 \equiv 0$.

Proof. Suppose that Ψ_0 satisfies the above homogeneous RH conditions. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
N(\zeta) &= \Psi_0(\zeta) J_1^{-1} J_6^{-1} e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, && \text{in region II, } \operatorname{Re}\zeta > 0,\\
N(\zeta) &= \Psi_0(\zeta) J_2 e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, && \text{in region II, } \operatorname{Re}\zeta < 0,\\
N(\zeta) &= \Psi_0(\zeta) J_3 e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, && \text{in region IV, } \operatorname{Re}\zeta < 0,\\
N(\zeta) &= \Psi_0(\zeta) J_4^{-1} J_5 e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, && \text{in region IV, } \operatorname{Re}\zeta > 0,\\
N(\zeta) &= \Psi_0(\zeta) J_5 e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, && \text{in region V},\\
N(\zeta) &= \Psi_0(\zeta) J_6^{-1} e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, && \text{in region I},\\
N(\zeta) &= \Psi_0(\zeta) e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, && \text{in regions III and VI}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then N satisfies the following RH problem

RH problem for N

(a) N is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{R}$.

(b) On $i\mathbb{R}$, N satisfies the jump conditions

$$N_+(\zeta) = N_-(\zeta) e^{-\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3} J_7 e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, \qquad \zeta \in (-i, i), \tag{3.68}$$

$$N_+(\zeta) = N_-(\zeta) e^{-\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3} J_5^{-1} J_4 J_3 e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, \qquad \zeta \in (-i\infty, -i), \tag{3.69}$$

$$N_+(\zeta) = N_-(\zeta) e^{-\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3} J_6 J_1 J_2 e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, \qquad \zeta \in (+i, +i\infty). \tag{3.70}$$

(c) For a fixed $s \in -i\mathbb{R}^+$,

$$N(\zeta) = \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-1}), \qquad \text{as } \zeta \to \infty. \tag{3.71}$$

Set

$$H(\zeta) = N(\zeta) N^*(-\overline{\zeta}). \tag{3.72}$$

From the asymptotics for N, it follows that $H(\zeta) = \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-2})$ as $\zeta \to \infty$. H is analytic in the left half plane $\operatorname{Re}\zeta < 0$, and it has singularities at $\pm i$. Because of (3.8) and (3.12), it follows that the singularities are weak enough for H to be integrable along the imaginary line, as $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > -1/2$. Using Cauchy's theorem, we then have

$$\int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} H_+(\zeta) d\zeta = 0. \tag{3.73}$$

Because of the jump conditions for N, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-i\infty}^{-i} N_-(\zeta) e^{-\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3} J_5^{-1} J_4 J_3 e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3} N_-^*(\zeta) d\zeta + \int_{-i}^{i} N_-(\zeta) e^{-\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3} J_7 e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3} N_-^*(\zeta) d\zeta \\
+ \int_{i}^{+i\infty} N_-(\zeta) e^{-\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3} J_6 J_1 J_2 e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3} N_-^*(\zeta) d\zeta = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.74}$$

Summing up this expression with its Hermitian conjugate and using the form of the jump matrices J_k, we obtain for $s \in -i\mathbb{R}^+$, for real α_1, α_2, and purely imaginary β_1, β_2,

$$\int_{-i\infty}^{-i} N_-(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2e^{2i\pi\beta_2} \end{pmatrix} N_-^*(\zeta) d\zeta + \int_{-i}^{i} N_-(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} N_-^*(\zeta) d\zeta + \int_{i}^{+i\infty} N_-(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2e^{2i\pi\beta_1} \end{pmatrix} N_-^*(\zeta) d\zeta = 0. \quad (3.75)$$

As $\beta_{1,2} \in i\mathbb{R}$, it follows that the second column of N_- is identically zero on $i\mathbb{R}$. From the jump conditions (3.68)–(3.70), it then follows that the first column of N_+ is zero on $i\mathbb{R}$ as well. From the identity theorem, it follows that $N_{j2}(\zeta) = 0$ for $\operatorname{Re}\zeta > 0$, and $N_{j1}(\zeta) = 0$ for $\operatorname{Re}\zeta < 0$. Let us now define

$$g_j(\zeta) = \begin{cases} N_{j2}(\zeta), & \text{for } \operatorname{Re}\zeta < 0, \\ N_{j1}(\zeta), & \text{for } \operatorname{Re}\zeta > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.76)$$

Then, g_j is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{R}$. On $i\mathbb{R}$, g has the following jump relations:

$$g_{j,+}(\zeta) = g_{j,-}(\zeta) \times \begin{cases} e^{-2\pi i\alpha_2} e^{-\frac{|s|}{2}\zeta}, & \zeta \in (-i\infty, -i), \\ e^{2\pi i\alpha_1} e^{-\frac{|s|}{2}\zeta}, & \zeta \in (+i, +i\infty), \\ e^{-\frac{|s|}{2}\zeta}, & \zeta \in (-i, i). \end{cases} \quad (3.77)$$

Now we write $\widehat{g}_j$ for the analytic continuation of g_j from the left half plane to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\zeta : \operatorname{Re}\zeta \geq 0, -1 \leq \operatorname{Im}\zeta \leq 1\}$,

$$\widehat{g}_j(\zeta) = \begin{cases} g_j(\zeta), & \operatorname{Re}\zeta < 0, \\ g_j(\zeta) e^{2\pi i\alpha_1} e^{-\frac{|s|}{2}\zeta}, & \operatorname{Re}\zeta \geq 0,\ \operatorname{Im}\zeta > 1, \\ g_j(\zeta) e^{-2\pi i\alpha_2} e^{-\frac{|s|}{2}\zeta}, & \operatorname{Re}\zeta \geq 0,\ \operatorname{Im}\zeta < -1. \end{cases} \quad (3.78)$$

Set

$$h_j(\zeta) = \widehat{g}_j(-(\zeta+2)^{3/2}), \quad (3.79)$$

where we choose $(\zeta+2)^{3/2}$ with the branch cut on $(-\infty, -2]$ and corresponding to arguments between $-\pi$ and π. It is easy to verify that h_j is analytic and bounded for $\operatorname{Re}\zeta \geq 0$, and that $h_j(\zeta) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\frac{|s|}{2}|\zeta|})$ for $\zeta \to \pm i\infty$. By Carlson's theorem (see, e.g., [34]), this implies that $h_j \equiv 0$ if $|s| > 0$. It follows that $g_j \equiv 0$ and consequently $N \equiv 0$ and $\Psi_0 \equiv 0$, which proves the lemma. □

4 Auxiliary RH problem

We assume in this section that $\alpha \pm \beta \neq -1, -2, \ldots$, and $\operatorname{Re}\alpha > -1/2$. In [5, Section 4.2.1], see also [23, 7, 32], a function $M = M^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ was constructed explicitly in terms of the confluent hypergeometric function, which solves the following RH problem.

RH problem for M

(a) $M : \mathbb{C} \setminus \left(e^{\pm\frac{\pi i}{4}}\mathbb{R} \cup \mathbb{R}^+\right) \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic,


2
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -e^{-\pi i(\alpha-\beta)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{\pi i(\alpha-\beta)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
1
3
0
$e^{2\pi i\beta\sigma_3}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{\pi i(\alpha-\beta)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -e^{-\pi i(\alpha-\beta)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
5
4
Figure 2: The jump contour and jump matrices for M.

(b) M has continuous boundary values on $e^{\pm\frac{\pi i}{4}}\mathbb{R}\cup\mathbb{R}^+\setminus\{0\}$ related by the conditions:

$$M_+(\lambda) = M_-(\lambda)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{\pi i(\alpha-\beta)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{as } \lambda \in e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+, \tag{4.1}$$

$$M_+(\lambda) = M_-(\lambda)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -e^{-\pi i(\alpha-\beta)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{as } \lambda \in e^{\frac{3i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+, \tag{4.2}$$

$$M_+(\lambda) = M_-(\lambda)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{\pi i(\alpha-\beta)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{as } \lambda \in e^{\frac{5i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+, \tag{4.3}$$

$$M_+(\lambda) = M_-(\lambda)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -e^{-\pi i(\alpha-\beta)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{as } \lambda \in e^{\frac{7i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+, \tag{4.4}$$

$$M_+(\lambda) = M_-(\lambda)e^{2\pi i\beta\sigma_3}, \qquad \text{as } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{4.5}$$

where all the rays of the jump contour are oriented away from the origin, see Figure 2.

(c) Furthermore, in all sectors,

$$M(\lambda) = \left(I + M_1\lambda^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2})\right)\lambda^{-\beta\sigma_3}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda\sigma_3}, \qquad \text{as } \lambda\to\infty, \tag{4.6}$$

where $0 < \arg\lambda < 2\pi$, and

$$M_1 = M_1^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2-\beta^2 & -e^{-2\pi i\beta}\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} \\ e^{2\pi i\beta}\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} & -\alpha^2+\beta^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.7}$$

The function M was used in [5] to construct the global parametrix for an analogue of the Ψ-RH problem for small values of a parameter in the problem. In the present paper, we will make use of M twice: in the construction of the local parametrices at $\pm i$ in the study of the large $|s|$ asymptotics, and in the construction of the global parametrix for the small $|s|$ asymptotics. In the latter case, we will need, in addition to the RH conditions, more precise information on the local behavior of M at zero in the region between the lines $e^{\frac{3i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+$ and $e^{\frac{5i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+$, which we call region 3. Write $M \equiv M^{(3)}$ in this region. It is known (see ([5, Section 4.2.1]) that $M^{(3)}$ can be written in the form

$$M^{(3)}(\lambda) = L(\lambda)\lambda^{\alpha\sigma_3}\widetilde{G}_3, \qquad 2\alpha \neq 0, 1, \ldots, \quad \alpha \pm \beta \neq -1, -2, \ldots, \tag{4.8}$$

with the branch of $\lambda^{\pm\alpha}$ chosen with $0 < \arg\lambda < 2\pi$. Here

$$L(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda/2} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi(\alpha+\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(1+2\alpha)} \varphi(\alpha+\beta, 1+2\alpha, \lambda) & \\ -e^{-i\pi(\alpha-\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(1+2\alpha)} \varphi(1+\alpha+\beta, 1+2\alpha, \lambda) & \\ & e^{i\pi(\alpha-\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} \varphi(-\alpha+\beta, 1-2\alpha, \lambda) \\ & e^{i\pi(\alpha+\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \varphi(1-\alpha+\beta, 1-2\alpha, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.9}$$

is an entire function, with

$$\varphi(a, c; z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)}{c(c+1)\cdots(c+n-1)} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \qquad c \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots, \tag{4.10}$$

and $\widetilde{G}_3$ is the constant matrix

$$\widetilde{G}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \widetilde{g} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \widetilde{g} = \widetilde{g}(\alpha, \beta) = -\frac{\sin\pi(\alpha+\beta)}{\sin 2\pi\alpha}. \tag{4.11}$$

If 2α is an integer, we have

$$M^{(3)}(\lambda) = \widetilde{L}(\lambda) \lambda^{\alpha\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m(\lambda) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.12}$$

$$m(\lambda) = \frac{(-1)^{2\alpha+1}}{\pi} \sin\pi(\alpha+\beta) \ln(\lambda e^{-i\pi}), \qquad \text{if} \quad 2\alpha = 0, 1, \ldots, \tag{4.13}$$

where $\widetilde{L}(\lambda)$ is analytic at zero, and the branch of the logarithm corresponds to the argument of λ between 0 and 2π.

5 Asymptotics for Ψ as $s \to -i\infty$

We will perform a series of transformations of the RH problem for $\Psi(\zeta)$ in order to obtain a small norm RH problem for which we can derive asymptotics as $s \to -i\infty$. The asymptotic solution will be built out of 3 parametrices: 2 local ones (at z_1 and z_2) given in terms of the function M of the previous section, and a global one at infinity in terms of elementary functions. The asymptotic solution will be valid uniformly in ζ.

We assume in this section that $s \in -i\mathbb{R}^+$, $|s|$ is large, $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_1, \operatorname{Re}\alpha_2 > -1/2$, $\alpha_k \pm \beta_k \neq -1, -2, \ldots$, $k = 1, 2$, and that $|||\beta||| < 1$. The results of this section can be easily extended to s in a neighborhood of $-i\mathbb{R}^+$.

5.1 Normalization of the problem and opening of lens

Consider the contour shown in Figure 3, and set

$$U(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \Psi(\zeta) e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, & \text{outside the region delimited by } \Gamma_7' \text{ and } \Gamma_7'', \\ \Psi(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, & \text{in the right part of this region,} \\ \Psi(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, & \text{in the left part of this region.} \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Then we have the following RH problem for U:



Figure 3: The jump contour for U.

RH problem for U

(a) $U : \mathbb{C} \setminus (\cup_{k=1}^{6} \Gamma_k \cup \Gamma_7' \cup \Gamma_7'') \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) U satisfies the jump conditions

$$U_+(\zeta) = U_-(\zeta) e^{-\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3} J_k e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, \qquad \zeta \in \Gamma_k, k = 1, \dots, 6, \tag{5.2}$$

$$U_+(\zeta) = U_-(\zeta) e^{-\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, \qquad \zeta \in \Gamma_7', \tag{5.3}$$

$$U_+(\zeta) = U_-(\zeta) e^{-\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, \qquad \zeta \in \Gamma_7''. \tag{5.4}$$

(c) We have

$$U(\zeta) = \left(I + \frac{\Psi_1}{\zeta} + \frac{\Psi_2}{\zeta^2} + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-3}) \right) P^{(\infty)}(\zeta) \qquad \text{as } \zeta \to \infty, \tag{5.5}$$

where $P^{(\infty)}(\zeta)$ is defined in (3.7).

The local behavior of U near $\pm i$ can be deduced from the local behavior for Ψ (see the condition (d) of the RH problem for Ψ) and (5.1).

5.2 Global parametrix

As $s \to -i\infty$, the jump matrices for U tend to I exponentially fast on $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_4, \Gamma_7', \Gamma_7''$, except in the vicinity of $\pm i$. Ignoring those parts of the contour, we are left with a RH problem with jumps only on $\Gamma_5 \cup \Gamma_6$, which can be solved explicitly. It is indeed easily verified that $P^{(\infty)}(\zeta)$ given by (3.7) (the choice of the branches of $(\zeta - i)^{-\beta_1\sigma_3}$ and $(\zeta + i)^{-\beta_2\sigma_3}$ is described following (3.7)) satisfies the same jump condition as U on $\Gamma_5 \cup \Gamma_6$. In addition, $U(\zeta)P^{(\infty)}(\zeta)^{-1}$ tends to I as $\zeta \to \infty$.

5.3 Local parametrices

Let $\mathcal{U}_1$ and $\mathcal{U}_2$ be fixed nonintersecting open discs centered at i and $-i$, respectively.

5.3.1 Construction of a local parametrix near i

Set

$$\widetilde{M}(\lambda) = M^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}(\lambda) e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2}(\alpha_1-\beta_1)\sigma_3}, \tag{5.6}$$

in terms of the solution of the auxiliary RH-problem of Section 4 with parameters $\alpha = \alpha_1$, $\beta = \beta_1$, and let P_1 be of the form

$$P_1(\zeta) = E_1(\zeta)\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{|s|}{2}(\zeta - i)\right) e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, \qquad \zeta \in \mathcal{U}_1. \tag{5.7}$$

If E_1 is an analytic function in $\mathcal{U}_1$, one verifies directly that P_1 satisfies the same jump conditions as U in $\mathcal{U}_1$, and the singularity of $U(\zeta)P_1^{-1}(\zeta)$ at i is removable. Moreover, as $s \to -i\infty$, we have by (4.6), (5.6), and (5.7) that

$$P_1(\zeta)P^{(\infty)}(\zeta)^{-1} = E_1(\zeta)(I+\mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1}))e^{\frac{i|s|}{4}\sigma_3}\left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{\beta_2\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2}(\alpha_1-\beta_1)\sigma_3}(\zeta+i)^{\beta_2\sigma_3}, \tag{5.8}$$

for $\zeta \in \partial\mathcal{U}_1$. Set

$$E_1(\zeta) = (\zeta+i)^{-\beta_2\sigma_3}\left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{-\beta_2\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{i|s|}{4}\sigma_3} e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(\alpha_1-\beta_1)\sigma_3}. \tag{5.9}$$

This function is analytic in $\mathcal{U}_1$, and by (4.6)–(4.7),

$$P_1(\zeta)P^{(\infty)}(\zeta)^{-1} = \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{-\beta_2\sigma_3}\left(I + \frac{2}{|s|}Q_1(\zeta) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2})\right)\left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{\beta_2\sigma_3}, \qquad s \to -i\infty, \quad \zeta \in \partial\mathcal{U}_1, \tag{5.10}$$

with

$$Q_1(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta - i}(\zeta+i)^{-\beta_2\sigma_3}e^{-\frac{i|s|}{4}\sigma_3} \times \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^2-\beta_1^2 & -e^{i\pi(\alpha_1-3\beta_1)}\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha_1-\beta_1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\beta_1)} \\ e^{-i\pi(\alpha_1-3\beta_1)}\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha_1+\beta_1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1-\beta_1)} & -\alpha_1^2+\beta_1^2 \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{i|s|}{4}\sigma_3}(\zeta+i)^{\beta_2\sigma_3}. \tag{5.11}$$

5.3.2 Construction of a local parametrix near $-i$

Similarly, we set

$$\widehat{M}(\lambda) = M^{(\alpha_2,\beta_2)}(\lambda) e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(\alpha_2-\beta_2)\sigma_3}, \tag{5.12}$$

and

$$P_2(\zeta) = E_2(\zeta)\widehat{M}\left(\frac{|s|}{2}(\zeta + i)\right) e^{\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, \qquad \zeta \in \mathcal{U}_2. \tag{5.13}$$

If E_2 is analytic in $\mathcal{U}_2$, then it is straightforward to verify that P_2 has the same jump conditions as U has near $-i$, and the singularity of $U(\zeta)P_1^{-1}(\zeta)$ at i is removable. Set

$$E_2(\zeta) = (\zeta - i)^{-\beta_1\sigma_3} \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{-\beta_1\sigma_3} e^{\frac{i|s|}{4}\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2}(\alpha_2-\beta_2)\sigma_3}. \tag{5.14}$$

We have that

$$P_2(\zeta)P^{(\infty)}(\zeta)^{-1} = \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{-\beta_1\sigma_3} \left(I + \frac{2}{|s|}Q_2(\zeta) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2})\right) \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{\beta_1\sigma_3}, \qquad s \to -i\infty, \qquad \zeta \in \partial\mathcal{U}_2, \tag{5.15}$$

with

$$Q_2(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta + i}(\zeta - i)^{-\beta_1\sigma_3} e^{\frac{i|s|}{4}\sigma_3} \times \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2^2 - \beta_2^2 & -e^{-i\pi(\alpha_2+\beta_2)}\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha_2-\beta_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+\beta_2)} \\ e^{i\pi(\alpha_2+\beta_2)}\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha_2+\beta_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_2-\beta_2)} & -\alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{i|s|}{4}\sigma_3}(\zeta - i)^{\beta_1\sigma_3}. \tag{5.16}$$

5.4 Solution of the RH problem and the asymptotics of $\sigma(s)$ for large s

Using the global parametrix $P^{(\infty)}$ and the local parametrices P_1 and P_2, we define a function $\widetilde{R}$ as follows:

$$\widetilde{R}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} U(\zeta)P_1(\zeta)^{-1}, & \zeta \in \mathcal{U}_1, \\ U(\zeta)P_2(\zeta)^{-1}, & \zeta \in \mathcal{U}_2, \\ U(\zeta)P^{(\infty)}(\zeta)^{-1}, & \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \left(\overline{\mathcal{U}_1} \cup \overline{\mathcal{U}_2}\right). \end{cases} \tag{5.17}$$

Next, define R by the expression:

$$R(\zeta) = \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3} \widetilde{R}(\zeta) \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{-\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}. \tag{5.18}$$

Then R has no jumps inside $\mathcal{U}_1$ and $\mathcal{U}_2$, and R is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_R$, where $\Gamma_R = \partial\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \partial\mathcal{U}_2 \cup [(\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3 \cup \Gamma_4 \cup \Gamma_7' \cup \Gamma_7'') \setminus \left(\overline{\mathcal{U}_1} \cup \overline{\mathcal{U}_2}\right)]$. Except on $\partial\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \partial\mathcal{U}_2$, R has exponentially small jumps in s on the contour as $|s| \to \infty$. We choose the *clockwise* orientation for $\partial\mathcal{U}_1$ and $\partial\mathcal{U}_2$. We have the following:

RH problem for R

(a) $R : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_R \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) R satisfies the jump conditions

$$R_+(\zeta) = R_-(\zeta)(I + \mathcal{O}(e^{-c|s|})), \qquad \zeta \in \Gamma \setminus (\partial\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \partial\mathcal{U}_2), \tag{5.19}$$

$$R_+(\zeta) = R_-(\zeta)(I + \frac{2}{|s|}\Delta_1(\zeta; s) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+|||\beta|||})), \qquad \zeta \in \partial\mathcal{U}_1, \tag{5.20}$$

$$R_+(\zeta) = R_-(\zeta)(I + \frac{2}{|s|}\Delta_2(\zeta; s) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+|||\beta|||})), \qquad \zeta \in \partial\mathcal{U}_2, \tag{5.21}$$

where

$$\Delta_1(\zeta;s) \equiv \Delta_1(\zeta) = \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{\frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3} Q_1(\zeta) \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{-\frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}, \tag{5.22}$$

$$\Delta_2(\zeta;s) \equiv \Delta_2(\zeta) = \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{-\frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3} Q_2(\zeta) \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{\frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}. \tag{5.23}$$

(c) As $\zeta \to \infty$, we have

$$R(\zeta) = I + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-1}). \tag{5.24}$$

If $|||\beta||| < 1$, $\frac{1}{|s|}\Delta_j(\zeta) = \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1+|||\beta|||}) = o(1)$, all the jumps are close to the identity matrix, and this is a small-norm RH problem. Following the general theory for small-norm RH problems, we can conclude that the RH problem for R is solvable for $|s|$ sufficiently large, and that

$$R(\zeta) = I + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1+|||\beta|||}/(|\zeta|+1)), \tag{5.25}$$

uniformly for $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_R$ as $s \to -i\infty$. Formula (5.25) is sufficient to obtain the leading (linear) term in the large-s expansion of the Painlevé solution σ using (3.23) and (3.51). However, for our analysis of the determinant $D_n(f_t)$, we need to compute the asymptotics of $\sigma(s)$ up to the terms decreasing with s. This requires a more detailed analysis, because the standard expansion of R contains terms with powers of $|s|^{-1+|||\beta|||}$, and to obtain σ, we would need to multiply these series by s, thus obtaining the asymptotic expansion of $\sigma(s)$ with terms of order $|s|^{-k+1+k|||\beta|||}$, $k = 1, 2 \dots$. So the closer $|||\beta|||$ is to 1, the more terms in this expansion we would have to compute before we encounter the terms decreasing with s.

For definiteness, let us assume $\operatorname{Re}(\beta_1 - \beta_2) \geq 0$. The case $\operatorname{Re}(\beta_1 - \beta_2) < 0$ can be treated similarly. Following [8], where a similar situation arose, we proceed as follows. We have

$$\frac{2}{|s|}\Delta_1(\zeta;s) = h_1(\zeta;s)\sigma_+ + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1}), \qquad \frac{2}{|s|}\Delta_2(\zeta;s) = h_2(\zeta;s)\sigma_- + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1}), \tag{5.26}$$

as $s \to -i\infty$, where

$$\sigma_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.27}$$

and

$$h_1(\zeta;s) = -\frac{1}{\zeta - i}(\zeta+i)^{-2\beta_2} e^{-\frac{i|s|}{2}} \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{-1+\beta_1-\beta_2} e^{i\pi(\alpha_1-3\beta_1)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha_1-\beta_1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\beta_1)}, \tag{5.28}$$

$$h_2(\zeta;s) = \frac{1}{\zeta + i}(\zeta-i)^{2\beta_1} e^{-\frac{i|s|}{2}} \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{-1+\beta_1-\beta_2} e^{i\pi(\alpha_2+\beta_2)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha_2+\beta_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_2-\beta_2)}. \tag{5.29}$$

Write R in the form

$$R(\zeta) = \widehat{R}(\zeta)X(\zeta), \tag{5.30}$$

where X is a solution to the following RH problem, which is the RH problem for R, but all the jump matrix elements of order less than the highest in $|s|$, except 1 on the diagonal, are set to zero.

RH problem for X

(a) $X : \mathbb{C} \setminus (\partial\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \partial\mathcal{U}_2) \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) X satisfies the jump conditions

$$X_+(\zeta) = X_-(\zeta)(I + h_1(\zeta)\sigma_+), \qquad \zeta \in \partial\mathcal{U}_1, \tag{5.31}$$
$$X_+(\zeta) = X_-(\zeta)(I + h_2(\zeta)\sigma_-), \qquad \zeta \in \partial\mathcal{U}_2. \tag{5.32}$$

(c) As $\zeta \to \infty$, we have

$$X(\zeta) = I + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-1}). \tag{5.33}$$

This RH problem can be solved explicitly as follows. We look for the solution X in the form

$$X(\zeta) = I + \frac{1}{\zeta - i}W_1 + \frac{1}{\zeta + i}W_2, \qquad \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{(\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2)}, \tag{5.34}$$
$$X(\zeta) = \left(I + \frac{1}{\zeta - i}W_1 + \frac{1}{\zeta + i}W_2\right)(I - h_1(\zeta)\sigma_+), \qquad \zeta \in \mathcal{U}_1, \tag{5.35}$$
$$X(\zeta) = \left(I + \frac{1}{\zeta - i}W_1 + \frac{1}{\zeta + i}W_2\right)(I - h_2(\zeta)\sigma_-), \qquad \zeta \in \mathcal{U}_2, \tag{5.36}$$

where the 2×2 constant in ζ matrices W_1 and W_2 will now be determined. By the analyticity of X at $\pm i$, the singular terms on the right hand side of (5.35) and (5.36) must vanish. The vanishing of the term with $(\zeta - i)^{-2}$ in $\mathcal{U}_1$ and with $(\zeta + i)^{-2}$ in $\mathcal{U}_2$ gives

$$W_{1,11} = W_{1,21} = 0, \tag{5.37}$$
$$W_{2,12} = W_{2,22} = 0. \tag{5.38}$$

Similarly, the vanishing of the terms with $(\zeta \mp i)^{-1}$ gives

$$W_{1,12} = \widehat{h}_1(i)\left(1 + \frac{W_{2,11}}{2i}\right), \tag{5.39}$$
$$W_{1,22} = \frac{1}{2i}\widehat{h}_1(i)W_{2,21}, \tag{5.40}$$
$$W_{2,21} = \widehat{h}_2(-i)\left(1 - \frac{W_{1,22}}{2i}\right), \tag{5.41}$$
$$W_{2,11} = -\frac{1}{2i}\widehat{h}_2(-i)W_{1,12}, \tag{5.42}$$

where

$$\widehat{h}_1(\zeta) = (\zeta - i)h_1(\zeta), \qquad \widehat{h}_2(\zeta) = (\zeta + i)h_2(\zeta). \tag{5.43}$$

This system of equations is solved explicitly, in particular,

$$W_{2,11} = -2i\frac{\gamma(s)}{1 + \gamma(s)}, \tag{5.44}$$
$$\gamma(s) = -\frac{1}{4}\widehat{h}_1(i)\widehat{h}_2(-i) = \frac{1}{4}\left|\frac{s}{2}\right|^{2(-1+\beta_1-\beta_2)} e^{-i|s|}e^{i\pi(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \tag{5.45}$$
$$\times \quad \frac{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 - \beta_1)\Gamma(1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)\Gamma(\alpha_2 - \beta_2)}. \tag{5.46}$$

Let us now derive the RH conditions for $\widehat{R}$, defined in (5.30). Using the jump conditions for R and X, the form (5.34) of $X(z)$, and the fact that $\sigma_\pm$ is nilpotent, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{R}_+ = R_+X_+^{-1} = R_-\left(I + h_1(\zeta)\sigma_+ + \frac{2}{|s|}\widetilde{\Delta}_1(\zeta) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+|||\beta|||})\right)X_+^{-1} \\ = \widehat{R}_-\left(I + \frac{2}{|s|}\widetilde{\Delta}_1(\zeta) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+|||\beta|||})\right), \quad (5.47)\end{aligned}$$

on $\partial\mathcal{U}_1$, where

$$\frac{2}{|s|}\widetilde{\Delta}_1(\zeta) = \frac{2}{|s|}\Delta_1(\zeta) - h_1(\zeta)\sigma_+, \quad (5.48)$$

and similarly on $\partial\mathcal{U}_2$, with h_2 instead of h_1 and $\widetilde{\Delta}_2$ instead of $\widetilde{\Delta}_1$, where

$$\frac{2}{|s|}\widetilde{\Delta}_2(\zeta) = \frac{2}{|s|}\Delta_2(\zeta) - h_2(\zeta)\sigma_-. \quad (5.49)$$

Thus, we have the following RH problem for $\widehat{R}$:

RH problem for $\widehat{R}$

(a) $\widehat{R} : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_R$ is analytic.

(b) $\widehat{R}$ satisfies the jump conditions

$$\widehat{R}_+(\zeta) = \widehat{R}_-(\zeta)\left(I + \frac{2}{|s|}\widetilde{\Delta}_j(\zeta) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+|||\beta|||})\right), \qquad s \to -i\infty, \quad (5.50)$$

for $\zeta \in \partial\mathcal{U}_j$, $j = 1, 2$.

(c) As $\zeta \to \infty$, we have

$$\widehat{R}(\zeta) = I + \widehat{R}_1\zeta^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-2}). \quad (5.51)$$

This is a small-norm RH problem, and as before, we can conclude that it is solvable for $|s|$ sufficiently large, and since $\widetilde{\Delta}_j/|s| = \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1})$,

$$\widehat{R}(\zeta) = I + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|s|(|\zeta|+1)}\right) \quad (5.52)$$

uniformly for $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_R$ as $|s| \to \infty$. More precisely,

$$\widehat{R}(\zeta) = I + \frac{1}{\pi i|s|}\sum_{j=1}^{2}\int_{\partial\mathcal{U}_j}\frac{\widetilde{\Delta}_j(\xi)}{\xi - \zeta}d\xi + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+|||\beta|||}/(|\zeta|+1)), \quad (5.53)$$

and $\widehat{R}_1$ in (5.51) is given by

$$\widehat{R}_1 = \frac{2}{|s|}\left(\mathrm{Res}(\widetilde{\Delta}_1; i) + \mathrm{Res}(\widetilde{\Delta}_2; -i)\right) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+|||\beta|||}). \quad (5.54)$$

By inverting the transformation $U \mapsto R$ and using (5.30), we obtain

$$U(\zeta) = \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{-\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3} \widehat{R}(\zeta) X(\zeta) \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3} P^{(\infty)}(\zeta), \qquad \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\overline{\mathcal{U}_1} \cup \overline{\mathcal{U}_2}). \quad (5.55)$$

Substituting the explicit formula (5.34) for X and the asymptotic expansion (5.51), we obtain

$$U(\zeta) = \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{-\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3} \left(I + \frac{\widehat{R}_1}{\zeta} + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-2})\right) \left(I + \frac{W_1 + W_2}{\zeta} + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-2})\right) \times \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3} P^{(\infty)}(\zeta), \qquad \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\overline{\mathcal{U}_1} \cup \overline{\mathcal{U}_2}), \quad (5.56)$$

as $\zeta \to \infty$. Comparing this with (5.5), we conclude that

$$\Psi_1 = \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{-\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3} \left(\widehat{R}_1 + W_1 + W_2\right) \left(\frac{|s|}{2}\right)^{\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}, \quad (5.57)$$

and therefore by (5.37), (5.45), and (5.54),

$$q(s) = \Psi_{1,11} = \frac{2}{|s|}\Big(\mathrm{Res}(\widetilde{\Delta}_{1,11}; i) + \mathrm{Res}(\widetilde{\Delta}_{2,11}; -i)\Big) + \frac{2}{i}\frac{\gamma(s)}{1+\gamma(s)} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+|||\beta|||}), \quad (5.58)$$

as $|s| \to \infty$. By (5.48)–(5.49), (5.22)–(5.23), we obtain

$$q(s) = \frac{2}{|s|}\left(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 - \beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2\right) + \frac{2}{i}\frac{\gamma(s)}{1+\gamma(s)} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+|||\beta|||}). \quad (5.59)$$

By (3.51), we find

$$\sigma(s) = \frac{\beta_2 - \beta_1}{2}s - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 - \beta_2)^2 + s\frac{\gamma(s)}{1+\gamma(s)} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1+|||\beta|||}), \qquad s \to -i\infty. \quad (5.60)$$

Recall that $\gamma(s)$ is given by (5.46), and thus $s\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}$ is of order $|s|^{-1+2|||\beta|||}$. This proves (1.21) if $\mathrm{Re}\,(\beta_1 - \beta_2) \geq 0$. The case $\mathrm{Re}\,(\beta_1 - \beta_2) < 0$ can be studied similarly.

6 Asymptotics for Ψ as $s \to -i0_+$

In this section we will construct an asymptotic solution for $\Psi(\zeta)$ as $s \to -i0_+$ out of 2 parametrices: the local one (at $\zeta = 0$) will be given in terms of the hypergeometric function, and the global one at infinity, in terms of the confluent hypergeometric function. The asymptotic solution will be valid uniformly in ζ. The results of this section can be easily extended to s in a neighborhood of $-i\mathbb{R}^+$.

We assume here that $\mathrm{Re}\,\alpha_1, \mathrm{Re}\,\alpha_2, \mathrm{Re}\,(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) > -1/2$, $\alpha_k \pm \beta_k \neq -1, -2, \ldots$, $k = 1, 2$, $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \pm (\beta_1 + \beta_2) \neq -1, -2, \ldots$.


$\widehat{J}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -e^{-\pi i(\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_1-\beta_2)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\widehat{J}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{\pi i(\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_1-\beta_2)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
II
I
$\widehat{J}_5 = e^{2\pi i(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}$
0
III
$\widehat{J}_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\pi(\alpha_1-\beta_1-\alpha_2-\beta_2)} \\ -e^{i\pi(\alpha_1-\beta_1-\alpha_2-\beta_2)} & e^{-2\pi i\beta_2} \end{pmatrix}$
s
V
IV
$\widehat{J}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -e^{\pi i(\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_1-\beta_2)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\widehat{J}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-\pi i(\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_1-\beta_2)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Figure 4: The jump contour and jump matrices for $\widehat{\Psi}$.

6.1 Modified RH-problem

It is convenient to consider the following transformation of Ψ: let

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda) = e^{-\frac{s}{4}\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2}(\alpha_1-\beta_1-\alpha_2+\beta_2)\sigma_3} \Psi\left(\frac{2}{|s|}\lambda + i\right) e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}(\alpha_1-\beta_1-\alpha_2+\beta_2)\sigma_3} e^{-2\pi i\beta_2\sigma_3} \quad (6.1)$$

for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$, $-|s| < \operatorname{Im}\lambda < 0$, and

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda) = e^{-\frac{s}{4}\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2}(\alpha_1-\beta_1-\alpha_2+\beta_2)\sigma_3} \Psi\left(\frac{2}{|s|}\lambda + i\right) e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}(\alpha_1-\beta_1-\alpha_2+\beta_2)\sigma_3} \quad (6.2)$$

elsewhere. Recall that $s \in -i\mathbb{R}^+$, and note that the interval of the imaginary axis $[i, -i]$ in the $\zeta = \frac{2}{|s|}\lambda + i$-variable is mapped onto $[0, s]$ in the λ-variable. The function $\widehat{\Psi}$ has jumps for λ on $e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+$, $e^{\frac{3i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+$, $(s, 0)$, $s + e^{-\frac{3i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+$, and $s + e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+$. The 4 semi-infinite jump rays can be deformed freely by analytic continuation of the RH solution $\widehat{\Psi}$ from one region to another, as long as they do not intersect and as long as they tend to infinity in a sufficiently narrow sector containing the original ray. It is convenient to deform the lines $s + e^{-\frac{3i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+$ and $s + e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+$ in such a way that they coincide with $e^{-\frac{3i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+$ and $e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+$, except in a fixed neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_0$ of 0. We choose $\mathcal{U}_0$ so that it contains the interval $[0, s]$, and a jump contour as indicated in Figure 4.

Then $\widehat{\Psi}$ satisfies the following RH conditions.

RH problem for $\widehat{\Psi}$

(a) $\widehat{\Psi} : \mathbb{C} \setminus \widehat{\Gamma} \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic; $\widehat{\Gamma}$ is the contour depicted in Figure 4.

(b) $\widehat{\Psi}$ satisfies the jump conditions

$$\widehat{\Psi}_+(\lambda) = \widehat{\Psi}_-(\lambda)\widehat{J}_k, \qquad \lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma}_k, \quad (6.3)$$

where $\widehat{J}_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, 6$ are the matrices given in Figure 4, and $\widehat{\Gamma}_k$ are the corresponding parts of the contour.

(c) As $\lambda \to \infty$, we have in all regions:

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda) = \left(I + \frac{\widehat{\Psi}_1}{\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2}) \right) \lambda^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}\sigma_3}, \tag{6.4}$$

where $0 < \arg \lambda < 2\pi$ and

$$\widehat{\Psi}_1 = \frac{|s|}{2} \left(e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2}(\alpha_1-\beta_1-\alpha_2+\beta_2)\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{s}{4}\sigma_3} \Psi_1 e^{\frac{s}{4}\sigma_3} e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}(\alpha_1-\beta_1-\alpha_2+\beta_2)\sigma_3} - 2i\beta_2\sigma_3 \right). \tag{6.5}$$

6.2 Global parametrix

We note that $\widehat{\Psi}$ defined in (6.1)–(6.2) has the same condition at infinity and the same jumps in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{U}_0$ as the auxiliary function $M^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ of Section 4 with parameters $\alpha = \alpha_1+\alpha_2$, $\beta = \beta_1+\beta_2$. The function $M(\lambda) \equiv M^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\beta_1+\beta_2)}(\lambda)$ will serve as a parametrix for $\widehat{\Psi}$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{U}_0$.

6.3 Local parametrix

We look for a function P_0 satisfying the following conditions

RH problem for P_0

(a) $P_0 : \mathcal{U}_0 \setminus \widehat{\Gamma} \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic,

(b) P_0 satisfies the same jump conditions as $\widehat{\Psi}$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_0 \cap \widehat{\Gamma}$,

(c) for $\lambda \in \partial\mathcal{U}_0$, $P_0(\lambda) = M(\lambda)\,(I + o(1))$ as $s \to -i0_+$,

(d) $\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda)P_0(\lambda)^{-1}$ is analytic at 0 and s.

Since $\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda)$ has 2 singular points inside $\mathcal{U}_0$, it makes sense to try to construct P_0 in terms of the hypergeometric functions, similarly to [5].

Recall that for $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots$, the hypergeometric function is represented by the standard series

$$F(a,b,c,z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)b(b+1)\cdots(b+n-1)}{c(c+1)\cdots(c+n-1)} \frac{z^n}{n!},$$

converging in the disk $|z| \leq r < 1$ of any radius $r < 1$, and is extended to an analytic function in the plane with the cut $[1, +\infty)$. We choose the argument of z between 0 and 2π. The hypergeometric function we need here is the following:

$$\widehat{F}(\lambda; s) \equiv F\left(1, 1+2\alpha_1, 2+2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2), \frac{s}{\lambda}\right). \tag{6.6}$$

This function of λ is thus defined on the plane with the cut on the interval of the imaginary axis $[0, s] = [0, e^{-i\pi/2}|s|]$. (The jump of $\widehat{F}(\lambda)$ on $[0, s]$ can be obtained using the transformation of the hypergeometric function between the arguments z and $1/z$.)

We now let

$$J(\lambda; s) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{|s|^{1+2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}}{\lambda} \frac{\Gamma(1+2\alpha_1)\Gamma(1+2\alpha_2)}{\Gamma(2+2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2))} \widehat{F}(\lambda; s). \tag{6.7}$$

By a standard integral representation of the hypergeometric function, $J(\lambda;s)$ can also be written as follows:

$$J(\lambda;s)=\frac{1}{\pi i}\int_s^0 \frac{|\xi|^{2\alpha_1}|\xi-s|^{2\alpha_2}}{\xi-\lambda}d\xi. \tag{6.8}$$

This representation implies that on the cut $[0,s]$ oriented upwards,

$$J(\lambda)_+=J(\lambda)_-+2|\lambda|^{2\alpha_1}|\lambda-s|^{2\alpha_2}, \qquad \lambda\in(0,s). \tag{6.9}$$

We are now ready to construct the parametrix P_0. First, consider the case where $2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\notin\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. Then set

$$P_0^{(3)}(\lambda)=L(\lambda)\begin{pmatrix}1 & c_0J(\lambda;s)\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\lambda^{\alpha_1\sigma_3}(\lambda-s)^{\alpha_2\sigma_3}e^{2\pi i\alpha_1\sigma_3}\widetilde{G}_3, \qquad \lambda\in\mathcal{U}_0, \tag{6.10}$$

with the cut of λ^{α_1} along the line $\widehat{\Gamma}_2$, the cut of $(\lambda-s)^{\alpha_2}$ along the line $\widehat{\Gamma}_3$, and their arguments $\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_j$ on $i\mathbb{R}^+$ (i.e., with the same choice of branches in $\mathcal{U}_0$ as for $(\zeta-i)^{\alpha_1}$ in (3.8), and $(\zeta+i)^{\alpha_2}$ in (3.12)). Here L is given by (4.9), the matrix $\widetilde{G}_3$ by (4.11) with $\alpha=\alpha_1+\alpha_2$, $\beta=\beta_1+\beta_2$, and

$$\begin{aligned}c_0=\frac{1}{2}e^{2\pi i(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}\Bigg[&e^{i\pi(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}\frac{\sin\pi(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2)}{\sin 2\pi(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}\\ &+e^{-i\pi(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}\frac{\sin\pi(\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_1-\beta_2)}{\sin 2\pi(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}-e^{i\pi(\beta_1-\beta_2)}\Bigg].\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

With $P_0^{(3)}(\lambda)$ given by (6.10) set

$$\begin{aligned}&P_0(\lambda)=P_0^{(3)}(\lambda), \qquad \text{in region III,}\\ &P_0(\lambda)=P_0^{(3)}(\lambda)\widetilde{G}_3^{-1}e^{-2\pi i\alpha_1\sigma_3}\widetilde{G}_3\widehat{J}_2^{-1}\times\begin{cases}I, & \text{in region II,}\\ \widehat{J}_1^{-1}, & \text{in region I,}\\ \widehat{J}_1^{-1}\widehat{J}_5^{-1}, & \text{in region V,}\\ \widehat{J}_1^{-1}\widehat{J}_5^{-1}\widehat{J}_4, & \text{in region IV.}\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

We then have the following.

Proposition 6.1 *Let $2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\notin\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. Then the function (6.12) solves the RH problem for P_0.*

Proof. Condition (a) of the RH problem for P is satisfied by construction, as well as the jump relations on $\widehat{\Gamma}_1,\widehat{\Gamma}_4,\widehat{\Gamma}_5$. The jump condition on $\widehat{\Gamma}_2$ follows from the definition of P_0 in regions II and III, and from the fact that $P_0^{(3)}$ has a jump on $\widehat{\Gamma}_2$ because of the branch cut of $\lambda^{\alpha_1\sigma_3}$: for $\lambda\in\widehat{\Gamma}_2$,

$$P_{0,-}(\lambda)^{-1}P_{0,+}(\lambda)=\widehat{J}_2\left(\widetilde{G}_3^{-1}e^{-2\pi i\alpha_1\sigma_3}\widetilde{G}_3\right)^{-1}\widetilde{G}_3^{-1}e^{-2\pi i\alpha_1\sigma_3}\widetilde{G}_3=\widehat{J}_2.$$

On $\widehat{\Gamma}_3$, we have after a similar calculation using (6.10), taking into account the branch cut of $(\lambda-s)^{\alpha_2\sigma_3}$, and using the value of $\widetilde{g}(\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\beta_1+\beta_2)$ in (4.11),

$$\begin{aligned}P_{0,-}(\lambda)^{-1}P_{0,+}(\lambda)&=\widehat{J}_4^{-1}\widehat{J}_5\widehat{J}_1\widehat{J}_2\widetilde{G}_3^{-1}e^{2\pi i\alpha_1\sigma_3}\widetilde{G}_3P_{0,-}^{(3)}(\lambda)^{-1}P_{0,+}^{(3)}(\lambda)\\ &=\widehat{J}_4^{-1}\widehat{J}_5\widehat{J}_1\widehat{J}_2\widetilde{G}_3^{-1}e^{2\pi i(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\sigma_3}\widetilde{G}_3=\widehat{J}_3.\end{aligned}$$

On the interval $\widehat{\Gamma}_6 = [s, 0]$, by (6.10), (6.8), and (6.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_{0,-}(\lambda)^{-1} P_{0,+}(\lambda) &= \widehat{J}_5 \widehat{J}_1 \widehat{J}_2 \widetilde{G}_3^{-1} e^{2\pi i \alpha_1 \sigma_3} \widetilde{G}_3 P_{0,-}^{(3)}(\lambda)^{-1} P_{0,+}^{(3)}(\lambda) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{\pi i(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2)} \\ -e^{-\pi i(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2)} & e^{-2\pi i(\beta_1+\beta_2)} \end{pmatrix} \widetilde{G}_3^{-1} e^{2\pi i \alpha_1 \sigma_3} \widetilde{G}_3 \\ &\qquad \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2c_0 e^{-\pi i(3\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \widehat{J}_6. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, it is the requirement that here $P_{0,-}(\lambda)^{-1} P_{0,+}(\lambda) = \widehat{J}_6$ which fixes the value (6.11) of c_0.

Now we prove the matching condition $P_0(\lambda) M(\lambda)^{-1} = I + \mathcal{O}(|s|)$ on the boundary $(\partial \mathcal{U}_0) \cap$ region III as $s \to -i0_+$. Here we use (4.8) with $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$, $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$. The branch of $\lambda^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \equiv \lambda_M^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$ in (4.8) was chosen with arguments between 0 and 2π. On the other hand, the branch of $\lambda^{\alpha_1} \equiv \lambda_P^{\alpha_1}$ in (6.10) was chosen with arguments between $-5\pi/4$ and $3\pi/4$. Therefore $\lambda_M^{\alpha_1} = \lambda_P^{\alpha_1} e^{2\pi i \alpha_1}$ for λ in region III. Taking this into account, we obtain

$$P(\lambda) M(\lambda)^{-1} = L(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c_0 J(\lambda; s) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{-\alpha_2 \sigma_3} (\lambda - s)^{\alpha_2 \sigma_3} L(\lambda)^{-1}$$

for $\lambda \in (\partial \mathcal{U}_0) \cap$ region III. Here we can (and do) choose the branch of $\lambda^{-\alpha_2}$ with arguments between $-\pi/2$ and $-5\pi/2$, and that of $(\lambda - s)^{\alpha_2}$ with arguments between $-\pi/2$ and $3\pi/2$. Note that this expression can be extended to the whole plane as an analytic function outside the cut $[0, s]$. As $s \to -i0_+$, we obtain uniformly on the boundary $\partial \mathcal{U}_0$:

$$P(\lambda) M(\lambda)^{-1} = I + \Delta_1(\lambda) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^2) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{2+4(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}) = I + o(1), \qquad \lambda \in \partial \mathcal{U}_0, \tag{6.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1(\lambda) = &-\alpha_2 \frac{s}{\lambda} L(\lambda) \sigma_3 L(\lambda)^{-1} \\ &- \frac{c_0}{\pi \lambda} |s|^{1+2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \frac{\Gamma(1+2\alpha_1)\Gamma(1+2\alpha_2)}{\Gamma(2+2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2))} L(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} L(\lambda)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

Here we used (6.8) and the connection between Beta and Gamma functions. Alternatively, we can use (6.7).

Finally, we need to prove condition (d) of the RH problem. Since $\widehat{\Psi}$ and P_0 have the same jump relations, the possible singularities of $\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda) P_0(\lambda)^{-1}$ at 0 and s are isolated, and it is easily verified by the construction of P_0 and the behavior of $\widehat{\Psi}$ at 0 and s that the singularities cannot be essential. Therefore, to conclude that the singularities are removable, it suffices to check that $\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda) P_0(\lambda)^{-1}$ is $o(|\lambda|^{-1})$ as $\lambda \to 0$ in region III, and that it is $o(|\lambda - s|^{-1})$ as $\lambda \to s$ in region III. Let us consider the behavior of $\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda) P_0(\lambda)^{-1}$ as $\lambda \to 0$ in region III. From the conditions (3.8), (3.15), we obtain as $\lambda \to 0$ in region III:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Psi}(\lambda) =& \widehat{F}_1(\lambda) \lambda^{\alpha_1 \sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \ell(\lambda) e^{-i\pi(\alpha_1-\beta_1-\alpha_2+\beta_2)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \widehat{F}_1(\lambda) =& e^{-\frac{s}{4}\sigma_3} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha_1-\beta_1-\alpha_2+\beta_2)\sigma_3} F_1\left(\frac{2}{|s|}\lambda + i\right) e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha_1-\beta_1-\alpha_2+\beta_2)\sigma_3} \left(\frac{2}{|s|}\right)^{\alpha_1 \sigma_3}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

where $\ell = g$, with g given by (3.9) for $2\alpha_1 \neq 0, 1, \ldots$; and $\ell = g_{int} \ln(2\lambda/|s|)$, with g_{int} given by (3.16) for $2\alpha_1 = 0, 1, \ldots$.

Multiplying (6.15) on the right by $P_0(\lambda)^{-1}$ and substituting (6.10), we obtain after a straightforward analysis of (6.8) that

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda)P_0(\lambda)^{-1} = \widehat{F}_1(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{2\alpha_1}) + \mathcal{O}(|\ln\lambda|) + \mathcal{O}(1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} L(\lambda)^{-1}, \tag{6.16}$$

as $\lambda \to 0$ in region III. Since $\widehat{F}_1$ and L are analytic at 0 and $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_1 > -1/2$, this implies that $\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda)P_0(\lambda)^{-1}$ is analytic at 0. In a similar way we obtain

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda)P_0(\lambda)^{-1} = \widehat{F}_2(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{2\alpha_2}) + \mathcal{O}(|\ln\lambda|) + \mathcal{O}(1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} L(\lambda)^{-1}, \tag{6.17}$$

as $\lambda \to s$ in region III. Hence $\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda)P_0(\lambda)^{-1}$ is analytic at s.

□

Remark 6.2 The representation (6.7) allows us to obtain the full expansion of $P_0(\lambda)$ near its singularities without much effort (cf. [5]). The exact cancellation of singular parts of $P_0(\lambda)$ and $\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda)$ at 0, s, in the expression $\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda)P_0(\lambda)^{-1}$ gives an alternative way to fix the value (6.11) of the constant c_0. Consider, for example, the case of λ near 0 and $2\alpha_1 \neq 0, 1, 2 \ldots$. Then we can use the following standard transformation of the hypergeometric function:

$$\begin{aligned} &F(1, 1+2\alpha_1, 2+2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2), z) = -\frac{\pi}{\sin 2\pi\alpha_1} \frac{\Gamma(2+2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2))}{\Gamma(1+2\alpha_1)\Gamma(1+2\alpha_2)} \\ &\times \left(e^{i\pi} z^{-1}\right)^{1+2\alpha_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right)^{2\alpha_2} \\ &+ \frac{1+2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}{2\alpha_1} e^{i\pi} z^{-1} F(1, -2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2), 1-2\alpha_1, 1/z), \end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

to write $J(\lambda; s)$ in the form

$$J(\lambda; s) = J_{sing}(\lambda) + J_{an}(\lambda), \qquad J_{sing}(\lambda) = \frac{e^{i\pi(3\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}}{i \sin 2\pi\alpha_1} \lambda^{2\alpha_1} (\lambda - s)^{2\alpha_2}, \tag{6.19}$$

$$J_{an}(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{i\pi} |s|^{2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha_1)\Gamma(1+2\alpha_2)}{\Gamma(1+2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2))} F\left(1, -2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2), 1-2\alpha_1, \frac{\lambda}{s}\right), \tag{6.20}$$

with the branch of λ^{α_1} corresponding to the arguments between $-\pi/2$ and $-5\pi/2$, and the branch of $(\lambda - s)^{\alpha_2}$, to the arguments between $3\pi/2$ and $-\pi/2$. Since $J_{an}(\lambda)$ is analytic at $\lambda = 0$, this representation shows the form of the singularity of $J(\lambda)$ at zero. Note that in region III, the branches in (6.19) coincide with those in (6.10). We now write P_0 as $\lambda \to 0$ in region III in the form

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(\lambda) = L(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c_0 J_{an}(\lambda) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (\lambda - s)^{\alpha_2 \sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c_0 J_{sing}(\lambda)(\lambda - s)^{-2\alpha_2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \lambda^{\alpha_1 \sigma_3} e^{2\pi i \alpha_1 \sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \widetilde{g}(\alpha_1+\alpha_2, \beta_1+\beta_2) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

where $\widetilde{g}(\alpha, \beta)$ is given by (4.11).

Comparing this expression with (6.15), we see that the condition of analyticity of $\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda)P_0(\lambda)^{-1}$ at zero is the condition of vanishing of the term with $\lambda^{2\alpha_1}$ in $\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda)P_0(\lambda)^{-1}$, which is

$$ge^{-i\pi(\alpha_1-\beta_1-\alpha_2+\beta_2)} - \widetilde{g}(\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\beta_1+\beta_2) \\ = c_0 J_{sing}(\lambda)(\lambda-s)^{-2\alpha_2}\lambda^{-2\alpha_1}e^{-4\pi i\alpha_1} = c_0\frac{e^{-i\pi(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}}{i\sin 2\pi\alpha_1}.$$

Solving this condition for c_0, we again obtain (6.11).

We now construct the parametrix in the remaining case $2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. (Note, in particular, that the constant c_0 in (6.11) is not defined in this case.) Set

$$\widetilde{J}(\lambda;s) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha_1}J(\lambda;s) = \frac{1}{\pi i}\int_s^0 \frac{|\xi|^{2\alpha_1}|\xi-s|^{2\alpha_2}\ln|\xi|}{\xi-\lambda}d\xi, \tag{6.22}$$

and then set

$$\widetilde{P}_0^{(3)}(\lambda) = \widetilde{L}(\lambda)\begin{pmatrix}1 & e_1\widetilde{J}(\lambda;s)+e_2J(\lambda;s)\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\lambda^{\alpha_1\sigma_3}(\lambda-s)^{\alpha_2\sigma_3}e^{2\pi i\alpha_1\sigma_3}\begin{pmatrix}1 & m(\lambda)\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.23}$$

where

$$e_1 = \frac{i}{\pi}e^{-i\pi(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}\sin\pi(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2)\sin 2\pi\alpha_1, \tag{6.24}$$

$$e_2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(i\pi c_1 + e^{i\pi(-2\alpha_1+\beta_1+\beta_2)} - (-1)^{2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}e^{i\pi(\beta_1-\beta_2)}\right), \tag{6.25}$$

the matrix $\widetilde{L}$ and $m(\lambda)$ are as in (4.12) and (4.13), respectively, with $\alpha=\alpha_1+\alpha_2$, $\beta=\beta_1+\beta_2$.

With $\widetilde{P}_0^{(3)}(\lambda)$ given by (6.23) set

$$\begin{aligned} &P_0(\lambda) = \widetilde{P}_0^{(3)}(\lambda), \qquad \text{in region III,}\\ &P_0(\lambda) = \widetilde{P}_0^{(3)}(\lambda)\begin{pmatrix}1 & -m(\lambda)\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}e^{-2\pi i\alpha_1\sigma_3}\begin{pmatrix}1 & m(\lambda)\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\widehat{J}_2^{-1}\\ &\qquad\times\begin{cases}\widehat{J}_2^{-1}, & \text{in region II,}\\ \widehat{J}_2^{-1}\widehat{J}_1^{-1}, & \text{in region I,}\\ (-1)^{2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}\widehat{J}_3^{-1}, & \text{in region IV,}\\ (-1)^{2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}\widehat{J}_3^{-1}\widehat{J}_4^{-1}, & \text{in region V.}\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

Then the jump conditions hold (the jump condition on $\widehat{\Gamma}_6$ fixes the values (6.24), (6.25) of the constants c_1 and c_2). One verifies conditions (c) and (d) in a similar way as above. In particular, we obtain

$$P_0(\lambda)M(\lambda)^{-1} = I + \mathcal{O}(|s\ln|s||), \qquad \lambda\in\partial\mathcal{U}_0, \qquad s\to -i0_+. \tag{6.27}$$

Thus, we have

Proposition 6.3 *Let $2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. Then the function (6.26) solves the RH problem for P_0.*

6.4 Solution of the RH problem and the asymptotics of $\sigma(s)$ for small s

We define as usual

$$H(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\Psi}(\lambda)P_0^{-1}(\lambda), & \lambda \in \mathcal{U}_0, \\ \widehat{\Psi}(\lambda)M^{-1}(\lambda), & \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{U}_0}. \end{cases} \tag{6.28}$$

We then have that H is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \partial\mathcal{U}_0$ with the jump (see (6.13), (6.27)) $P_0(\lambda)M^{-1}(\lambda) = 1 + o(1)$ uniformly for $\lambda \in \partial\mathcal{U}_0$ as $s \to -i0_+$. As $\lambda \to \infty$, we have $H(\lambda) = I + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$ for any fixed s. Therefore, this RH problem for H is a small-norm problem solvable in the standard way by a Neumann series. Consider the case $2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \notin \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We have

$$H(\lambda) = I + H^{(1)}(\lambda) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^2) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{2+4(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}), \tag{6.29}$$

$$H^{(1)}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathcal{U}_0} \frac{\Delta_1(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda} d\mu, \tag{6.30}$$

uniformly in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \partial\mathcal{U}_0$ as $s \to -i0_+$, where Δ_1 is given by (6.14). Here $\partial\mathcal{U}_0$ is oriented clockwise.

From the asymptotics for H, we can obtain the asymptotics for $\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda)$ as $s \to -i0_+$, and hence we can compute the asymptotics for the Painlevé function $\sigma(s)$ in this limit. By (3.51) and (3.23), we need to compute $\Psi_{1,11}(s)$, which is the coefficient of $1/\lambda$ in the large λ expansion (3.6) of $\Psi(\lambda)$. First, we observe by (6.5) that

$$\Psi_{1,11} = \frac{2}{|s|}\widehat{\Psi}_{1,11} + 2i\beta_2. \tag{6.31}$$

To compute $\widehat{\Psi}_{1,11}$ for small $|s|$, we use the asymptotic solution of the $\widehat{\Psi}$ problem. We have as $\lambda \to \infty$,

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\lambda) = H(\lambda)M(\lambda) = \left(I + \frac{H_1}{\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2})\right)\left(I + \frac{M_1}{\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2})\right)\lambda^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda\sigma_3}, \tag{6.32}$$

where M_1 is given by (4.7) with $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$, $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$, and where, by (6.29),

$$H_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathcal{U}_0} \Delta_1(\mu)d\mu + \mathcal{O}(|s|^2) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{2+4(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}). \tag{6.33}$$

Comparing (6.4) and (6.32), we obtain

$$\widehat{\Psi}_{1,11} = (H_1 + M_1)_{11} = H_{1,11} + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^2 - (\beta_1 + \beta_2)^2. \tag{6.34}$$

Computing the residue of $\Delta_1(\mu)$ at zero, we obtain by (6.33), (6.14), and (4.9) with $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$, $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{1,11} = \alpha_2\frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}s - \frac{c_0}{\pi}\frac{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2)\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2)}{\Gamma(1 + 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))^2} \\ \times\frac{\Gamma(1 + 2\alpha_1)\Gamma(1 + 2\alpha_2)}{\Gamma(2 + 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}e^{-2\pi i(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}|s|^{1+2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^2) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{2+4(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}). \end{aligned} \tag{6.35}$$

Therefore, by (6.34), (6.31), (3.23), and (3.51), we finally obtain the small s expansion (1.19) of $\sigma(s)$. In the case where $2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, the estimate (1.23) is obtained similarly by (6.27).

Using the small s asymptotic expansion (6.29) for H and inverting the transformations (6.28) and (6.1), one obtains small s asymptotics for F_1 and F_2 defined in (3.8), (3.12), (3.15), and (3.17). These lead to a proof of (3.66).

7 Asymptotics of the orthogonal polynomials

We now use the model problem for Ψ of Section 3 to obtain asymptotics for the solution of the Y-RH problem for the orthogonal polynomials of Section 2, for large n uniformly in $0<t<t_0$ with a fixed sufficiently small t_0. We assume that $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_1, \operatorname{Re}\alpha_2 > -1/2$, $\alpha_k\pm\beta_k\neq -1,-2,\ldots$, $k=1,2$, and that $|||\beta|||<1$. For the small $s=2int$ asymptotics, we require furthermore that $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)>-1/2$ and $(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\pm(\beta_1+\beta_2)\neq -1,-2,\ldots$.

7.1 Normalization of the RH problem

Set

$$T(z)=\begin{cases} Y(z)z^{-n\sigma_3}, & \text{for } |z|>1,\\ Y(z), & \text{for } |z|<1.\end{cases} \tag{7.1}$$

Then, by the RH conditions for Y, we obtain (recall that $z_1=e^{it}$, $z_2=e^{i(2\pi-t)}$):

RH problem for T

(a) $T:\mathbb{C}\setminus C\to\mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) $T_+(z)=T_-(z)\begin{pmatrix} z^n & f_t(z)\\ 0 & z^{-n}\end{pmatrix}$, for $z\in C\setminus\{z_1,z_2\}$.

(c) $T(z)=I+\mathcal{O}(1/z)$ as $z\to\infty$.

(d) As $z\to z_k$, $T(z)$ has the same singular behavior as $Y(z)$, see Section 2.1.

7.2 Opening of lens

The function $f_t(z)$ admits the following factorization on the unit circle:

$$f_t(e^{i\theta})=\mathcal{D}_+(e^{i\theta})\mathcal{D}_-^{-1}(e^{i\theta}), \qquad \theta\neq\pm t, \tag{7.2}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_+$, $\mathcal{D}_-$ are the boundary values from the inside and the outside of the unit circle, respectively, of the Szegő function $\mathcal{D}(z)=\exp\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C\frac{\ln f_t(s)}{s-z}ds$, which is analytic inside and outside of C. We have (see (4.9)–(4.10) in [7]):

$$\mathcal{D}(z)=e^{\sum_0^\infty V_j z^j}\prod_{k=1}^{2}\left(\frac{z-z_k}{z_k e^{i\pi}}\right)^{\alpha_k+\beta_k}\equiv\mathcal{D}_{in,t}(z), \qquad |z|<1, \tag{7.3}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}(z)^{-1}=e^{\sum_{-\infty}^{-1} V_j z^j}\prod_{k=1}^{2}\left(\frac{z-z_k}{z}\right)^{\alpha_k-\beta_k}\equiv\mathcal{D}_{out,t}(z)^{-1}, \qquad |z|>1. \tag{7.4}$$



Figure 5: The contour $\Sigma_S = \Sigma_{in} \cup C \cup \Sigma_{out}$.

The branch of $(z-z_k)^{\alpha_k \pm \beta_k}$ in (7.3), (7.4) is fixed by the condition that $\arg(z-z_k) = 2\pi$ on the line going from z_k to the right parallel to the real axis, and the branch cut is the line $\theta = \theta_k$ from $z = z_k = e^{i\theta_k}$ to infinity. In (7.4) for any k, the branch cut of the root $z^{\alpha_k - \beta_k}$ is the line $\theta = \theta_k$ from $z = 0$ to infinity, and $\theta_k < \arg z < 2\pi + \theta_k$.

By (7.2),

$$f_t(e^{i\theta}) = \mathcal{D}_{in,t}(e^{i\theta})\mathcal{D}_{out,t}(e^{i\theta})^{-1}, \tag{7.5}$$

and this function extends analytically to the complex plane with two branch cuts $e^{it}\mathbb{R}^+$ and $e^{-it}\mathbb{R}^+$. Orienting the cuts away from zero, we obtain for the jumps of f_t:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{t+} &= f_{t-} e^{2\pi i(\alpha_j - \beta_j)}, && \text{on } z_j(0,1), \\ f_{t+} &= f_{t-} e^{-2\pi i(\alpha_j + \beta_j)}, && \text{on } z_j(1,\infty). \end{aligned}$$

We can factorize the jump matrix for T if $|z| = 1$, $t < \arg z < 2\pi - t$ as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} z^n & f_t(z) \\ 0 & z^{-n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z^{-n} f_t(z)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_t(z) \\ -f_t(z)^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z^n f_t(z)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.6}$$

We fix a lens-shaped region as shown in Figure 5, and define

$$S(z) = \begin{cases} T(z), & \text{outside the lens,} \\ T(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z^{-n} f_t(z)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{in the part of the lens outside the unit circle,} \\ T(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -z^n f_t(z)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{in the part of the lens inside the unit circle.} \end{cases} \tag{7.7}$$

The following RH conditions for S can be verified directly.

RH problem for S

(a) $S : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_S \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) $S_+(z) = S_-(z)J_S(z)$, for $z \in \Sigma_S$, where J_S is given by

$$J_S(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z^{-n} f_t(z)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } \Sigma_{out}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_t(z) \\ -f_t(z)^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on the arc } (e^{it}, e^{i(2\pi - t)}), \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z^{n} f_t(z)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } \Sigma_{in}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} z^n & f_t(z) \\ 0 & z^{-n} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on the arc } (e^{i(2\pi - t)}, e^{it}). \end{cases} \tag{7.8}$$

(c) $S(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(1/z)$ as $z \to \infty$.

(d) As $z \to z_k$, $k = 1, 2$, and z in the region outside the lens, we have

$$S(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) + \mathcal{O}(|z - z_k|^{2\alpha_k}) \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) + \mathcal{O}(|z - z_k|^{2\alpha_k}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha_k \neq 0,$$

and

$$S(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(|\ln|z - z_k||) \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(|\ln|z - z_k||) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha_k = 0.$$

The behavior of $S(z)$, $z \to z_k$ in the other sectors is obtained from these expressions by application of the appropriate jump conditions.

Let us now fix a small complex neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of 1, for example a small disk such that for $t < t_0$, the singularities e^{it} and e^{-it} are contained in $\mathcal{U}$. Noting that z^n, resp. z^{-n}, is exponentially decaying as $n \to \infty$ for $|z| < 1$, resp. $|z| > 1$, one observes by (7.8) that the jump matrix $J_S(z)$ converges to the identity matrix as $n \to \infty$ for $z \in (\Sigma_{in} \cup \Sigma_{out}) \setminus \mathcal{U}$, uniformly in z and $t < t_0$.

7.3 Global parametrix

Define the function

$$N(z) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{in,t}(z)^{\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } |z| < 1, \\ \mathcal{D}_{out,t}(z)^{\sigma_3}, & \text{for } |z| > 1. \end{cases} \tag{7.9}$$

It is straightforward to verify that N satisfies the following RH conditions:

RH problem for N

(a) $N : \mathbb{C} \setminus C \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) $N_+(z) = N_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_t(z) \\ -f_t(z)^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, for $z \in C \setminus \{e^{\pm it}\}$.

(c) $N(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(1/z)$ as $z \to \infty$.

7.4 $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$. Local parametrix near 1

Let $\omega(x)$ be a positive, smooth for large x function such that $\omega(n) \to \infty$, $\omega(n) = o(n)$ as $n \to \infty$. In order to obtain the asymptotic solution to the Y-RH problem with "good" uniformity properties in $0 < t < t_0$, we will have to construct different local parametrices for the cases $0 < t \leq 1/n$, $1/n < t \leq \omega(n)/n$, and $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. First, we consider $0 < t \leq 1/n$ and $1/n < t \leq \omega(n)/n$. For general β's we have not excluded the possibility that there is a finite set Ω of the points $s = -2int$ away from zero where the RH problem for Ψ is not solvable. In order to integrate the differential identity for $D_n(f)$ later on, we will need uniform asymptotics for the polynomials in a complex neighborhood of the interval $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$ away from Ω. For simplicity of notation, we consider only the case of real t, $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$, in this section, assuming that this interval is disjoint from Ω. The extension to a neighborhood of $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$ with small neighborhoods of the poles removed can be carried out easily by the reader.

For $0 < t \leq 1/n$ and $1/n < t \leq \omega(n)/n$, we will now construct a local parametrix in $\mathcal{U}$ which satisfies the same jump conditions as S inside $\mathcal{U}$, and which matches with the global parametrix on the boundary of $\mathcal{U}$ for large n. More precisely, we will construct P satisfying the following conditions.

RH problem for P

(a) $P : \mathcal{U} \setminus \Sigma_S \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) $P_+(z) = P_-(z)J_S(z)$, for $z \in \mathcal{U} \cap \Sigma_S$.

(c) As $n \to \infty$, we have

$$P(z) = \widetilde{n}^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}(I + o(1))\widetilde{n}^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}N(z) \qquad \text{for } z \in \partial\mathcal{U}, \tag{7.10}$$

uniformly for $0 < t < t_0$, where

$$\widetilde{n} = \min\{n, \sqrt{n/t}\}. \tag{7.11}$$

(d) As $z \to z_k$, $k = 1, 2$, $S(z)P(z)^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

7.4.1 Modified model RH problem

The RH problem for Ψ was convenient to prove solvability, to derive the Lax pair, and to obtain the asymptotics for Ψ as $s \to -i\infty$ and $s \to -i0_+$. In order to construct the local parametrix near 1 for the RH problem for the orthogonal polynomials, we use an equivalent, but a more convenient form of the model RH problem for Ψ. Set

$$\Phi(\zeta; s) \equiv \Phi(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \Psi(\zeta), & -1 < \operatorname{Im}\zeta < 1, \\ \Psi(\zeta)e^{\pi i(\alpha_1-\beta_1)\sigma_3}, & \operatorname{Im}\zeta > 1, \\ \Psi(\zeta)e^{-\pi i(\alpha_2-\beta_2)\sigma_3}, & \operatorname{Im}\zeta < -1. \end{cases} \tag{7.12}$$


$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$e^{\pi i(\alpha_1-\beta_1)\sigma_3}$
$e^{\pi i(\alpha_1+\beta_1)\sigma_3}$
i
$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$-i$
$e^{\pi i(\alpha_2-\beta_2)\sigma_3}$
$e^{\pi i(\alpha_2+\beta_2)\sigma_3}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Figure 6: The jump contour Σ and the jump matrices for Φ.

RH problem for Φ

(a) $\Phi : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic, with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Sigma = \cup_{k=1}^{9}\Sigma_k, && \Sigma_1 = i + e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+, && \Sigma_2 = i + e^{\frac{3i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+,\\
&\Sigma_3 = i - \mathbb{R}^+, && \Sigma_4 = -i - \mathbb{R}^+, && \Sigma_5 = -i + e^{-\frac{3i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+,\\
&\Sigma_6 = -i + e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}^+, && \Sigma_7 = -i + \mathbb{R}^+, && \Sigma_8 = i + \mathbb{R}^+,\\
&\Sigma_9 = [-i, i].
\end{aligned}$$

(b) The jump conditions are:

$$\Phi_+(\zeta) = \Phi_-(\zeta)V_k, \qquad \text{for } \zeta \in \Sigma_k, \tag{7.13}$$

where

$$V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad V_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.14}$$

$$V_3 = e^{\pi i(\alpha_1-\beta_1)\sigma_3}, \qquad V_4 = e^{\pi i(\alpha_2-\beta_2)\sigma_3}, \tag{7.15}$$

$$V_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad V_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.16}$$

$$V_7 = e^{\pi i(\alpha_2+\beta_2)\sigma_3}, \qquad V_8 = e^{\pi i(\alpha_1+\beta_1)\sigma_3}, \tag{7.17}$$

$$V_9 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.18}$$

(c) As $\zeta \to \infty$, we have

$$\Phi(\zeta) = (I + \frac{\Psi_1}{\zeta} + \frac{\Psi_2}{\zeta^2} + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-3}))\widehat{P}^{(\infty)}(\zeta)e^{-\frac{|s|}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, \tag{7.19}$$

where

$$\widehat{P}^{(\infty)}(\zeta) = P^{(\infty)}(\zeta) \times \begin{cases} 1, & -1 < \operatorname{Im}\zeta < 1 \\ e^{\pi i(\alpha_1-\beta_1)\sigma_3}, & \operatorname{Im}\zeta > 1 \\ e^{-\pi i(\alpha_2-\beta_2)\sigma_3}, & \operatorname{Im}\zeta < -1 \end{cases}, \tag{7.20}$$

with $P^{(\infty)}$ given by (3.7).

Φ has singular behavior near $\pm i$ which is inherited from Ψ. The precise conditions follow from (7.12), (3.8), (3.12), (3.15, and (3.17).

7.4.2 $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$. Construction of a local parametrix near 1 in terms of Φ

We search for P in the form

$$P(z) = E(z)\Phi(\frac{1}{t}\ln z; -2int)W(z), \tag{7.21}$$

which means, in particular, that we evaluate $\Phi(\zeta; s)$, and thus $\Psi(\zeta; s)$, at $\zeta = \frac{1}{t}\ln z$ and $s = -i|s| = -2int$. The singularities $z = e^{\pm it}$ correspond to the values $\zeta = \pm i$. In (7.21), E has to be an analytic matrix-valued function in $\mathcal{U}$, and W is given by

$$W(z) = \begin{cases} -z^{\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3} f_t(z)^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} \sigma_3, & \text{for } |z| < 1, \\ z^{\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3} f_t(z)^{\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} \sigma_1, & \text{for } |z| > 1. \end{cases} \qquad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.22}$$

Choose Σ_S such that $\frac{1}{t}\ln(\Sigma_S) \subset \Sigma \cup i\mathbb{R}$ in $\mathcal{U}$. Then one verifies, using the jump conditions (7.13) for Φ and the jump matrices (7.8) for S, that P satisfies the jump relation $P_+ = P_- J_S$ on $\mathcal{U} \cap \Sigma_S$, and that P is meromorphic in $\mathcal{U} \setminus \Sigma_S$ with possible singularities at z_1, z_2. By the condition (d) of the RH problem for S, (7.12), and the condition (d) of the RH problem for Ψ, the singularities of $S(z)P(z)^{-1}$ at z_1 and z_2 are removable, so the condition (d) of the RH problem for $P(z)$ is satisfied.

It remains to choose E in such a way that the matching condition (7.10) for $z \in \partial\mathcal{U}$ as $n \to \infty$ holds, uniformly for $0 < t < t_0$. Define

$$E(z) = \sigma_1 \left(\mathcal{D}_{in,t}(z)\mathcal{D}_{out,t}(z)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \widehat{P}^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{t}\ln z)^{-1}. \tag{7.23}$$

It is straightforward to verify that E is analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ (in particular, the branch cuts for $\mathcal{D}_{in,t}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{out,t}$ cancel out with those of $\widehat{P}^{(\infty)}$).

Proposition 7.1 *Let $\widetilde{n} = \min\{n, \sqrt{n/t}\}$, and assume that $|||\beta||| < 1$. We have*

$$P(z)N(z)^{-1} = \widetilde{n}^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}(I + \mathcal{O}(t(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}))\widetilde{n}^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}, \quad n \to \infty,\ z \in \partial\mathcal{U}, \tag{7.24}$$

uniformly for $0 < t < t_0$ with t_0 sufficiently small and uniformly in $z \in \partial\mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Let us first consider the case where $c_0 \leq nt \leq C_0$, with some $c_0 > 0$ small and some $C_0 > 0$ large. The constants c_0, C_0 will be fixed below. Then $|\frac{1}{t}\ln z| > \delta n$ for $z \in \partial\mathcal{U}$, so $s = -2int$ remains bounded and bounded away from zero, and by (7.21) and (7.19), we have

$$P(z)N(z)^{-1} = E(z)(I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}))\widehat{P}^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{t}\ln z)z^{-\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3}W(z)N(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \partial\mathcal{U}, \quad n \to \infty. \tag{7.25}$$

Recall that we assume that the problem for Ψ is solvable for $c_0 \leq nt \leq C_0$. Therefore, by general properties of Painlevé RH problems, the estimate for the error term here is valid uniformly for all $c_0 \leq nt \leq C_0$. By (7.9) and (7.22), we obtain

$$z^{-\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3}W(z)N(z)^{-1} = \left(\mathcal{D}_{in,t}(z)\mathcal{D}_{out,t}(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \sigma_1, \qquad \text{for } z \in \partial\mathcal{U}. \tag{7.26}$$

Substituting this into (7.25), we see the reason for the definition of E in (7.23). Furthermore, we set

$$\widehat{E}(z) = n^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} E(z) \tag{7.27}$$

so that $\widehat{E}$ is bounded in n (uniformly for $z \in \partial\mathcal{U}$ and uniformly for $t < t_0$): this follows easily from (7.20) and (3.7). In particular,

$$\widehat{E}(z) = \sigma_1 \left(\mathcal{D}_{in,t}(z)\mathcal{D}_{out,t}(z)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} (\ln z - it)^{\beta_1\sigma_3} (\ln z + it)^{\beta_2\sigma_3}, \qquad -1 < \zeta < 1. \tag{7.28}$$

We can now write (7.25) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P(z)N(z)^{-1} &= n^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} \widehat{E}(z)(I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}))\widehat{E}(z)^{-1} n^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} \\ &= \widetilde{n}^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}(I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}))\widetilde{n}^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}, \quad z \in \partial\mathcal{U}, \quad n \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{7.29}$$

This proves that (7.24) holds (uniformly) for $c_0 \le nt \le C_0$, $z \in \partial\mathcal{U}$.

Next, suppose $C_0 < nt \le \omega(n)$. In this case we cannot use the expansion (7.19) since the argument s of $\Psi_1(s)$ is not bounded. Instead we use the large $|s| = 2nt$ asymptotics for Ψ. For that, we need C_0 to be sufficiently large. The asymptotics will be valid for the whole region $C_0 < nt < t_0$. Note that, for $z \in \partial\mathcal{U}$ and t sufficiently small (i.e., $t < t_0$), $\zeta = \frac{1}{t}\ln z$ is sufficiently large in absolute value to lie outside of the regions $\mathcal{U}_1$ and $\mathcal{U}_2$ defined in Section 5.3. By (7.21), (5.1), (5.17), and (5.18), we have

$$P(z)N(z)^{-1} = E(z)\Phi(\frac{1}{t}\ln z; -2int)W(z)N(z)^{-1} \tag{7.30}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= E(z)(nt)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} R(\frac{1}{t}\ln z; -2int)(nt)^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} \\ &\qquad \times \widehat{P}^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{t}\ln z) z^{-\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3} W(z)N(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \partial\mathcal{U}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.31}$$

Using (7.27), and (7.26), we obtain for $z \in \partial\mathcal{U}$:

$$\begin{aligned} P(z)N(z)^{-1} &= n^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} \widehat{E}(z)(nt)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} R(\frac{1}{t}\ln z; -2int)(nt)^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} \\ &\qquad \times \ \widehat{E}(z)^{-1} n^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} \widehat{E}(z) R(\frac{1}{t}\ln z; -2int)\widehat{E}(z)^{-1} \left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.32}$$

Therefore, by (5.25), we have (uniformly for $C_0/n < t < t_0$, $z \in \partial\mathcal{U}$)

$$P(z)N(z)^{-1} = \widetilde{n}^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}(I + \mathcal{O}(t(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}))\widetilde{n}^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}. \quad n \to \infty, \quad z \in \partial\mathcal{U}. \tag{7.33}$$

If $nt < c_0$, we can use the small $|s|$ asymptotics for $\Psi(\zeta; s)$ for large values of $\zeta = \frac{1}{t}\ln z$. We need to consider this case separately from $c_0 \le nt \le C_0$ since $s = 0$ is a branching point for the Painlevé functions. By (6.1), (6.28), (6.32), and (4.6), we have for $z \in \partial\mathcal{U}$ and $\arg z \notin (t, 2\pi - t)$,

$$\Psi(\frac{1}{t}\ln z; -2int) = \left(I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})\right) \widehat{P}^{(\infty)}(\frac{1}{t}\ln z) z^{-\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3}. \tag{7.34}$$

This implies that

$$P(z)N(z)^{-1} = \widetilde{n}^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}(I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}))\widetilde{n}^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{7.35}$$

For $2\pi - t < \arg z < 2\pi$ and $0 < \arg z < t$, the same estimate can be proved similarly. □

For later use, we note that

$$\widehat{E}^{-1}(z)\widehat{E}'(z) = h(z)\sigma_3, \tag{7.36}$$

$$h(z) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} jV_j z^{j-1} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=-1}^{-\infty} jV_j z^{j-1} - \frac{\beta_1}{z-e^{it}} + \frac{\beta_1}{z\ln z - itz} - \frac{\beta_2}{z-e^{-it}} + \frac{\beta_2}{z\ln z + itz} - \frac{\alpha_1-\beta_1+\alpha_2-\beta_2}{2z}. \tag{7.37}$$

7.4.3 $0 < t \le \omega(n)/n$. Final transformation

Let the boundary $\partial\mathcal{U}$ be oriented clockwise. Define

$$\Upsilon(z) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{n}^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} S(z) N(z)^{-1} \widetilde{n}^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}, & z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus(\mathcal{U}\cup\Sigma_S), \\ \widetilde{n}^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} S(z) P(z)^{-1} \widetilde{n}^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}, & z \in \mathcal{U}\setminus\Sigma_S, \end{cases} \tag{7.38}$$

where $\widetilde{n}$ is given in the Proposition 7.1.

Then, from the RH conditions (b) and (d) for S, and from the conditions (b) and (d) for P, it follows that Υ is analytic inside $\mathcal{U}$. Similarly, on C, the jumps for S and N are the same, so Υ is analytic on C. On $(\Sigma_{in}\cup\Sigma_{out})\setminus\overline{\mathcal{U}}$, we have an exponentially small jump as $n\to\infty$. Indeed, on these contours

$$\Upsilon_+(z) = \Upsilon_-(z)\widetilde{n}^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} N(z) J_S(z) N^{-1}(z) \widetilde{n}^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}.$$

Therefore, for $z\in\Sigma_{out}\setminus\overline{\mathcal{U}}$,

$$\Upsilon_+(z) = \Upsilon_-(z)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z^{-n}/(\mathcal{D}_{in,t}(z)\mathcal{D}_{out,t}(z)\widetilde{n}^{2(\beta_1+\beta_2)}) & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \Upsilon_-(z)(I+\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon n})), \tag{7.39}$$

and, for $z\in\Sigma_{in}\setminus\overline{\mathcal{U}}$,

$$\Upsilon_+(z) = \Upsilon_-(z)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z^n\mathcal{D}_{in,t}(z)\mathcal{D}_{out,t}(z)\widetilde{n}^{2(\beta_1+\beta_2)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \Upsilon_-(z)(I+\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon n})), \tag{7.40}$$

with some $\varepsilon>0$, uniformly for $0<t\le t_0$.

For $z\in\partial\mathcal{U}$, Υ has, as $n\to\infty$, a uniformly in t and z small jump by Proposition 7.1:

$$\Upsilon_+(z) = \Upsilon_-(z)\widetilde{n}^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} P(z) N^{-1}(z) \widetilde{n}^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} = \Upsilon_-(z)(I+\mathcal{O}(t(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||})).$$

By the standard theory for normalized RH problems with small jumps, it follows that

$$\Upsilon(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(t(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}), \qquad \frac{d\Upsilon(z)}{dz} = \mathcal{O}(t(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}), \tag{7.41}$$

as $n\to\infty$, uniformly for z off the jump contour for Υ, and uniformly for $0<t<t_0$.

7.5 $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. Local parametrices

The parametrix of the previous section is valid for the whole region $0<t<t_0$. However, the structure of the large n expansion for Y which follows from it is too cumbersome for the detailed analysis in the next section. Therefore, we will now construct a more explicit solution for the case $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. In this case $\zeta = \frac{1}{t}\ln z$ is not necessarily

large on $\partial\mathcal{U}$. However, $|s| = 2nt$ is large, and we will construct the large s asymptotic expansion for Y. The construction here is very similar to that of Section 5.

First, we need to modify the S-RH problem. Namely, in addition to the lens around the arc $(t, 2\pi - t)$, we now open the lens around the complementary arc in the same way as well. Thus z_1, z_2 are the end-points of the lenses. The jump conditions on the new lens for S are easily written down. We obtain the same S-RH problem as considered for the case of 2 separate FH singularities (see [7]). We now surround the points $z_1 = e^{it}$, $z_2 = e^{i(2\pi - t)}$ by small neighborhoods $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1}, \widetilde{\mathcal{U}_2}$, resp., which are the images of the neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}_1$, $\mathcal{U}_2$ of the points $\pm i$ from section 5 under the inverse of the mapping $\zeta = \frac{1}{t}\ln z$. The neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}_j$ are fixed in the ζ plane. Thus, in the z-plane, $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_2}$ contract if t decreases with $n \to \infty$.

For a parametrix outside these neighborhoods, we take $N(z)$ of section 7.3 as before. We now construct parametrices in $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_j}$ matching with $N(z)$ to leading order at the boundaries.

We look for a parametrix in $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1}$ in the form:

$$\widetilde{P}_1(z) = \widetilde{E}_1(z) M^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}\left(nt(\zeta(z) - i)\right) \Omega_1(z) W(z), \qquad \zeta = \frac{1}{t}\ln z, \tag{7.42}$$

where

$$\Omega_1(z) = \begin{cases} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha_1 - \beta_1)\sigma_3}, & \operatorname{Im}\zeta > 1 \\ e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha_1 - \beta_1)\sigma_3}, & \operatorname{Im}\zeta < 1 \end{cases}, \tag{7.43}$$

$W(z)$ is given by (7.22), and $M^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}(\lambda)$ is the solution to the RH-problem of Section 4 with $\alpha = \alpha_1$, $\beta = \beta_1$. The matrix $\widetilde{E}_1(z)$ is analytic in $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1}$ and will now be determined from the matching condition. We now use the large argument expansion (4.6) for $M^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}(nt(\zeta(z) - i))$ for $z \in \partial\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1}$. Recalling also (7.26), we can write for $z \in \partial\widetilde{U}_1$

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{P}_1(z) N(z)^{-1} = \widetilde{E}_1(z) \left(I + \frac{M_1^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}}{nt(\zeta - i)} + \mathcal{O}((nt)^{-2}) \right) \\ \times (nt(\zeta - i))^{-\beta_1\sigma_3} e^{\frac{i}{2}nt\sigma_3} \Omega_1 (\mathcal{D}_{in,t}\mathcal{D}_{out,t})^{\sigma_3/2}\sigma_1.\end{aligned} \tag{7.44}$$

We now let

$$\widetilde{E}_1(z) = \sigma_1 (\mathcal{D}_{in,t}\mathcal{D}_{out,t})^{-\sigma_3/2} \Omega_1^{-1} (nt(\zeta - i))^{\beta_1\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{i}{2}nt\sigma_3}. \tag{7.45}$$

It is easy to check that $\widetilde{E}_1(z)$ is analytic in $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1}$. Furthermore, since

$$\mathcal{D}_t(z) \equiv \mathcal{D}_{in,t}\mathcal{D}_{out,t}(z - z_1)^{-2\beta_1}(z - z_2)^{-2\beta_2}$$

is uniformly bounded in $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_j}$, $j = 1, 2$, we can write

$$\widetilde{E}_1(z) = t^{\beta_2\sigma_3} n^{-\beta_1\sigma_3} \widehat{E}_1(z), \tag{7.46}$$

where

$$\widehat{E}_1(z) = \sigma_1 \mathcal{D}_t(z)^{-\sigma_3/2} \left(\frac{t}{z - z_2}\right)^{\beta_2\sigma_3} \left(\frac{\ln z - it}{z - z_1}\right)^{\beta_1\sigma_3} \Omega_1^{-1} e^{-\frac{i}{2}nt\sigma_3} \tag{7.47}$$

is bounded in n uniformly for $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$, $z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1}$. Therefore,

$$\widetilde{P}_1(z)N(z)^{-1} = t^{\beta_2\sigma_3} n^{-\beta_1\sigma_3} \left(I + \frac{\widehat{E}_1(z) M_1^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)} \widehat{E}_1(z)^{-1}}{nt(\zeta - i)} + \mathcal{O}((nt)^{-2}) \right) n^{\beta_1\sigma_3} t^{-\beta_2\sigma_3} \tag{7.48}$$

uniformly for $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$, $z \in \partial\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1}$.

Furthermore, one easily verifies that $\widetilde{P}_1$ has the same jumps as S in $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1}$, and $\widetilde{P}_1 S^{-1}$ is bounded at z_1. Thus, $\widetilde{P}_1$ gives a parametrix for S in $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1}$ with the matching condition (7.48) with $N(z)$ at the boundary of that region.

Similarly, we obtain that the following function gives a parametrix for S in $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_2}$:

$$\widetilde{P}_2(z) = \widetilde{E}_2(z) M^{(\alpha_2,\beta_2)} \left(nt(\zeta(z) + i) \right) \Omega_2(z) W(z), \qquad \zeta = \frac{1}{t} \ln z, \tag{7.49}$$

where

$$\Omega_2(z) = \begin{cases} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha_2 - \beta_2)\sigma_3}, & \operatorname{Im}\zeta > -1 \\ e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha_2 - \beta_2)\sigma_3}, & \operatorname{Im}\zeta < -1 \end{cases}, \tag{7.50}$$

with the prefactor

$$\widetilde{E}_2(z) = t^{\beta_1\sigma_3} n^{-\beta_2\sigma_3} \widehat{E}_2(z), \tag{7.51}$$

where

$$\widehat{E}_2(z) = \sigma_1 \mathcal{D}_t(z)^{-\sigma_3/2} \left(\frac{t}{z - z_1} \right)^{\beta_1\sigma_3} \left(\frac{\ln z + it}{z - z_2} \right)^{\beta_2\sigma_3} \Omega_2^{-1} e^{\frac{i}{2}nt\sigma_3} \tag{7.52}$$

is analytic in $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_2}$ and bounded in n uniformly for $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$, $z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{U}_2}$.

The matching condition with $N(z)$ is

$$\widetilde{P}_2(z)N(z)^{-1} = t^{\beta_1\sigma_3} n^{-\beta_2\sigma_3} \left(I + \frac{\widehat{E}_2(z) M_1^{(\alpha_2,\beta_2)} \widehat{E}_2(z)^{-1}}{nt(\zeta + i)} + \mathcal{O}((nt)^{-2}) \right) n^{\beta_2\sigma_3} t^{-\beta_1\sigma_3} \tag{7.53}$$

uniformly for $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$, $z \in \partial\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_2}$.

7.5.1 $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. Final transformation

Let the boundaries $\partial\mathcal{U}_j$, $j = 1, 2$ be oriented clockwise. Set

$$\widetilde{\Upsilon}(z) = \begin{cases} S(z)\widetilde{P}_1(z)^{-1}, & z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1}, \\ S(z)\widetilde{P}_2(z)^{-1}, & z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{U}_2}, \\ S(z)N(z)^{-1}, & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \left(\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1}} \cup \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_2}} \right). \end{cases} \tag{7.54}$$

Next, define Υ by

$$\Upsilon(z) = \left(\frac{n}{t} \right)^{\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3} \widetilde{\Upsilon}(z) \left(\frac{n}{t} \right)^{-\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}. \tag{7.55}$$

Then Υ is analytic and, in particular, has no jumps inside $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_j}$, $j=1,2$, and on C. Exactly as in Section 7.4.3, we see that the jumps of Υ on the rest of the lenses are identity plus an exponentially small in nt addition ($I+\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon nt})$, $\varepsilon>0$), uniformly in $\omega(n)/n<t<t_0$. Furthermore, using (7.48), (7.53), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\Upsilon_+(z)=\Upsilon_-(z)J_1(z), \qquad z\in\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1},\\ &J_1(z)=(nt)^{-\frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}\left(I+\frac{\widehat{E}_1(z)M_1^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}\widehat{E}_1(z)^{-1}}{nt(\zeta-i)}+\mathcal{O}((nt)^{-2})\right)(nt)^{\frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3},\end{aligned} \tag{7.56}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\Upsilon_+(z)=\Upsilon_-(z)J_2(z), \qquad z\in\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_2},\\ &J_2(z)=(nt)^{\frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}\left(I+\frac{\widehat{E}_2(z)M_1^{(\alpha_2,\beta_2)}\widehat{E}_2(z)^{-1}}{nt(\zeta+i)}+\mathcal{O}((nt)^{-2})\right)(nt)^{-\frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3},\end{aligned} \tag{7.57}$$

uniformly for $\omega(n)/n<t<t_0$, and for $z\in\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_j}$, $j=1,2$, resp. We also have that $\Upsilon(\infty)=I$.

Again, by the standard theory, but now for RH problems on contracting contours, it follows that

$$\Upsilon(z)=I+\mathcal{O}((nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}), \qquad \frac{d\Upsilon(z)}{dz}=\mathcal{O}(t^{-1}(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}), \tag{7.58}$$

as $n\to\infty$, uniformly for z off the jump contour for Υ, and uniformly for $\omega(n)/n<t<t_0$.

These estimates would be sufficient to obtain the asymptotics for the Toeplitz determinants $D_n(f_t)$ if $|||\beta|||<1/2$, but to extend the results to the full range of $|||\beta|||<1$, we need a modification of the Υ-RH problem similar to the modification of the R-RH problem in Section 5. We assume for definiteness that $\operatorname{Re}\beta_1>\operatorname{Re}\beta_2$. Then the jump matrices (7.56) and (7.57) behave for large n as

$$J_1(z)=I+\ell_1(z)\sigma_-+\mathcal{O}((nt)^{-1}), \qquad J_2(z)=I+\ell_2(z)\sigma_++\mathcal{O}((nt)^{-1}), \tag{7.59}$$

where

$$\ell_1(z)=\frac{(nt)^{-1+\beta_1-\beta_2}}{\frac{1}{t}\ln z-i}(\widehat{E}_1M_1^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}\widehat{E}_1^{-1})_{21}, \qquad \ell_2(z)=\frac{(nt)^{-1+\beta_1-\beta_2}}{\frac{1}{t}\ln z+i}(\widehat{E}_2M_1^{(\alpha_2,\beta_2)}\widehat{E}_2^{-1})_{12}. \tag{7.60}$$

Let (cf. (5.30))

$$\Upsilon(z)=\widehat{\Upsilon}(z)Z(z), \tag{7.61}$$

where $Z(z)$ is the solution of the normalized at infinity RH problem with jumps $I+\ell_1(z)\sigma_-$ on $\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1}$ and $I+\ell_2(z)\sigma_+$ on $\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_2}$ oriented clockwise. As in section 5 for $\widehat{R}$, we conclude that

$$\widehat{\Upsilon}(z)=I+\mathcal{O}((nt)^{-1}), \qquad \widehat{\Upsilon}'(z)=\mathcal{O}(t^{-1}(nt)^{-1}), \tag{7.62}$$

uniformly for $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$ and z off the contour for $\Upsilon(z)$.

Just as the X-RH problem of Section 5, the Z-RH problem is solved explicitly, and in particular, we obtain for $z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1}$:

$$Z(z) = \left(I + \frac{1}{z - e^{it}} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{z - e^{-it}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \right) (I - \ell_1(z)\sigma_-)\,, \tag{7.63}$$

where

$$b = \widehat{\ell}_1(e^{it})\delta, \qquad c = \widehat{\ell}_2(e^{-it})\delta, \qquad a = \frac{\widehat{\ell}_1(e^{it})\widehat{\ell}_2(e^{-it})}{2i\sin t}\delta, \qquad d = -a, \tag{7.64}$$

with the notation

$$\widehat{\ell}_1(z) = \ell_1(z)(z - e^{it}), \qquad \widehat{\ell}_2(z) = \ell_2(z)(z - e^{-it}), \qquad \delta = \left(1 - \frac{\widehat{\ell}_1(e^{it})\widehat{\ell}_2(e^{-it})}{4\sin^2 t} \right)^{-1}.$$

We can expand (7.63) further as follows:

$$Z(z) = I - \tau(z) \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{z - e^{-it}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} - \pi(z)\sigma_-, \qquad z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1}, \tag{7.65}$$

where

$$\pi(z) = \frac{1}{z - e^{it}}(\widehat{\ell}_1(z) - \widehat{\ell}_1(e^{it})), \qquad \tau(z) = \frac{1}{z - e^{it}} \left(\frac{\widehat{\ell}_1(z)}{z - e^{-it}} - \frac{\widehat{\ell}_1(e^{it})}{2i\sin t} \right).$$

Note that

$$\pi(e^{it}) = \frac{d}{dz}\widehat{\ell}_1(z)_{z=e^{it}}, \qquad \pi'(e^{it}) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dz^2}\widehat{\ell}_1(z)_{z=e^{it}},$$

and similarly, $\tau(z)$ and its derivatives at e^{it} can be expressed in terms of $\widehat{\ell}_1(z)$.

Using (7.60) we then obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{\ell}_j(z_j) = \mathcal{O}(t(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}), \qquad \pi(e^{it}) = \mathcal{O}(t(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}),\\
&\pi'(e^{it}) = \mathcal{O}(t(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}), \qquad \tau(e^{it}) = \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}),\\
&\tau'(e^{it}) = \mathcal{O}(t^{-2}(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}), \qquad \delta = 1 + \mathcal{O}((nt)^{-2+2|||\beta|||}),\\
&\qquad c = \mathcal{O}(t(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}), \qquad d = \mathcal{O}(t(nt)^{-2+2|||\beta|||}), \qquad |||\beta||| < 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.66}$$

as $n \to \infty$ uniformly for $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. Therefore, using (7.65), we can write

$$Z(e^{it}) = I + \mathcal{O}((nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}) \tag{7.67}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(nt)^{\frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}(Z^{-1}\frac{dZ}{dz})(e^{it})(nt)^{-\frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}\\
&\qquad = \frac{(nt)^{\beta_1-\beta_2}c}{4\sin^2 t}\sigma_+ + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1+|||\beta|||}) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}(nt)^{-2+2|||\beta|||}),
\end{aligned} \tag{7.68}$$

uniformly for $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. Here we explicitly wrote the 12 matrix element as we will need to analyse it in more detail below.

8 Asymptotics for $D_n(f_t)$

8.1 Asymptotic form of the differential identity. Proof of Theorem 1.11

In the previous section, we performed a series of transformations $Y \mapsto T \mapsto S \mapsto \Upsilon$ for $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$, where Υ can be expressed explicitly in terms of S (and hence, of Y) and in terms of the local parametrix P as in (7.38), for z near z_1, z_2. Since the asymptotics for Υ are known, see (7.41), we can obtain the asymptotics for the right hand side in the differential identity (2.9) in terms of the local parametrix P, for $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$.

For $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$, we have the series of transformations $Y \mapsto T \mapsto S \mapsto \widetilde{\Upsilon} \mapsto \Upsilon$, where the asymptotics are known for Υ, and where Υ can be expressed explicitly in terms of Y and the local parametrices $\widetilde{P}_1, \widetilde{P}_2$. Thus, we can obtain the asymptotics for the right hand side of (2.9) in this case as well.

Combining the asymptotic behavior for $\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dt}\ln D_n(f_t)$ in those two cases, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 8.1 *Suppose that* $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_1, \operatorname{Re}\alpha_2, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1+\alpha_2) > -\frac{1}{2}$, $\alpha_k \pm \beta_k \neq -1, -2, \ldots$, $k = 1, 2$, $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \pm (\beta_1 + \beta_2) \neq -1, -2, \ldots$, *and that* $|||\beta||| < 1$. *Let* $\sigma(s)$ *be the solution to (1.14) analyzed above, and let* $\mathcal{P}$ *be an open subset of the* $s = -2int$ *plane* $\mathbb{C}$ *containing the set* Ω *of all the (finitely many) nonzero points where the* Ψ*-RH problem is not solvable. Let* $\omega(x) \equiv \omega(x; |||\beta|||)$ *be a positive, smooth function for* x *sufficiently large, with the following behavior:*

$$\omega(n) \to \infty, \quad \omega(n) = o(n^{\varepsilon}), \qquad \varepsilon = \min\left\{1, \frac{2}{1+2|||\beta|||}\right\}, \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{8.1}$$

There holds the following asymptotic expansion:

$$\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dt}\ln D_n(f_t) = n(\beta_2 - \beta_1) + d_1(t; \alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2) + d_2(n, t; \alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2) + d_3(t; \alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2) + \mathcal{E}_{n,t}, \tag{8.2}$$

as $n \to \infty$, *where* $\mathcal{E}_{n,t}$ *is such that*

$$\left|\int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{n,x}dx\right| = \mathcal{O}(\omega(n)^{-1+|||\beta|||}) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}\omega(n)^{1+2|||\beta|||}) = o(1), \tag{8.3}$$

uniformly for $0 < t < t_0$ *and* $-2int \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{P}$ *(the path of integration in (8.3) avoids the points of* Ω*), and where*

$$d_1(t; \alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2) = -\alpha_1 \sum_{j\neq 0} jV_j e^{ijt} + \alpha_2 \sum_{j\neq 0} jV_j e^{-ijt} + (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)(\beta_1 + \beta_2) + i(\beta_1 + \beta_2)\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} j(V_j - V_{-j})\sin(jt), \tag{8.4}$$

$$d_2(n, t; \alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2) = ((\beta_1 + \beta_2)^2 - 4\alpha_1\alpha_2)\frac{\cos t}{2i\sin t} + \frac{1}{it}\sigma(-2int), \tag{8.5}$$

$$d_3(t; \alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2) = 2\sigma_s \left[-\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} j(V_j + V_{-j})\cos(jt) + \frac{\beta_1 - \beta_2}{2i}\left(\frac{\cos t}{\sin t} - \frac{1}{t}\right) - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2\right]. \tag{8.6}$$

Proof. In the derivation below, we assume $\alpha_k \neq 0$, $k = 1, 2$, so that we can use Proposition 2.1. Once (8.2) is proved under this assumption, the general result follows immediately from the uniformity of the error term in α_1, α_2. This uniformity is easy to verify from the constructions above. Alternatively, one can consider the case $\alpha_k = 0$ separately using the corresponding differential identity: see Remark 2.2.

For simplicity of the notation, we also assume below that $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_k \geq 0$, $k = 1, 2$ (in this case, $\widetilde{Y} = Y$ in the Proposition 2.1). The extension to the case $-1/2 < \operatorname{Re}\alpha_k < 0$ is an easy exercise.

The plan of the proof is as follows. First, we express the differential identity of Proposition 2.1 in terms of the parametrices of the previous section (separately for the regions $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$, $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$) and estimate the error terms. The error term estimation is especially involved in the latter region. To show that (8.3) is $o(1)$, we use, in particular, large oscillations of $\mathcal{E}_{n,t}$. This difficulty is caused by the presence of β-singularities, the situation in the case of $\beta_k = 0$, $k = 1, 2$, and even in the case $|||\beta||| < 1/2$, is simpler. Second, we compute the leading asymptotic terms in the differential identity from the parametrices.

Transformation of the differential identity and estimates for the error terms

Using the transformation $Y \mapsto T \mapsto S$, we can write the differential identity (2.9) in the form

$$\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dt}\ln D_n(f_t) = \sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^k \left[n(\alpha_k + \beta_k) + 2\alpha_k z_k \left(S^{-1}\frac{dS}{dz} \right)_{+,22}(z_k) \right], \tag{8.7}$$

where the limit $\left(S^{-1}\frac{dS}{dz}\right)_{+,22}(z_k)$ is taken as $z \to z_k$ from the inside of the unit circle and outside the lenses.

Consider the case $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$. For simplicity, we again assume that there are no points of Ω on $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$: cf. the first paragraph of section 7.4). By (7.38), we obtain for $z \in \mathcal{U}$:

$$\left(S^{-1}\frac{dS}{dz} \right)_{22}(z) = \left(P^{-1}\frac{dP}{dz} \right)_{22}(z) + A_{n,t}(z),$$
$$A_{n,t}(z) = \left(P^{-1}\widetilde{n}^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}\Upsilon^{-1}\frac{d\Upsilon}{dz}\widetilde{n}^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}P \right)_{22}(z). \tag{8.8}$$

By (7.21), (7.22), (7.27), and (7.37), this can written for $|z| < 1$ as

$$\left(P^{-1}\frac{dP}{dz} \right)_{22}(z) = -\frac{n}{2z} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{f_t'}{f_t}(z) + \left(\Phi^{-1}\frac{d\Phi}{dz} \right)_{22} + h(z)\left(\Phi^{-1}\sigma_3\Phi \right)_{22}, \tag{8.9}$$

$$A_{n,t}(z) = \left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{n}{\widetilde{n}}\right)^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}\widehat{E}^{-1}\Upsilon^{-1}\frac{d\Upsilon}{dz}\widehat{E}\left(\frac{n}{\widetilde{n}}\right)^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}\Phi \right)_{22}(z), \tag{8.10}$$

where $\Phi = \Phi(\frac{1}{t}\ln z; -2int)$.

We now show that the integral $\int_0^t |A_{n,t}(z_k)|dt$, $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$ is small for large n and $k = 1, 2$ if $|||\beta||| < 1$.

By (7.41), we have, uniformly in z and $0 < t < t_0$, that

$$\Upsilon^{-1}(z)\frac{d\Upsilon}{dz}(z) = \mathcal{O}(t(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}), \qquad n \to \infty, \tag{8.11}$$

and we obtain by the fact that $\widehat{E}(z_k)$ is bounded in n (uniformly for $0 < t < t_0$):

$$A_{n,t}(z_k) = \left(\Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{n}{\widetilde{n}} \right)^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} \mathcal{O}(t(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}) \left(\frac{n}{\widetilde{n}} \right)^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} \Phi \right)_{22} (z_k). \quad (8.12)$$

Now take the constants c_0, C_0 from the proof of Proposition 7.1.

If $c_0 < nt \leq C_0$, both $n/\widetilde{n}$ and Φ are bounded, and we obtain

$$A_{n,t}(z_k) = \mathcal{O}(t(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}), \qquad k = 1, 2, \quad (8.13)$$

uniformly for $\frac{c_0}{n} < t \leq \frac{C_0}{n}$.

If $0 < t \leq c_0/n$ we use the small s asymptotics for Φ. By (6.1)–(6.2), (6.28), (6.29), and (6.14),

$$A_{n,t}(z_k) = \left(P_0^{-1}(\lambda_k) \mathcal{O}(t(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}) P_0(\lambda_k) \right)_{22}, \qquad k = 1, 2, \qquad \lambda_1 = 0, \ \lambda_2 = s,$$

uniformly. By (6.12) and (6.26), this implies the estimate (8.13) after a straightforward calculation. Thus, (8.13) holds uniformly for $0 < t \leq C_0/n$ as $n \to \infty$.

Finally, we set $C_0/n < t \leq \omega(n)/n$. Let us consider the case $z \to z_1$ as the case of $z \to z_2$ is dealt with similarly. Combining (3.21) with (5.1), (5.17), and (5.18), we have in the neighborhood $z(\mathcal{U}_1) \subset \mathcal{U}$, where $z(\mathcal{U}_1)$ is the image of $\mathcal{U}_1$ under the inverse of the map $\zeta = \frac{1}{t} \ln z$,

$$A_{n,t}(z) = \left(P_1^{-1}(\zeta)(nt)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} R^{-1}(\zeta) \mathcal{O}(t(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}) R(\zeta)(nt)^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} P_1(\zeta) \right)_{22},$$
$$\zeta = \frac{1}{t} \ln z. \quad (8.14)$$

Note that by (5.25), $R(\zeta) = I + O((nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||})$ for $z \in z(\mathcal{U}_1)$ uniformly in $t > C_0/n$. By this observation and (5.7), we can write further in $z(\mathcal{U}_1)$:

$$A_{n,t}(z) = \Big(M^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}(nt(\zeta - i))^{-1} E_1^{(0)}(\zeta)^{-1} (nt)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1-\beta_2)\sigma_3} \mathcal{O}(t(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||})$$
$$\times (nt)^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1-\beta_2)\sigma_3} E_1^{(0)}(\zeta) M^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}(nt(\zeta - i)) \Big)_{22}, \quad (8.15)$$

where $E^{(0)}(\zeta) = (nt)^{\beta_2\sigma_3} E_1(\zeta)$, with E_1 given by (5.9). Note that $E^{(0)}(\zeta)$ is uniformly bounded in n for any $t > C_0/n$ and for $z \in z(\mathcal{U}_1)$.

Substituting (4.8) if $2\alpha_1 \neq 1, 2, \ldots$ or (4.12) if $2\alpha_1 = 1, 2, \ldots$ into this expression gives for z_1 uniformly in t (the same estimate for z_2 is obtained similarly):

$$A_{n,t}(z_k) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1+2|||\beta|||} t^{2|||\beta|||}), \qquad k = 1, 2, \qquad \frac{C_0}{n} < t \leq \frac{\omega(n)}{n}. \quad (8.16)$$

Recalling (8.13) we conclude that the contribution of this term to the logarithm of the determinant is, uniformly in t,

$$\int_0^t |A_{n,t}(z_k)| dt = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2} \omega(n)^{1+2|||\beta|||}), \qquad 0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n. \quad (8.17)$$

This is small for $|||\beta||| < 1$ if $\omega(n) = o(n^\varepsilon)$ with $\varepsilon = 2/(1 + 2|||\beta|||)$.

If $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$, we consider only the neighborhood of z_1 as the contribution of z_2 is dealt with similarly. For $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$, $z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{U}_1}$, we obtain instead of (8.8):

$$\left(S^{-1}\frac{dS}{dz}\right)_{22}(z) = \left(\widetilde{P}_1^{-1}\frac{d\widetilde{P}_1}{dz}\right)_{22}(z) + A_{n,t}(z),$$

$$A_{n,t}(z) = \left(\widetilde{P}_1^{-1}\left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^{-\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}\Upsilon^{-1}\frac{d\Upsilon}{dz}\left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^{\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}\widetilde{P}_1\right)_{22}(z) \quad (8.18)$$

with $\Upsilon(z)$ from Section 7.5.1. By (7.42) and (7.22), this can written out for $|z| < 1$ as

$$\left(\widetilde{P}_1^{-1}\frac{d\widetilde{P}_1}{dz}\right)_{22}(z) = -\frac{n}{2z} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{f_t'}{f_t}(z) + \left(M^{-1}\frac{dM}{dz}\right)_{22} + \widetilde{h}_1(z)\left(M^{-1}\sigma_3 M\right)_{22}, \quad (8.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n,t}(z_1) &\equiv \lim_{z\to z_1} A_{n,t}(z) \\ &= \lim_{z\to z_1}\left(M^{-1}\widehat{E}_1^{-1}(nt)^{\frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}\Upsilon^{-1}\frac{d\Upsilon}{dz}(nt)^{-\frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}\widehat{E}_1 M\right)_{22}, \end{aligned} \quad (8.20)$$

where $M = M^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}(nt(\frac{1}{t}\ln z - i))$, $\widehat{E}_1$ is given by (7.47), and

$$\widetilde{h}_1(z)\sigma_3 = \widehat{E}_1^{-1}(z)\frac{d}{dz}\widehat{E}_1(z), \qquad \widetilde{h}_1(z) = h(z) - \frac{\beta_2}{z\ln z + itz}, \quad (8.21)$$

in terms of $h(z)$ given by (7.37).

We now estimate the error term (8.20). A straightforward estimate by (7.58) shows the smallness of the error term only for $|||\beta||| < 1/2$. Therefore, we will use (7.61). We assume (for simplicity only) that $\operatorname{Re}\beta_1 > \operatorname{Re}\beta_2$.

Substituting (7.61) into (8.20), we obtain

$$A_{n,t} = B_{n,t} + C_{n,t},$$

where

$$B_{n,t} = \lim_{z\to z_1}\left(M^{-1}\widehat{E}_1^{-1}(nt)^{\frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}Z^{-1}\frac{dZ}{dz}(nt)^{-\frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}\widehat{E}_1 M\right)_{22} \quad (8.22)$$

and

$$C_{n,t} = \lim_{z\to z_1}\left(M^{-1}\widehat{E}_1^{-1}(nt)^{\frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}Z^{-1}\widehat{\Upsilon}^{-1}\frac{d\widehat{\Upsilon}}{dz}Z(nt)^{-\frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3}\widehat{E}_1 M\right)_{22}. \quad (8.23)$$

The estimates (7.62), (7.67) give

$$C_{n,t} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}(nt)^{-1+|||\beta|||}), \quad (8.24)$$

and therefore, uniformly in t,

$$\int_{\omega(n)/n}^{t} |C_{n,t}|dt = \mathcal{O}(\omega(n)^{-1+|||\beta|||}), \qquad \omega(n)/n < t < t_0. \quad (8.25)$$

For $B_{n,t}$, we obtain from (7.68):

$$B_{n,t} = B^{(1)} + B^{(2)}, \qquad B^{(1)} = \frac{c(nt)^{\beta_1-\beta_2}}{4\sin^2 t} \lim_{z\to z_1} \left(M^{-1}\widehat{E}_1^{-1}\sigma_+\widehat{E}_1 M\right)_{22}(e^{it}),$$
$$|B^{(2)}| = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1+|||\beta|||}) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}(nt)^{-2+2|||\beta|||}). \quad (8.26)$$

Here, uniformly in t,

$$\int_{\omega(n)/n}^{t} |B^{(2)}|dt = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1+|||\beta|||}) + \mathcal{O}(\omega(n)^{-2+2|||\beta|||}), \qquad \omega(n)/n < t < t_0. \quad (8.27)$$

Now using the definition of c in (7.64) and of $\widehat{E}_2(z)$ in (7.52), we can write:

$$\frac{c(nt)^{\beta_1-\beta_2}}{4\sin^2 t} = \frac{(nt)^{-1+2(\beta_1-\beta_2)}}{4\sin^2 t} te^{-it}\delta(\widehat{E}_2 M_1 \widehat{E}_2^{-1})_{12}(e^{-it})$$
$$= \frac{(nt)^{-1+2(\beta_1-\beta_2)}}{4\sin^2 t} te^{-it}\delta\mathcal{D}(e^{-it}) \left(\frac{-2i\sin t}{t}\right)^{2\beta_1} e^{-2it\beta_2}e^{-int}(\sigma_1\Omega_2^{-1}M_1\Omega_2\sigma_1)_{12}$$
$$= e^{-int}\frac{(nt)^{-1+2(\beta_1-\beta_2)}}{t}\delta\varepsilon(t), \quad (8.28)$$

where $\varepsilon(t)$ is independent of n and analytic in $0 < t \le t_0$ (as follows from the uniform boundedness of $\mathcal{D}(z)$).

Let

$$\widehat{\widehat{E}}_1(t) = e^{-\frac{int}{2}\sigma_3}\widehat{E}_1(e^{it}) = \sigma_1\mathcal{D}_t(z)^{-\sigma_3/2}\left(\frac{t}{2i\sin t}\right)^{\beta_2\sigma_3} e^{-it\beta_1\sigma_3}\Omega_1^{-1}, \quad (8.29)$$

where $\widehat{E}_1(z)$ is given by (7.47). So defined $\widehat{\widehat{E}}_1$ is independent of n. Substituting (8.28), (8.29), and (4.8) (or (4.12)) into (8.26), we obtain

$$B^{(1)} = -e^{-2int}\frac{(nt)^{-1+2|||\beta|||}}{t}\delta\varepsilon_1(t), \quad (8.30)$$

where (see (4.9))

$$\varepsilon_1(t) = \varepsilon(t)(\widehat{\widehat{E}}_1(t)L(0))_{21}(\widehat{\widehat{E}}_1(t)L(0))_{22}$$

is independent of n and analytic in $0 < t \le t_0$.

Note that, as is established by an easy calculation using the definition of δ in (7.64), $\delta = 1 + \mathcal{O}((nt)^{-2+2|||\beta|||})$ and its derivative $d\delta(t)/dt = \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}(nt)^{-2+2|||\beta|||})$, uniformly in t. Moreover, we obtain from (8.29) that both $\widehat{\widehat{E}}_1(t)$ and its derivative are uniformly bounded. Now the estimate (8.30) implies by integration by parts that, uniformly in t,

$$\left|\int_{\omega(n)/n}^{t} B^{(1)}dt\right| = \mathcal{O}(\omega(n)^{-2+2|||\beta|||}), \qquad \omega(n)/n < t < t_0. \quad (8.31)$$

Combining (8.27), (8.31), and (8.25), we finally see that the integral of (8.20) is estimated as follows, uniformly for $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$,

$$\left|\int_{\omega(n)/n}^{t} A_{n,t}(z_1)dt\right| = \left|\int_{\omega(n)/n}^{t} (B^{(1)} + B^{(2)} + C_{n,t})dt\right| = \mathcal{O}(\omega(n)^{-1+|||\beta|||}). \quad (8.32)$$

Together with (8.17), this will imply below that the contributions of the $\mathcal{E}$ terms to the integral from 0 to t, $0 < t < t_0$ of the differential identity (8.7) are uniformly small for $|||\beta||| < 1$.

Calculation of the main asymptotic terms

We now turn to computing the contribution of (8.9) and (8.19). Consider first (8.9). This corresponds to the case $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$. We use (7.12), (3.8)–(3.17), and (7.36) to obtain

$$\left(P^{-1}\frac{dP}{dz}\right)_{22,+}(z_k) = -\frac{n}{2z_k} + \lim_{z\to z_k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{f_t'}{f_t}(z) - \frac{\alpha_k}{z\ln z - z\ln z_k}\right) + \frac{1}{tz_k}\left(F_k^{-1}F_k'\right)_{22}(\zeta_k) + h(z_k)\left(F_k^{-1}\sigma_3 F_k\right)_{22}(\zeta_k), \quad (8.33)$$

where we wrote $\zeta_k = \frac{1}{t}\ln z_k = \pm i$. Using (7.5) we obtain

$$\lim_{z\to z_k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{f_t'}{f_t}(z) - \frac{\alpha_k}{z\ln z - z\ln z_k}\right) = \frac{1}{2}V'(z_k) - \frac{\alpha_{k'} - \beta_1 - \beta_2}{2z_k} + \frac{\alpha_{k'}}{z_k - z_{k'}}. \quad (8.34)$$

Here k' is equal to 1 if $k = 2$, and equal to 2 if $k = 1$. Substituting (8.33) into (8.8) and that, in turn, into (8.7), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dt}\ln D_n(f_t) = \sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^k\Big[n\beta_k + \alpha_k z_k V'(z_k) + \alpha_k(\beta_1+\beta_2) + \frac{2}{t}\alpha_k\left(F_k^{-1}F_k'\right)_{22}(\zeta_k) + 2\alpha_k z_k h(z_k)\left(F_k^{-1}\sigma_3 F_k\right)_{22}(\zeta_k)\Big] + 2i\alpha_1\alpha_2\frac{\cos t}{\sin t} + \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n,t}, \quad (8.35)$$

where

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n,t} = 2\sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^k\alpha_k z_k A_{n,t}(z_k). \quad (8.36)$$

Now we use the identities from Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dt}\ln D_n(f_t) &= n(\beta_2-\beta_1) + 2i\alpha_1\alpha_2\frac{\cos t}{\sin t} + \frac{2}{t}(c_2-c_1)\\ &+\frac{1}{it}\sigma + 2\sigma_s\left[z_1h(z_1) + z_2h(z_2)\right] - (\beta_1+\beta_2)\left[z_1h(z_1) - z_2h(z_2)\right]\\ &-\alpha_1\sum_{j\neq 0}jV_je^{ijt} + \alpha_2\sum_{j\neq 0}jV_je^{-ijt} + (\alpha_2-\alpha_1)(\beta_1+\beta_2) + \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n,t}.\end{aligned} \quad (8.37)$$

From (7.37), we note that

$$h(z_k)z_k = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}jV_jz_k^j + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=-1}^{-\infty}jV_jz_k^j + (-1)^k\frac{\beta_{k'}z_k}{2i\sin t} - (-1)^k\frac{\beta_{k'}}{2it} - \frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_k'}{2}. \quad (8.38)$$

Substituting this into (8.37), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dt}\ln D_n(f_t) = n(\beta_2-\beta_1) + 2i\alpha_1\alpha_2\frac{\cos t}{\sin t} + \frac{2}{t}(c_2-c_1) + \frac{1}{it}\sigma$$
$$-\alpha_1\sum_{j\neq 0} jV_j e^{ijt} + \alpha_2\sum_{j\neq 0} jV_j e^{-ijt} + (\alpha_2-\alpha_1)(\beta_1+\beta_2)$$
$$+ i(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} j(V_j - V_{-j})\sin(jt) + \frac{(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2}{2i}\left(\frac{\cos t}{\sin t} - \frac{1}{t}\right)$$
$$+2\sigma_s\left[-\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} j(V_j+V_{-j})\cos(jt) + \frac{\beta_1 e^{-it} - \beta_2 e^{it}}{2i\sin t} + \frac{\beta_2-\beta_1}{2it} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}\right]$$
$$+\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n,t}. \quad (8.39)$$

Substituting here the values (3.66) of c_1 and c_2, and simplifying further, we obtain the differential identity (8.2) for $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$. Set

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,t} = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n,t}, \qquad 0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n.$$

From (8.17) and (8.36), we have the estimate (8.3) uniformly for $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$.

Let us now consider the region $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$, i.e., consider (8.19). We assume for simplicity that α_k, $k = 1, 2$ is not half integer. The case $2\alpha_1$ integer can be treated similarly by (4.12)–(4.13). For z approaching z_1 from the inside of the unit circle and outside the lens, we use the representation of $M = M^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}(n\ln z - int)$ in region III of Figure 2, i.e. (4.8), and obtain:

$$\left(M^{-1}\frac{dM}{dz}\right)_{22} = \left[(L(\lambda)^{-1}\frac{dL}{d\lambda}(\lambda))_{22} - \frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda}\right]\frac{n}{z}, \qquad \lambda = n\ln z - int, \qquad 2\alpha_1 \neq 0, 1, \ldots \quad (8.40)$$

up to the terms of order $\lambda^{2\alpha_1}$ that disappear (or would be removed if we considered the case $\operatorname{Re}\alpha < 0$) in the ("regularized" for $\operatorname{Re}\alpha < 0$) limit $z \to z_1$. Substituting here the explicit formula (4.9) for L and setting $z = z_1$ in the terms which are not unbounded as $z \to z_1$, we obtain:

$$\left(M^{-1}\frac{dM}{dz}\right)_{22} = -\left[\frac{\beta_1}{2\alpha_1} + \frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda}\right]\frac{n}{z} \quad (8.41)$$

in the vicinity of z_1 inside the unit circle, outside the lens. Similarly, we have in the same limit

$$\left(M^{-1}\sigma_3 M\right)_{22} = \left(L^{-1}(0)\sigma_3 L(0)\right)_{22} = \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1}. \quad (8.42)$$

To evaluate $\widetilde{h}_1(z)$ at z_1, we use its definition (8.21) and (8.38). Collecting our results together, we can write

$$z_1\left(M^{-1}\frac{dM}{dz}\right)_{22} + z_1\widetilde{h}_1(z_1)\left(M^{-1}\sigma_3 M\right)_{22} = -\left[\frac{\beta_1}{2\alpha_1} + \frac{\alpha_1}{n\ln z - int}\right]n$$
$$-\frac{\beta_1}{2\alpha_1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} jV_j z_1^j - \sum_{j=-1}^{-\infty} jV_j z_1^j + \frac{\beta_2 z_1}{i\sin t} + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \beta_2\right). \quad (8.43)$$

This formula holds in the vicinity of z_1 inside the unit circle, outside the lens. Now substituting it into (8.19) and using (8.34), we obtain:

$$-2\alpha_1 z_1 \left(\widetilde{P}_1^{-1}\frac{d\widetilde{P}_1}{dz}\right)_{+,22}(z_1) = n(\alpha_1+\beta_1)-\alpha_1 z_1\frac{d}{dz}V(z_1)-\frac{\alpha_1\alpha_2 z_1}{i\sin t}+\alpha_1(\alpha_2-\beta_1-\beta_2)$$
$$+\beta_1\left(\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} jV_j z_1^j - \sum_{j=-1}^{-\infty} jV_j z_1^j + \frac{\beta_2 z_1}{i\sin t} + \alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_2\right). \quad (8.44)$$

The analysis of the neighborhood of z_2 is similar, and we obtain

$$2\alpha_2 z_2 \left(\widetilde{P}_2^{-1}\frac{d\widetilde{P}_2}{dz}\right)_{+,22}(z_2) = -n(\alpha_2+\beta_2)+\alpha_2 z_2\frac{d}{dz}V(z_2)-\frac{\alpha_1\alpha_2 z_2}{i\sin t}-\alpha_2(\alpha_1-\beta_1-\beta_2)$$
$$-\beta_2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} jV_j z_2^j - \sum_{j=-1}^{-\infty} jV_j z_2^j + \frac{\beta_1 z_2}{i\sin t} + \alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_1\right). \quad (8.45)$$

Substituting (8.44) and (8.45) into (8.7), we finally obtain that, for $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$,

$$\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dt}\ln D_n = S_1 + S_2 + \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n,t} \quad (8.46)$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n,t}$ is given by (8.36),

$$S_1 = n(\beta_2-\beta_1) - \alpha_1 z_1\frac{d}{dz}V(z_1) + \alpha_2 z_2\frac{d}{dz}V(z_2) + (\alpha_2-\alpha_1)(\beta_1+\beta_2), \quad (8.47)$$

and

$$S_2 = -n(\beta_2-\beta_1) + \beta_1\left(\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} jV_j z_1^j - \sum_{j=-1}^{-\infty} jV_j z_1^j\right) - \beta_2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} jV_j z_2^j - \sum_{j=-1}^{-\infty} jV_j z_2^j\right)$$
$$+2\left(\beta_1\beta_2-\alpha_1\alpha_2\right)\frac{\cos t}{i\sin t} + (\alpha_1+\alpha_2)(\beta_1-\beta_2). \quad (8.48)$$

Let us compare these expressions with (8.2) obtained for $0 < t \le \omega(n)/n$. First, we see that S_1 coincides with the sum of $n(\beta_2-\beta_1)$ and a part of d_1. Now consider d_2+d_3 for large $s = -2int$. Substituting there the expansion (1.21) for $\sigma(s)$, we obtain that

$$n(\beta_2-\beta_1) + d_1 + d_2 + d_3 = S_1 + S_2 + \Theta_{n,t}, \qquad \omega(n)/n < t < t_0.$$

where $\Theta_{n,t}$ is a term arising from the error term and from $\gamma(s)$ in (1.21) and which, in particular, because of the oscillatory factors $e^{\pm i|s|}$, becomes of order $\omega(n)^{-2+2|||\beta|||}$ after integration w.r.t. t (cf. (8.31)):

$$\left|\int_{\omega(n)/n}^{t}\Theta_{n,\tau}d\tau\right| = \mathcal{O}(\omega(n)^{-2+2|||\beta|||}), \qquad \omega(n)/n < t < t_0, \quad (8.49)$$

uniformly in t. Set

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,t} = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n,t} + \Theta_{n,t}, \qquad \omega(n)/n < t < t_0.$$

Thus, expressions (8.2), (8.4), (8.5), (8.6) remain valid also for the region $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. It remains to verify the smallness of the error term in this region. This, however, follows immediately from (8.36), (8.32), similar estimates for $A_{n,t}(z_2)$, and from (8.49). □

Remark 8.2 Integrating (8.46) between t and t_0 with $\omega(n)/n \le t < t_0$ and using the expansion (1.8) for $D_n(f_{t_0})$, we obtain the same expansion for $D_n(f_t)$ with the error term $\mathcal{O}(\omega(n)^{-1+|||\beta|||})$, and $\omega(x)$ such that $\omega(n) \to \infty$, $\omega(n) = o(n)$ as $n \to \infty$. (Further limitations for the order of $\omega(n)$ at infinity in Proposition 8.1 came from the interval $0 < t \le \omega(n)/n$ that we do not need in this case.) Thus, the formula (1.8) remains valid in the region $\omega(n)/n \le t < t_0$. The uniformity of the error term is easy to verify. Furthermore, as is clear from our constructions above, the function $\omega(n)$ can be replaced by a sufficiently large constant s_0, and the error terms containing $\omega(n)$ or nt, by appropriate estimates. We therefore proved Theorem 1.11.

8.2 Integration of the differential identity. Proof of Theorems 1.5, 1.8.

Integrating (8.2) between 0 and t gives

$$\ln D_n(f_t) = \ln D_n(0) + int(\beta_2 - \beta_1) + i\int_0^t d_1(\tau;\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2)d\tau$$
$$+ i\int_0^t d_2(n,\tau;\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2)d\tau + i\int_0^t d_3(n,\tau;\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2)d\tau + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1+|||\beta|||}), \tag{8.50}$$

uniformly for $0 < t < t_0$. If β_1, β_2 are not zero or purely imaginary, then the contour of integration in (8.50) is chosen to avoid possible poles of $\sigma(s)$. This is the reason for the remark at the beginning of Section 7.4. Note that, by Theorem 1.1, there are no poles for $0 < t < c_0/n$ and $t > C_0/n$ if c_0 is sufficiently small, and C_0, sufficiently large.

Using the definitions (1.5), we obtain

$$i\int_0^t d_1(\tau;\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2)d\tau = (\alpha_2-\alpha_1)(\beta_1+\beta_2)it - \alpha_1(V(e^{it})-V(1))$$
$$- \alpha_2(V(e^{-it})-V(1)) + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)\ln\frac{b_+(e^{it})b_+(e^{-it})}{b_-(e^{it})b_-(e^{-it})} + (\beta_1+\beta_2)\ln\frac{b_-(1)}{b_+(1)}. \tag{8.51}$$

To integrate d_2, we add and subtract to it $\sigma(0)/(it)$, and recall from (1.19) that

$$\sigma(0) = 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2.$$

We then obtain:

$$i\int_0^t d_2(n,\tau;\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2)d\tau = \int_0^{-2int}\frac{1}{s}\left(\sigma(s) - 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2\right)ds$$
$$+ \left(\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2 - 2\alpha_1\alpha_2\right)\ln\frac{\sin t}{t}. \tag{8.52}$$

To integrate d_3, write it first in the form

$$d_3(n,t;\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2) = \sigma_s(s)\Lambda(t) = \left(\sigma_s(s) - \frac{\beta_2-\beta_1}{2}\right)\Lambda(t) + \frac{\beta_2-\beta_1}{2}\Lambda(t), \tag{8.53}$$

where the expression for $\Lambda(t)$ is clear from the r.h.s. of (8.6). Note that $\Lambda(t)$ is uniformly bounded in t. Using this fact and the large s expansion (1.21) (which is differentiable in s), we can estimate the integral of the first term in the r.h.s. of (8.53) as follows

$$\left|\int_0^t \left(\sigma_s(s) - \frac{\beta_2-\beta_1}{2}\right)\Lambda(t)dt\right| < \frac{\text{Const}}{n}\int_0^{-2int}\left|\sigma_s(s) - \frac{\beta_2-\beta_1}{2}\right|ds = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}). \quad (8.54)$$

For the second term in the r.h.s. of (8.53), we easily obtain

$$\frac{\beta_2-\beta_1}{2}\int_0^t \Lambda(\tau)d\tau = (\alpha_1+\alpha_2)(\beta_1-\beta_2)it - \frac{(\beta_1-\beta_2)^2}{2}\ln\frac{\sin t}{t} + \frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}\ln\frac{b_+(e^{it})b_-(e^{-it})}{b_+(e^{-it})b_-(e^{it})}. \quad (8.55)$$

Substituting (8.51), (8.52), and (8.53) into (8.50), we obtain (1.24) and thus prove both Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.8.

9 Toeplitz determinant for $|||\beta||| = 1$

9.1 Proof of Theorem 1.12

Let $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_1, \operatorname{Re}\alpha_2, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1+\alpha_2) > -1/2$. Assume that $s = -2int$ is bounded away from the set Ω where the Ψ-RH problem is not solvable. Let

$$\operatorname{Re}\beta_1 = \operatorname{Re}\beta_2,$$

and define

$$\beta_1^- = \beta_1, \qquad \beta_2^- = \beta_2 - 1.$$

Then for the symbol $f^- \equiv f_t(z;\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1^-,\beta_2^-)$, we have $|||\beta^-||| = 1$. We will now find an asymptotic formula for $D_n(f^-)$ for large n uniform for $0 < t < t_0$. Our approach is based on the following identity (see [7], Theorem 1.18):

$$D_n(f^-) = z_2^n\frac{\widehat{\phi}_n(0)}{\chi_n}D_n(f), \qquad n = 1,2,\dots,$$

which, since $D_n(f) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\chi_j^{-2}$, can be written in the form

$$D_{n-1}(f^-) = z_2^{n-1}\widehat{\phi}_{n-1}(0)\chi_{n-1}D_n(f), \quad (9.1)$$

convenient for us. Here $\widehat{\phi}_{n-1}(0)$, χ_{n-1}, refer to the polynomials orthogonal w.r.t. $f \equiv f_t(z;\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2)$, i.e., corresponding to $|||\beta||| = 0$. Theorem 1.8 can be used to write the asymptotics for $D_n(f)$, so it remains to estimate the prefactor in the r.h.s. of (9.1) as $n\to\infty$ using the results of Section 7.

Note that the parametrix/solution constructed in Section 7.4 for $0 < t \le 1/n$ remains valid for the case $0 < t \le C_0/n$, where C_0 is a constant. Moreover, the parametrix/solution of Section 7.5 for $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$ remains valid for the case $C_0/n < t < t_0$ where C_0 is sufficiently large. Both give expansions uniform in t. In the present section, we adopt this choice of solutions. Accordingly, fix C_0 sufficiently large.

First, consider $0 < t \le C_0/n$. Then, using (2.5), (7.38) with $\widetilde{n}$ replaced by n, and (7.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\phi}_{n-1}(0)\chi_{n-1} &= -\lim_{z\to\infty} z^{-n+1}Y_{21}(z)\\ &= -\lim_{z\to\infty} z^{-n+1}\left(n^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}\Upsilon(z)n^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}\mathcal{D}_{out,t}(z)^{\sigma_3}z^{n\sigma_3}\right)_{21}\\ &= -n^{2(\beta_1+\beta_2)}\left(\lim_{z\to\infty} z\Upsilon_{1,21}(z) + \mathcal{O}(t^2\Psi_2(s))\right). \quad (9.2)\end{aligned}$$

Here $\Upsilon_{1,21}(z)$ is the first term in the asymptotic expansion (7.41), which (as well as the estimate $\mathcal{O}(t^2\Psi_2(s))$ for the error term) is obtained by the standard theory from the jump conditions for Υ. Namely, first, using (7.21), (7.26), (7.27), and eventually (3.6), we write (as $t \le C_0/n$)

$$\begin{aligned}n^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}P(z)N^{-1}(z)n^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} &= \widehat{E}(z)\Psi(\zeta,s)z^{\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3}P^{(\infty)}(\zeta)^{-1}\widehat{E}(z)^{-1}\\ &= \widehat{E}(z)\left(I + \frac{\Psi_1(s)}{t^{-1}\ln z} + \mathcal{O}(t^2\Psi_2(s))\right)\widehat{E}(z)^{-1}, \qquad z\in\partial U, \quad (9.3)\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{z\to\infty} z\Upsilon_{1,21}(z) &= \lim_{z\to\infty}\frac{z}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial U}\frac{(\widehat{E}(u)\Psi_1\widehat{E}(u)^{-1})_{21}}{t^{-1}\ln u}\frac{du}{u-z}\\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial U}\frac{(\widehat{E}(u)\Psi_1\widehat{E}(u)^{-1})_{21}}{t^{-1}\ln u}du. \quad (9.4)\end{aligned}$$

Here $\Psi_j(s)$ are the coefficients in the large ζ expansion (3.6). Computing the residue at the simple pole $z = 1$, we obtain

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} z\Upsilon_{1,21}(z) = t\left(\widehat{E}(1)\Psi_1\widehat{E}(1)^{-1}\right)_{21}. \quad (9.5)$$

Thus, we have to evaluate $\widehat{E}(1)$. We use the expression (7.28). First, from (7.3), (7.4), remembering the definition of the branches given after (7.4), we obtain by a straightforward analysis of triangles that

$$\mathcal{D}_{in,t}(1)\mathcal{D}_{out,t}(1) = b_0\frac{b_+(1)}{b_-(1)}(2\sin t)^{2(\beta_1+\beta_2)}e^{i(\pi+t)(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}. \quad (9.6)$$

Substituting this into (7.28), and recalling the definition of the branches of $\zeta \pm i$, we obtain

$$\widehat{E}(1) = \sigma_1\left(b_0\frac{b_+(1)}{b_-(1)}\right)^{-\sigma_3/2}\left(\frac{t}{\sin t}\right)^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3}e^{-i(\pi+t)(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)\sigma_3/2}e^{i\pi(3\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3/2}. \quad (9.7)$$

Therefore, substituting (9.7) into (9.5), and that, in turn, into (9.2), and recalling the definition (3.23)

$$\Psi_{1,12} = r(s),$$

we obtain uniformly in t

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\phi}_{n-1}(0)\chi_{n-1} = -r(s)b_0^{-1}\frac{b_-(1)}{b_+(1)}t\left(\frac{nt}{\sin t}\right)^{2(\beta_1+\beta_2)}e^{-i(\pi+t)(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}e^{i\pi(3\beta_1+\beta_2)}\\ + \mathcal{O}(\Psi_{2,12}(s)t^2n^{2(\beta_1+\beta_2)}), \qquad 0 < t \le C_0/n, \quad s = -2int. \quad (9.8)\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Psi(s)$ is bounded for $0 < t \le C_0/n$, we obtain by (9.1) the first part of the r.h.s. of (1.27).

Now, let $C_0/n < t < t_0$ and consider a more general case $|||\beta||| < 1$. As before, but now using (7.55), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\phi}_{n-1}(0)\chi_{n-1} &= -\lim_{z\to\infty} z^{-n+1} Y_{21}(z) \\
&= -\lim_{z\to\infty} z^{-n+1} \left(\left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^{-\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3} \Upsilon(z) \left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^{\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}\sigma_3} \mathcal{D}_{out,t}(z)^{\sigma_3} z^{n\sigma_3} \right)_{21} \\
&= -\left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^{\beta_1+\beta_2} \left(\lim_{z\to\infty} z\Upsilon_{1,21}(z) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}n^{-2}(nt)^{\beta_1-\beta_2}) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}n^{-2}(nt)^{-\beta_1+\beta_2}) \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{9.9}$$

and we also have from the jump conditions (7.56), (7.57) in Section 7.5.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{z\to\infty} z\Upsilon_{1,21}(z) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\widetilde{U}_1} \frac{(\widehat{E}_1(u) M_1^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)} \widehat{E}_1(u)^{-1})_{21}}{t^{-1}\ln u - i} du (nt)^{-1+\beta_1-\beta_2} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\widetilde{U}_2} \frac{(\widehat{E}_2(u) M_1^{(\alpha_2,\beta_2)} \widehat{E}_2(u)^{-1})_{21}}{t^{-1}\ln u + i} du (nt)^{-1-\beta_1+\beta_2} \\
&= z_1 \frac{n^{2\beta_1-1}}{t^{2\beta_2}} (\widehat{E}_1(z_1) M_1^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)} \widehat{E}_1(z_1)^{-1})_{21} + z_2 \frac{n^{2\beta_2-1}}{t^{2\beta_1}} (\widehat{E}_2(z_2) M_1^{(\alpha_2,\beta_2)} \widehat{E}_2(z_2)^{-1})_{21}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9.10}$$

Here $M_1^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}$ is given by (4.7), and $\widehat{E}_1$, $\widehat{E}_2$, by (7.47), (7.52), resp. Let $z \to z_1$ in such a way that $\zeta > 1$. Then we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}_t(z_1) = b_0 \frac{b_+(z_1)}{b_-(z_1)} z_1^{-2\beta_1} z_2^{-\alpha_2-\beta_2} e^{-i\pi(\alpha_1+\beta_1+\alpha_2+\beta_2)} (z_1 e^{2\pi i})^{\alpha_2-\beta_2}, \tag{9.11}$$

and, by (7.47),

$$\widehat{E}_1(z_1) = \sigma_1 \mathcal{D}_t(z_1)^{-\sigma_3/2} \left(\frac{t}{2e^{5\pi i/2}\sin t} \right)^{\beta_2\sigma_3} z_1^{-\beta_1\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{i}{2}nt\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\pi(\alpha_1-\beta_1)\sigma_3}. \tag{9.12}$$

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{D}_t(z_2) = b_0 \frac{b_+(z_2)}{b_-(z_2)} z_2^{-2\beta_2} z_1^{-\alpha_1-\beta_1} e^{-i\pi(\alpha_1+\beta_1+\alpha_2+\beta_2)} z_2^{\alpha_1-\beta_1}, \tag{9.13}$$

and, by (7.52),

$$\widehat{E}_2(z_2) = \sigma_1 \mathcal{D}_t(z_2)^{-\sigma_3/2} \left(\frac{t}{2e^{3\pi i/2}\sin t} \right)^{\beta_1\sigma_3} z_2^{-\beta_2\sigma_3} e^{\frac{i}{2}nt\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\pi(\alpha_2-\beta_2)\sigma_3}. \tag{9.14}$$

Substituting (9.12), (9.14), into (9.10), and that, in turn, into (9.9), we finally obtain uniformly in t

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\phi}_{n-1}(0)\chi_{n-1} b_0 &= n^{2\beta_1-1} z_1^{-n+1} \frac{b_-(z_1)}{b_+(z_1)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha_1-\beta_1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\beta_1)} e^{i(\pi-2t)\alpha_2} (2\sin t)^{-2\beta_2} \\
&\quad + n^{2\beta_2-1} z_2^{-n+1} \frac{b_-(z_2)}{b_+(z_2)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha_2-\beta_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+\beta_2)} e^{i(-\pi+2t)\alpha_1} (2\sin t)^{-2\beta_1} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2+2\beta_1} t^{-1-2\beta_2}) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2+2\beta_2} t^{-1-2\beta_1}), \qquad C_0/n < t < t_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{9.15}$$

Remark 9.1 We obtained the expansion (9.15) only under the condition $|||\beta||| < 1$, $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_j > -1/2$. For a fixed $t > 0$ this expansion coincides with the result which follows from Theorem 1.8 in [7].

Remark 9.2 Let $\operatorname{Re}\beta_1 = \operatorname{Re}\beta_2$ as this is the case we need here. Using the large s expansion for $r(s)$ (obtained similarly to that of $q(s)$ from (5.57)):

$$\begin{aligned} r(s) = &- 2|s|^{-1-\beta_2} e^{-i|s|/2} e^{i\pi(\alpha_1-3\beta_1-\beta_2)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha_1-\beta_1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\beta_1)} \\ &- 2|s|^{-1-\beta_1} e^{i|s|/2} e^{-i\pi(\alpha_2+3\beta_1+\beta_2)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha_2-\beta_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+\beta_2)} \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2-2\beta_2}), \qquad s \to -i\infty, \qquad \operatorname{Re}\beta_1 = \operatorname{Re}\beta_2, \end{aligned} \tag{9.16}$$

where $\alpha_k \pm \beta_k \neq -1, -2, \dots$, $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_k > -1/2$, and the estimate

$$\mathcal{O}(\Psi_{2,12}(s)) = \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2-2\beta_2}), \qquad s \to -i\infty, \qquad \operatorname{Re}\beta_1 = \operatorname{Re}\beta_2, \tag{9.17}$$

the reader can easily verify that (9.15) agrees with (9.8) for $t \in (C_0'/n, C_0''/n)$, $C_0' < C_0 < C_0''$.

Formulae (9.15) and (9.1) yield the second part of (1.27).

Remark 9.3 Similar to the derivation of the small s asymptotics for σ, we obtain from (6.32) that

$$\begin{aligned} r(s) = -\frac{2}{|s|} e^{s/2} e^{i\pi(\alpha_1-\alpha_2-3\beta_1-\beta_2)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_1-\beta_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2)} \\ \times \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(|s\ln|s||) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{1+2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)})\right), \qquad s \to -i0_+, \end{aligned} \tag{9.18}$$

for $\alpha_k \pm \beta_k \neq -1, -2, \dots$, $(\alpha_1+\alpha_2) \pm (\beta_1+\beta_2) \neq -1, -2, \dots$, $\operatorname{Re}\alpha_k, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1+\alpha_2) > -1/2$.

9.2 Special case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1^- = \beta_2^- + 1 = 1/2$

In the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1/2$ (i.e., $\beta_1^- = \beta_2^- + 1 = 1/2$) relevant for the problem of Toeplitz eigenvalues [9], the situation simplifies. The problem for Ψ is then solved in Section 3.2 in elementary functions , and we obtain from (3.19) that

$$r(s) = -\frac{2i}{s^2}\left(e^{-\frac{s}{2}} - e^{\frac{s}{2}}\right) = -\frac{\sin nt}{(nt)^2}, \qquad s = -2int. \tag{9.19}$$

Therefore, using (9.1), (9.8), we obtain

$$D_{n-1}(f^-) = e^{-i(n-1)t} \frac{b_0^{-1}}{\sin t} \left[\frac{b_-(1)}{b_+(1)} \sin nt + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})\right] D_n(f), \tag{9.20}$$

with the error term uniform for $0 < t \le C_0/n$. On the other hand, (9.1) and (9.15) give in this case

$$\begin{aligned} D_{n-1}(f^-) = e^{-i(n-1)t} b_0^{-1} |z_1 - z_2|^{-1} \Bigg[& z_1^{-n+1} \frac{b_-(z_1)}{b_+(z_1)} \left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\pi/2} \\ & + z_2^{-n+1} \frac{b_-(z_2)}{b_+(z_2)} \left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)^{1/2} e^{i\pi/2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \Bigg] D_n(f), \end{aligned} \tag{9.21}$$

with the error term uniform for $C_0/n < t < t_0$. Note that for t of order $1/n$ or less, the formula (9.21) reduces to (9.20). Therefore, (9.21) holds uniformly for *all* $0 < t < t_0$.

Acknowledgements

TC was supported by the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007/2013)/ ERC Grant Agreement n. 307074, by FNRS, and by the Belgian Interuniversity Attraction Pole P07/18. IK was partially supported by EPSRC Platform Grant.

References

[1] J. Baik, P. Deift, and K. Johansson, On the distribution of the length of the longest increasing subsequence of random permutations, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1999), 1119–1178.

[2] E. Basor, Asymptotic formulas for Toeplitz determinants, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **239** (1978), 33–65.

[3] E. Basor, A localization theorem for Toeplitz determinants, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **28** (1979), no. 6, 975–983.

[4] A. Böttcher, B. Silbermann, Toeplitz operators and determinants generated by symbols with one Fisher-Hartwig singularity, *Math. Nachr.* **127** (1986), 95–123.

[5] T. Claeys, A. Its, and I. Krasovsky, Emergence of a singularity for Toeplitz determinants and Painlevé V, *Duke Math. Journal* **160**, no. 2 (2011), 207–262.

[6] P. Deift, Orthogonal polynomials and random matrices: A Riemann-Hilbert approach, Courant Lecture Notes, New York, 1998.

[7] P. Deift, A. Its, and I. Krasovsky, Toeplitz and Hankel determinants with Fisher-Hartwig singularities, *Ann. of Math.* **174** (2011), 1243–1299.

[8] P. Deift, A. Its, and I. Krasovsky, On the asymptotics of a Toeplitz determinant with singularities, arXiv:1206.1292. To appear in MSRI publications.

[9] P. Deift, A. Its, and I. Krasovsky, Eigenvalues of Toeplitz matrices in the bulk of the spectrum, *Bull. Inst. Math, Acad. Sinica (New Series)* **7** (2012), 437–461.

[10] P. Deift, A. Its, and I. Krasovsky, Toeplitz Matrices and Toeplitz Determinants under the Impetus of the Ising Model: Some History and Some Recent Results, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **66** (2013), 1360–1438.

[11] F. Dyson, Toeplitz determinants and Coulomb Gases, Talk to Eastern Theoretical Physics Conference, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, October 25, 1963.

[12] T. Ehrhardt, A status report on the asymptotic behavior of Toeplitz determinants with Fisher-Hartwig singularities, *Operator Theory: Adv. Appl.* **124**, 217–241 (2001).

[13] M. E. Fisher and R. E. Hartwig, Toeplitz determinants: Some applications, theorems, and conjectures, *Advan. Chem. Phys.* **15** (1968), 333–353.

[14] A. S. Fokas, A. R. Its, A. A. Kapaev, and V. Yu. Novokshenov, "Painlevé transcendents: the Riemann-Hilbert approach", AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **128** (2006).

[15] A. S. Fokas, A. R. Its, and A. V. Kitaev, The isomonodromy approach to matrix models in 2D quantum gravity, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **147** (1992), 395–430.

[16] A. S. Fokas, U. Mugan, and X. Zhou, On the solvability of Painlevé I, III and V, *Inverse Problems* **8** (1992), no. 5, 757–785.

[17] A. S. Fokas and X. Zhou, On the solvability of Painlevé II and IV, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **144** (1992), no. 3, 601–622.

[18] P. J. Forrester, N. E. Frankel, T. M. Garoni, and N. S. Witte, Finite one-dimensional impenetrable Bose systems: Occupation numbers, *Phys. Rev A* **67** 043607 (2003).

[19] P. J. Forrester and N. S. Witte, Application of the τ-function theory of Painlevé equations to random matrices: PV, PIII, the LUE, JUE, and CUE, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **55** (2002), 679–727.

[20] Y. V. Fyodorov, G. A. Hiary, and J. P. Keating, Freezing transition, characteristic polynomials of random matrices, and the Riemann zeta-function, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **108**, 170601 (5pp).

[21] Y. V. Fyodorov and J. P. Keating, Freezing transitions and extreme values: random matrix theory, $\zeta(1/2+it)$, and disordered landscapes, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **372** (2014), 20120503 [32 pp].

[22] M. Girardeau, Relationship between systems of impenetrable bosons and fermions in one dimension, *J. Math. Phys.* **1** (1960), 516–523.

[23] A. Its and I. Krasovsky, Hankel determinant and orthogonal polynomials for the Gaussian weight with a jump, *Contemp. Math.* **458** (2008), 215–247.

[24] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Y. Môri, and M. Sato, Density matrix of an impenetrable Bose gas and the fifth Painlevé transcendent, *Physica* **1D** (1980), 80–158.

[25] J. P. Keating and N. C. Snaith, Random matrix theory and $\zeta(1/2+it)$, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **214** (2000), 57–89.

[26] I. Krasovsky, Correlations of the characteristic polynomials in the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble or a singular Hankel determinant, *Duke Math. J.* **139** (2007), 581–619.

[27] A. Lenard, Momentum distribution in the ground state of the one-dimensional system of impenetrable bosons, *J. Math. Phys.* **5** (7) (1964), 930–943.

[28] E. H. Lieb and W. Liniger, Exact analysis of an interacting Bose gas. I. The general solution and the ground state, *Phys. Rev.* **130** (1963), 1605–1616.

[29] B. M. McCoy, The connection between statistical mechanics and quantum field theory, arxiv: hep-th/9403084.

[30] B. M. McCoy, C. A. Tracy and T. T. Wu, Painlevé functions of the third kind, *J. Math. Phys.* **18** (1977), 1058–1092.

[31] B. M. McCoy and T. T. Wu, The two-dimensional Ising model. Harvard Univ. Press: Cambridge MA, 1973.

[32] A. Foulquie Moreno, A. Martinez-Finkelshtein, and V. L. Sousa, On a conjecture of A. Magnus concerning the asymptotic behavior of the recurrence coefficients of the generalized Jacobi polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory*, **162** (2010), 807–831.

[33] T. D. Schultz, Notes on the one-dimensional gas of impenetrable point-particle bosons, *J. Math. Phys.* **4** (1963), 666–671.

[34] E. C. Titchmarsh, The theory of functions. Second edition. Oxford Univ. Press: 1939.

[35] C. A. Tracy, Asymptotics of a tau function arising in the two-dimensional Ising model, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **142** (1991), 297–311.

[36] H. G. Vaidya and C. A. Tracy, One particle reduced density matrix of impenetrable bosons in one dimension at zero temperature, *J. Math. Phys.* **20** (1979), 2291–2312.

[37] H. Widom, Toeplitz determinants with singular generating functions, *Amer. J. Math.* **95** (1973), 333–383.

[38] T. T. Wu, B. M. McCoy, C. A. Tracy and E. Barouch, Spin-spin correlation functions for the two-dimensional Ising model: Exact theory in the scaling region, *Phys. Rev.* **B13** (1976), 316–374.

[39] X. Zhou, The Riemann-Hilbert problem and inverse scattering, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **20** (1989), 966–986.